



B U S I N E S S P L A N · I N D I A

Sports Nutrition Brand

Verification as the product, in a category where the label is routinely untrue

SPORTS, FITNESS & RECREATION BUSINESSES

AISEVAK.IN · BY ABL DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES

August 2026

This document is confidential and prepared for planning purposes. All financial figures are illustrative model assumptions for an Indian MSME context and must be validated against live quotes, local rates and professional advice before any investment or borrowing decision.

1. Executive Summary

This plan sets out a **Sports Nutrition Brand** in India — protein powders, performance and workout formulations, everyday wellness supplements, ready-to-drink products and bars, and a batch-certified range for competitive athletes, manufactured under contract and sold through retail, gym and direct-to-consumer channels.

Structurally this is the sibling of the pet food brand written earlier in this library: contract manufacturing, distribution build, claim discipline and heavy working capital. Three differences make it materially harder, and the first is a straight reversal. **Because this product is consumed by humans, FSSAI's health-supplement and nutraceutical framework applies in full** — licensing, permitted ingredient lists, labelling requirements and enforceable restrictions on therapeutic claims. **Pet food was the least-regulated product in this library; this is among the most heavily regulated. Same manufacturing shape, opposite oversight.**

The second difference is that this category is defined by adulteration and mislabelling, and any honest plan has to start there. The characteristic fraud is **protein spiking**: because the standard protein test measures nitrogen and infers protein, a manufacturer can add cheap free amino acids or nitrogen-rich compounds and produce a label-compliant reading while **the actual usable protein is far below what is claimed.** Published analyses of Indian supplements have repeatedly found large shares of samples failing their own label claims, and undeclared stimulants and steroid-adjacent compounds appear in some products. **Third-party assay and batch transparency are therefore the entire proposition** — exactly as the pet food plan concluded — **but here a mislabelled product is a food-safety offence rather than a commercial disappointment.** The technical control this plan adopts is specific: **amino-acid profile testing on every protein batch rather than nitrogen-only testing, because nitrogen testing IS the loophole and profile testing closes it.**

The third difference is anti-doping, and it changes what the brand may honestly sell and to whom. Contaminated supplements are a leading cause of inadvertent doping violations, and the contamination is usually not deliberate — **it comes from cross-contamination at contract manufacturing sites that also produce stimulant or hormone-adjacent products on shared equipment.** A violation ends an athlete's career, and the athlete bears strict liability regardless of intent. This plan therefore builds **batch-level anti-doping certification to 100% of the athlete-facing range** and carries an admission most brands avoid: **a brand that cannot certify its batches should not be selling to competitive athletes at all** — with **athlete-facing marketing on non-certified SKUs: NIL.**

This plan models a brand requiring **₹1.55 crore** (₹58 lakh promoter + ₹55 lakh investor + ₹42 lakh working-capital finance), moving from **₹2.38 crore revenue and a ₹62 lakh loss** in Year 1 to **₹6.38 crore revenue and ₹20 lakh profit** by Year 3 — **a 3.1% net margin, thinner even than the pet food brand's, and an honest picture of a category where the testing programme is a cost competitors simply skip.** *(Contract-manufactured supplement brand; verification- and working-capital driven — figures illustrative.)*

2. Business Description, Vision & Legal Structure

Concept: A verification-led supplement brand — formulations developed in-house, manufactured at audited contract facilities, assayed by independent laboratories with results published per batch, and an athlete range carrying batch-level anti-doping certification.

Vision: To be the Indian supplement an athlete can take the week before a test.

Mission: Test the amino-acid profile, not just the nitrogen; publish every batch; certify what athletes take or decline to sell it to them; audit what else the plant makes; and pay nobody to recommend us.

Legal structure options

- **Private Limited** — effectively required: FSSAI licensing, investor funding, distributor contracting and product-liability exposure.
- **LLP** — unsuitable once external equity and national distribution are contemplated.
- **Proprietorship** — not viable for a manufactured consumable with recall and licensing obligations.

Regulatory anchor: this is a properly regulated category and the obligations bind. **FSSAI's Health Supplements, Nutraceuticals and Foods for Special Dietary Use regulations govern the product** — covering **licensing, permitted ingredients and their limits, mandatory labelling and, critically, restrictions on claims:** a supplement may not claim to prevent, treat or cure disease, and **"boosts immunity", "increases testosterone" and similar formulations are claim territory rather than marketing colour.** Alongside: **advertising compliance under the Consumer Protection Act, the CCPA's misleading-advertisement rules and the ASCI code,** which in this category reach body-composition promises directly; **Legal Metrology declarations including net quantity and nutritional panel accuracy;** import licensing and customs for whey and other imported raw material; **manufacturing licence and hygiene compliance at the contract facility, which remains the brand's responsibility to the consumer regardless of contract terms;** and **batch traceability and a documented recall procedure — which, unlike the pet food case, will be enforced rather than merely advisable.**

3. Promoter & Ownership

Led by a promoter with nutrition, FMCG or sports-industry background, working with formulation and quality expertise that is genuinely in-house. Three competences decide outcomes: *verification discipline*, since the testing programme is both the product and the cost; *channel building*, because a supplement nobody stocks and nobody trusts sells nowhere; and *working-capital management*, as this business finances inventory and distributor credit continuously through two loss-making years. Testing credibility, athlete certification, channel depth & inventory control are the differentiators. Ownership, equity & investor terms are editable inputs.

4. Market Analysis — India

Demand is driven by rapid gym and fitness participation growth, protein-deficiency awareness in Indian diets, D2C making national reach possible without distribution scale, and rising willingness to pay for products believed to be genuine. The market is large, fast-growing and **severely trust-damaged**. It is **claim-driven, counterfeit-infested, marketing-heavy and price-competitive**.

Demand drivers

- **Gym and boutique-fitness participation growth**, including the studios described earlier in this vertical.
- **Protein-adequacy awareness** in predominantly vegetarian diets, which is a genuine nutritional case rather than a manufactured one.
- **D2C distribution**, allowing a new brand to reach the country without the shelf-space fight.
- **Distrust of existing products**, which is simultaneously the category's problem and this brand's opportunity.
- **Competitive and semi-professional athletes** needing certified products they can take safely.

Market sizing (illustrative framing)

Layer	Definition	Indicative scale
-------	------------	------------------

TAM	Indian sports nutrition & supplements	Large, fast-growing
SAM	Segments and channels served	Channel- and price-bounded
SOM (Yr 1-3)	Repeat buyers who trust the label	~185,000 → ~540,000 units

The market's defining condition is that the consumer has learned not to believe the label, and that changes what a new brand must sell. Between counterfeits of imported brands, grey imports of uncertain provenance, and domestic products whose protein content does not survive independent testing, **the Indian supplement buyer has been trained to assume the number on the tub is aspirational.** The rational responses they adopt — buying the most expensive import, buying from a trainer they trust, buying nothing — are all attempts to solve a verification problem the market has not solved for them. **That is the opening: a brand whose entire proposition is that its label is checkable is selling the thing the category actually lacks.** But it must be checkable in a way a customer can act on: **a batch number on the tub, a published assay for that batch, and a laboratory the customer did not choose but can verify exists.** Vague quality assurances are worth nothing here, because everyone makes them. **The proposition only works if the evidence is specific, per-batch and public.**

Target segments

- **Serious gym users** — regular protein consumption, high repeat value, price-aware.
- **Competitive and semi-professional athletes**, needing certified products; small in volume, decisive in credibility.
- **General wellness buyers** — vitamins, omega, joint support; larger and less technical.
- **Trainers and coaches** as an influence channel, deliberately not paid.
- **Institutions** — academies, teams and gyms buying in bulk; the sports academy plan in this vertical is exactly this customer.

5. Competition & Competitive Advantage

Landscape: global imported brands, established Indian brands, **counterfeit and grey-market versions of both**, D2C challengers, private-label gym products, and whole-food alternatives. **Price competition is severe and the floor is set by products whose contents do not match their labels — which is to say, by a lower cost of goods achieved through fraud.**

The business's edge

- **Published per-batch third-party assays**, which almost no Indian brand offers.
- **Amino-acid profile testing** rather than nitrogen-only, closing the spiking loophole explicitly.
- **Batch-level anti-doping certification** on the athlete range.
- **Audited contract manufacturing**, including what else the facility produces.
- **No paid recommendations**, which makes third-party endorsement mean something.

Protein spiking deserves explaining precisely, because it is the mechanism that sets this category's price floor and a promoter who does not understand it cannot compete against it. The standard method for measuring protein content in food measures **nitrogen** and multiplies by a conversion factor, on the assumption that the nitrogen came from protein. **Free amino acids — glycine and taurine are common — and certain non-protein nitrogen compounds are far cheaper than whey and read as protein on that test.** A tub can therefore declare 24 grams of protein per serving, pass a nitrogen-based check, and deliver materially less usable protein to the person drinking it. **The consumer cannot detect this; a nitrogen test does not detect it; and it is why price comparison in this category is meaningless**

without knowing what was tested. The control is straightforward and is the brand's central technical claim: **test the amino-acid profile of every protein batch, publish it, and let the comparison be made.** A competitor selling at 30% less is either **buying raw material better than the market, accepting a lower margin, or spiking** — and the published profile is what allows a customer to work out which.

6. Products & Revenue Model

Line	Model	Basis
Protein (whey, plant, blends)	Per unit	~42-47% — volume core, assay-critical
Pre/intra/post-workout & performance	Per unit	Higher margin; stimulant scrutiny
Everyday wellness (vitamins, omega, joint)	Per unit	Broader base; less technical
Batch-certified athlete range	Per unit, premium	7.6% → 12.9% — credibility engine
Ready-to-drink & bars	Per unit	Convenience; cold-chain and shelf issues
Institutional (academies, teams, gyms)	Bulk contracts	Volume; slower payment
Payments to trainers/gyms for recommendations	NIL — by governance	Independence firewall

The trainer and gym channel is this category's version of the veterinary channel the pet food plan examined, and it is corrupted in exactly the same way. A trainer's recommendation carries weight **because the client believes the trainer is advising on their training, not selling on commission** — and that belief is the channel's entire value. The industry practice of paying trainers a margin, a per-tub commission or a stocking incentive **destroys the thing being bought, and clients increasingly assume it is happening whether or not it is.** This plan carries **payments to trainers and gyms for recommendations: NIL**, and builds the channel by giving trainers something they can use instead: **the batch assay, the certification status, and an explanation of what protein spiking is and how to check for it.** The commercial cost is real — competitors buying shelf space in gyms will win volume this brand does not — and the compensating asset is the same one the pet food plan identified: **a recommendation given freely survives the moment the incentive stops, and in a category this distrusted it is worth several bought ones.**

7. Go-to-Market — Selling a Checkable Label

Acquisition

- **D2C with the assay as the proposition**, since the evidence travels best in a channel the brand controls.
- **Athlete and team relationships** built on certification rather than payment.
- **Gym and trainer education**, unpaid, on testing and label verification.
- **Institutional supply** to academies and teams, which validates publicly.

Retention

- **Consistency between batches**, which is what a repeat buyer actually notices.
- **Subscription for regular protein users**, converting a purchase into a habit.
- **Published assays maintained**, since the proposition dies the moment publication becomes selective.
- **Complaint handling** with the retained batch sample as the reference.

Sales approach

Lead with the athlete-certified range for credibility → convert serious gym users on published assays → build D2C repeat and subscription → expand into general wellness volume → supply institutions. **The strategic error to avoid is competing on price against spiked products.** A brand that discounts to meet a competitor whose cost of goods is lower **because the tub contains less protein than the label states is not competing — it is subsidising a fraud with its own margin**, and it will lose because the fraud is cheaper than honesty by construction. **The correct response is to make the comparison legible** — publish the profile, explain the test, and let the customer see that two products at the same price are not the same product. **That converts fewer customers per rupee of marketing and converts them permanently.**

8. Operations Plan (formulate, source, manufacture, assay, certify)

- **Formulation:** in-house nutrition science, with dosing at levels supported by evidence rather than at label-optics levels.
- **Raw material sourcing:** specification per ingredient, approved suppliers, **incoming testing including amino-acid profile on protein inputs.**
- **Contract manufacturing:** audited facilities, **including audit of what ELSE the plant manufactures** — the specific control against cross-contamination.
- **Batch assay: independent laboratory testing of every batch, with amino-acid profile and results published against the batch number.**
- **Anti-doping certification:** batch-level certification for the athlete range, **and no athlete-facing marketing on any SKU that lacks it.**
- **Retained samples** of every batch held to shelf life plus margin.
- **Traceability & recall:** batch-to-retailer mapping with rehearsed recall.
- **Distribution:** FEFO rotation, D2C fulfilment, institutional supply with credit control.

Product lifecycle

Stage	Activity	Control point
Formulate	Evidence-based dosing	No label-optics dosing
Source	Specification, approved suppliers	Incoming amino-acid profile testing
Manufacture	Audited plant — including co-manufactured lines	Cross-contamination audits 100%
Assay	Independent lab, profile not nitrogen-only	Published per batch: 100%; ±3% variance
Certify	Batch anti-doping certification	Athlete range 42% → 100%
Distribute	FEFO, D2C, institutional	Inventory days 92 → 68; expiry 3.4% → 2.0%

Contract manufacturing carries the same loss of control the pet food plan described, but the consequence here is categorically more serious, and the specific control is unusual enough to state plainly: audit what else the facility makes. A plant producing this brand's whey protein on Monday may run a pre-workout containing a banned stimulant on Tuesday, or a product containing a hormone precursor on Wednesday — and **cleaning between runs on shared equipment is where inadvertent contamination originates.** An athlete who tests positive faces **strict liability: the rule does not care that the contamination was accidental, and a career ends.** Three controls follow, and they cost money. **Audit the facility's full product list rather than only the line running this brand's product,** and decline facilities that co-manufacture high-risk categories. **Certify at batch level rather than at product level,**

because certification of a formulation says nothing about the batch that was actually made. And **hold the honest line on scope: if a SKU is not batch-certified, it is not marketed to competitive athletes** — which is why the athlete range grows from 42% to 100% certified coverage rather than being claimed complete on day one.

9. FSSAI Compliance, Claims & Anti-Doping (India)

- **FSSAI Health Supplements & Nutraceuticals regulations** — licensing, permitted ingredients and limits, mandatory labelling and nutritional declarations.
- **Claim restrictions: no disease prevention, treatment or cure claims; "boosts immunity", "increases testosterone" and body-composition promises are claim territory, not marketing colour** — and this plan carries **disease or body-composition claims made: NIL**, the same discipline the fitness studio applied to transformations.
- **CCPA misleading-advertisement rules and the ASCI code**, including endorsement disclosure obligations.
- **Label accuracy: a declared protein content the product does not contain is a food-safety and legal-metrology offence, not a marketing overstatement** — variance held within $\pm 3\%$ of assayed value.
- **Anti-doping: contaminated supplements are a leading cause of inadvertent violations; athletes bear strict liability**. Batch-level certification on the athlete range; **athlete-facing marketing on non-certified SKUs: NIL**.
- **Contract facility compliance** — manufacturing licence and hygiene remain the brand's responsibility to the consumer regardless of contract terms.
- **Traceability and recall**, enforced here rather than merely advisable; retained samples per batch.
- Import licensing for whey and other imported inputs; GST; e-commerce marketplace compliance for D2C.

10. Organisation & Manpower Plan

Role	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3
Promoter / brand head	1	1	2
Sales & distribution	12	22	38
Marketing & D2C	8	14	24
Supply chain, warehousing & logistics	5	9	15
Quality assurance, testing & certification	4	7	12
Formulation & nutrition science	3	5	8
Admin, finance & accounts	3	5	8
Customer care & complaints	2	4	7
Total	38	67	114

Quality assurance and formulation are staffed at scale from Year 1 — seven people against thirty-eight — because in a category where the label is routinely untrue, the internal verification function is the product rather than an overhead.

11. Implementation Timeline & Milestones

Phase	Timeline	Milestone
Development	Month 0-6	Formulations, FSSAI licensing, contract manufacturer audit and selection, assay and certification programme
Launch	Month 6-12	~185,000 units, 620 outlets; ₹2.38cr revenue, ₹62L loss; athlete range 42% certified
Build	Year 2	1,450 outlets, D2C 34%, repeat 54%; ₹4.02cr, ₹22L loss
Profit	Year 3	~540,000 units; ₹6.38cr, ₹20L profit; athlete range 100% certified, every batch published

12. Financial Plan (Illustrative)

All figures are illustrative model assumptions for an Indian context, shown so the promoter can replace them with live rates. **Published assay coverage, batch certification, repeat purchase and inventory days are the levers — and the Year 3 net margin of 3.1% is deliberately thin, because the testing and certification programme is a real cost that most competitors simply do not incur.**

12.1 Project cost & means of finance

Capital requirement	₹
Working capital (inventory, production runs, distributor credit)	52,00,000
Brand building, marketing & launch	32,00,000
Formulation, testing & batch certification programme	24,00,000
Packaging development & tooling	14,00,000
Distribution setup & channel development	14,00,000
Warehousing & logistics	10,00,000
Quality systems, FSSAI licensing & compliance	6,00,000
Technology & office	3,00,000
Total project cost	1,55,00,000
Promoter contribution	58,00,000
Investor funding	55,00,000
Working-capital finance	42,00,000

12.2 Projected Profit & Loss (3 years)

Particulars	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Protein (whey, plant, blends)	1,12,00,000	1,78,00,000	2,68,00,000
Pre/intra/post-workout & performance	38,00,000	62,00,000	96,00,000
Everyday wellness (vitamins, omega, joint)	34,00,000	58,00,000	92,00,000

Batch-certified athlete range	18,00,000	42,00,000	82,00,000
Ready-to-drink & bars	22,00,000	38,00,000	62,00,000
Institutional (academies, teams, gyms)	14,00,000	24,00,000	38,00,000
Total revenue	2,38,00,000	4,02,00,000	6,38,00,000
Cost of goods (contract manufacturing, raw material, packaging)	1,42,00,000	2,28,00,000	3,44,00,000
Marketing, brand & trade spend	48,00,000	56,00,000	78,00,000
Salaries (formulation, QA, sales, marketing, admin)	44,00,000	56,00,000	80,00,000
Distribution, logistics & warehousing	22,00,000	30,00,000	44,00,000
Testing, batch certification & quality assurance	16,00,000	20,00,000	28,00,000
Stock written off on expiry & returns	8,00,000	10,00,000	13,00,000
Office, overheads & admin	7,00,000	9,00,000	13,00,000
Depreciation, interest & other	13,00,000	15,00,000	18,00,000
Total expenses	3,00,00,000	4,24,00,000	6,18,00,000
Net profit / (loss) before tax	(62,00,000)	(22,00,000)	20,00,000
Net margin	(26.1%)	(5.5%)	3.1%
Gross margin	40.3%	43.3%	46.1%
Batches with third-party assay published	100%	100%	100%
Amino-acid profile testing (not nitrogen-only) on every protein batch	100%	100%	100%
Label protein vs assayed protein variance within $\pm 3\%$	100%	100%	100%
Batch anti-doping certification — % of athlete-facing range	42%	78%	100%
Athlete-facing marketing on non-certified SKUs	NIL	NIL	NIL
Payments to trainers / gyms for recommendations	NIL	NIL	NIL
Disease or body-composition claims made	NIL	NIL	NIL
Contract manufacturer audits covering co-manufactured lines	100%	100%	100%
Inventory days / Stock written off on expiry	92 / 3.4%	80 / 2.5%	68 / 2.0%
Repeat purchase (12-month) / D2C share of revenue	38% / 24%	54% / 34%	66% / 42%
Units sold / Outlets stocked	~185,000 / 620	~330,000 / 1,450	~540,000 / 2,600

12.3 Unit economics & break-even

- **The net margin of 3.1% at ₹6.38 crore is thinner than the pet food brand's, and the difference is the testing programme.** Assay, certification and quality assurance cost ₹28 lakh in Year 3 — **4.4% of revenue that most competitors do not spend, which is roughly the entire net margin.** That is the honest arithmetic of competing on verification.

- **Gross margin rises 40.3% → 46.1% on scale and mix**, and is entirely consumed by marketing, distribution and the verification programme.
- **D2C share rising to 42% matters more than the margin it carries**, because the assay evidence travels best in a channel the brand controls and the repeat relationship is owned rather than rented.
- **The athlete-certified range is small (12.9%) and carries the brand**. Its function is credibility rather than volume — the segment that verifies is the segment that convinces everyone else.
- **Break-even: Year 3. Two loss-making years is the product-brand shape this library has now seen three times** — LMS, pet food and here — with inventory risk and a compliance burden on top.

12.4 Projected Cash Flow — Year 1 (quarterly, illustrative)

Particulars (₹)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Opening balance	85,00,000	57,00,000	35,00,000	19,00,000
Collections	44,00,000	52,00,000	58,00,000	64,00,000
Production, raw material, marketing, testing & overheads	72,00,000	74,00,000	74,00,000	72,00,000
Closing balance	57,00,000	35,00,000	19,00,000	11,00,000

The working-capital profile mirrors the pet food brand's — minimum production runs, distributor credit, slow retail rotation and a shelf life that will not wait — with two additions specific to this category. The first is **imported raw material**: whey concentrate and isolate are largely imported, which introduces **currency exposure on the largest single cost input and lead times measured in weeks**, so a rupee move or a shipping delay lands directly on gross margin and on availability. The temptation this creates is precisely the one the category succumbs to — **substituting cheaper material when input costs rise** — and a brand whose proposition is a published assay cannot do that quietly, which is a discipline worth naming as a benefit rather than a constraint. The second is that **testing and certification are paid before revenue**: a batch is assayed and certified before it can be sold, so **the verification cost is incurred on inventory that has not yet moved**, adding to the cash cycle rather than sitting alongside it. Three disciplines follow: **hedge or forward-contract significant imported inputs, size production runs against demonstrated offtake rather than run economics** as the pet food plan concluded, and **hold the D2C channel deliberately, because it collects immediately while distribution collects at 60 to 90 days**.

12.5 Key Performance Indicators to Monitor

- **Verification: batches with published third-party assay; amino-acid profile testing coverage**; label-versus-assay variance; incoming raw-material test failures.
- **Athlete safety: batch anti-doping certification coverage**; athlete-facing marketing on non-certified SKUs (must be nil); **contract-facility audits covering co-manufactured lines**.
- **Commercial integrity: payments to trainers and gyms (must be nil)**; claims audit against FSSAI and ASCI; endorsement disclosures.
- **Working capital**: inventory days; expiry write-off; distributor DSO; D2C share; imported-input cost and currency exposure.

13. Funding Requirement & Bankability

Total ask: **₹55 lakh investor funding plus ₹42 lakh working-capital finance** against ₹58 lakh promoter contribution. Four points. **First, the working-capital line is the operative facility** and must be sized to the full cycle — imported inputs, production runs, testing before sale, and distributor credit. **Second, inventory is securable but perishable and,**

in this category, potentially unsaleable for a second reason: stock that fails its own assay **cannot be sold at a discount, it must be destroyed**. Third, the metric to underwrite is **repeat purchase, not revenue**. A supplement brand can buy first purchases indefinitely through discounting and marketplace promotion; only repeat buying indicates that the product did what the label said — and in a category this distrusted, repeat is the only evidence available. Fourth, ask the two questions that separate this brand from its competitors: can it produce a third-party amino-acid profile for a randomly chosen batch, and is its athlete range certified at batch level? **A brand that answers no to the first is selling a number it has not verified; a brand that answers no to the second and markets to athletes anyway is exposing its customers to a career-ending risk it has chosen not to manage.**

13.1 Funding utilisation

Use of funds	₹	% of total
Working capital (inventory, production runs, distributor credit)	52,00,000	33.5%
Brand building, marketing & launch	32,00,000	20.6%
Formulation, testing & batch certification programme	24,00,000	15.5%
Packaging development & tooling	14,00,000	9.0%
Distribution setup & channel development	14,00,000	9.0%
Warehousing & logistics	10,00,000	6.5%
Quality systems, FSSAI licensing & compliance	6,00,000	3.9%
Technology & office	3,00,000	2.0%
Total	1,55,00,000	100%

14. Risk Analysis & Mitigation

Risk	Mitigation
Protein spiking sets the price floor	The standard protein test measures NITROGEN and infers protein — so cheap free amino acids (glycine, taurine) and non-protein nitrogen compounds read as protein while delivering materially less usable protein to the person drinking it. The consumer cannot detect it, a nitrogen test does not detect it, and price comparison is meaningless without knowing what was tested. Answer: amino-acid PROFILE testing on every protein batch, published against the batch number — a competitor 30% cheaper is buying better, earning less, or spiking, and the published profile lets a customer work out which
Competing on price against spiked products	A brand discounting to match a competitor whose cost of goods is lower because the tub contains less protein than the label states is not competing — it is subsidising a fraud with its own margin, and it will lose because the fraud is cheaper than honesty by construction. Make the comparison legible instead; convert fewer customers per rupee and convert them permanently
Inadvertent doping from contamination	Contaminated supplements are a leading cause of inadvertent violations, and the contamination is usually NOT deliberate — it comes from cross-contamination at facilities that also run stimulant or hormone-adjacent products on shared equipment. The athlete bears STRICT LIABILITY: the rule does not care that it was accidental, and a career ends. Audit the plant's FULL product list, not just the line running ours; certify at BATCH not product level; and if a SKU is not batch-certified it is not marketed to competitive athletes (NIL) — hence 42% → 100% coverage rather than a day-one claim

Claim exposure	FSSAI prohibits disease prevention/treatment/cure claims, and "boosts immunity", "increases testosterone" and body-composition promises are CLAIM TERRITORY, NOT MARKETING COLOUR ; CCPA and ASCI reach the rest. Disease or body-composition claims: NIL , the fitness-studio discipline applied to a product
Label inaccuracy	A declared protein content the product does not contain is a food-safety and legal-metrology offence, not a marketing overstatement — variance held within $\pm 3\%$ of assayed value, with retained samples per batch and rehearsed recall
Corrupting the trainer channel	A trainer's recommendation works because the client believes they are advising on training, not selling on commission — and paying a margin, per-tub commission or stocking incentive DESTROYS THE THING BEING BOUGHT, while clients increasingly assume it is happening anyway. Payments to trainers/gyms: NIL ; arm them with the assay and an explanation of spiking instead — a recommendation given freely survives the moment the incentive stops
Imported raw material exposure	Whey is largely imported — currency exposure on the largest cost input and lead times in weeks , and the temptation created is precisely the one the category succumbs to: substituting cheaper material when input costs rise . A published assay makes that impossible to do quietly — a discipline worth naming as a benefit rather than a constraint . Hedge or forward-contract significant inputs
Testing paid before revenue	A batch is assayed and certified before it can be sold, so the verification cost is incurred on inventory that has not yet moved — adding to the cash cycle rather than sitting alongside it. Hold D2C deliberately, since it collects immediately while distribution collects at 60–90 days
Counterfeits & grey imports	Fake and grey-market versions of imported brands are endemic (the same problem sports-equipment-retail faced) — the domestic brand's structural advantage is that it can be verified, which is worth nothing unless the verification is per-batch and public
Thin margin	3.1% net at ₹6.38cr — assay, certification and QA at 4.4% of revenue is roughly the entire net margin, and is spend most competitors do not incur . That is the honest arithmetic of competing on verification

15. SWOT Analysis

Strengths Published per-batch assays and amino-acid profile testing, which almost no Indian brand offers; batch-level anti-doping certification on the athlete range; audited manufacturing including co-manufactured lines; D2C owning the repeat relationship; no paid recommendations, making endorsement meaningful; a genuine nutritional case in Indian diets.	Weaknesses Net margin of 3.1% with the testing programme consuming roughly all of it; two loss-making years before profitability; currency exposure on imported whey; verification paid before the stock moves; heavy working capital; no control of the manufacturing line.
Opportunities A category so distrusted that verifiability is itself the position; fitness participation growth including the studios in this vertical; D2C national reach without distribution scale; institutional supply to academies and teams; certified athlete range as a credibility engine; plant-protein growth in Indian diets.	Threats Spiked and mislabelled products setting an unbeatable price floor; counterfeit imports; established brands with far greater marketing budgets; marketplace discounting compressing D2C margins; regulatory action affecting category perception generally; raw-material price and currency volatility.

16. Recommended AiSevak Tools for This Business

This sports nutrition brand would use AiSevak's Sports and Manufacturing vertical tools in operations:

- **Verification: per-batch assay register with published results against batch numbers**, amino-acid profile records, label-versus-assay variance tracking, incoming raw-material test log.
- **Athlete safety: batch anti-doping certification tracker**, athlete-range SKU eligibility control, **contract-facility audit records covering co-manufactured product lines**.
- **Claim governance: FSSAI and ASCI claim substantiation register**, endorsement disclosure records, trainer-payment register (target nil).
- **Working capital: inventory days and expiry ageing, distributor DSO, imported-input cost and currency exposure monitoring**, production run vs offtake.
- **Channel: D2C repeat and subscription cohorts, outlets stocked vs reordering, institutional supply tracking**.

17. Key Assumptions & Notes

- Sports nutrition brand: protein, performance formulations, everyday wellness, RTD and bars, and a batch-certified athlete range — contract-manufactured, sold through retail, gym and D2C channels.
- **△ STRUCTURALLY THE SIBLING OF pet-food-brand (contract manufacturing, distribution build, claim discipline, heavy working capital), WITH THREE DIFFERENCES THAT MAKE IT MATERIALLY HARDER — AND THE FIRST IS A STRAIGHT REVERSAL: because this product is consumed by HUMANS, FSSAI'S HEALTH-SUPPLEMENT AND NUTRACEUTICAL FRAMEWORK APPLIES IN FULL** (licensing, permitted ingredients and limits, mandatory labelling, enforceable claim restrictions) **→ PET FOOD WAS THE LEAST-REGULATED PRODUCT IN THIS LIBRARY; THIS IS AMONG THE MOST HEAVILY REGULATED. SAME MANUFACTURING SHAPE, OPPOSITE OVERSIGHT.**
- **(2) THE CATEGORY IS DEFINED BY ADULTERATION AND MISLABELLING, AND ANY HONEST PLAN HAS TO START THERE.** The characteristic fraud is **PROTEIN SPIKING: because the standard protein test measures NITROGEN and multiplies by a conversion factor on the assumption the nitrogen came from protein, a manufacturer can add CHEAP FREE AMINO ACIDS (glycine, taurine are common) OR NON-PROTEIN NITROGEN COMPOUNDS — far cheaper than whey — AND PRODUCE A LABEL-COMPLIANT READING WHILE THE ACTUAL USABLE PROTEIN IS FAR BELOW WHAT IS CLAIMED;** published analyses of Indian supplements have repeatedly found large shares of samples failing their own label claims, and undeclared stimulants and steroid-adjacent compounds appear in some products **→ THE CONSUMER CANNOT DETECT THIS, A NITROGEN TEST DOES NOT DETECT IT, AND PRICE COMPARISON IN THIS CATEGORY IS MEANINGLESS WITHOUT KNOWING WHAT WAS TESTED → THIRD-PARTY ASSAY AND BATCH TRANSPARENCY ARE THE ENTIRE PROPOSITION** (as pet-food-brand concluded) **BUT HERE A MISLABELLED PRODUCT IS A FOOD-SAFETY OFFENCE RATHER THAN A COMMERCIAL DISAPPOINTMENT;** the specific technical control is **AMINO-ACID PROFILE TESTING ON EVERY PROTEIN BATCH RATHER THAN NITROGEN-ONLY, BECAUSE NITROGEN TESTING IS THE LOOPHOLE AND PROFILE TESTING CLOSES IT** (published per batch, $\pm 3\%$ label-vs-assay variance) — and it reframes competition: **A COMPETITOR SELLING AT 30% LESS IS EITHER BUYING RAW MATERIAL BETTER THAN THE MARKET, ACCEPTING A LOWER MARGIN, OR SPIKING — AND THE PUBLISHED PROFILE IS WHAT ALLOWS A CUSTOMER TO WORK OUT WHICH.** Strategic error to avoid: **COMPETING ON PRICE AGAINST SPIKED PRODUCTS — a brand that discounts to meet a competitor whose cost of goods is lower BECAUSE THE TUB CONTAINS LESS PROTEIN THAN THE LABEL STATES IS NOT COMPETING, IT IS SUBSIDISING A FRAUD WITH ITS OWN MARGIN, AND IT WILL LOSE BECAUSE THE FRAUD IS CHEAPER THAN HONESTY BY CONSTRUCTION.**

- **(3) THE ANTI-DOPING DIMENSION CHANGES WHAT THE BRAND MAY HONESTLY SELL AND TO WHOM: CONTAMINATED SUPPLEMENTS ARE A LEADING CAUSE OF INADVERTENT DOPING VIOLATIONS, AND THE CONTAMINATION IS USUALLY NOT DELIBERATE — IT COMES FROM CROSS-CONTAMINATION AT CONTRACT MANUFACTURING SITES THAT ALSO PRODUCE STIMULANT OR HORMONE-ADJACENT PRODUCTS ON SHARED EQUIPMENT. A VIOLATION ENDS AN ATHLETE'S CAREER, AND THE ATHLETE BEARS STRICT LIABILITY REGARDLESS OF INTENT → BATCH-LEVEL ANTI-DOPING CERTIFICATION BUILT TO 100% OF THE ATHLETE-FACING RANGE (42%→78%→100%), with the admission most brands avoid: A BRAND THAT CANNOT CERTIFY ITS BATCHES SHOULD NOT BE SELLING TO COMPETITIVE ATHLETES AT ALL — ATHLETE-FACING MARKETING ON NON-CERTIFIED SKUs: NIL.** The unusual and specific manufacturing control: **AUDIT WHAT ELSE THE FACILITY MAKES, not only the line running this brand's product** — a plant producing whey on Monday may run a banned-stimulant pre-workout on Tuesday, and **CLEANING BETWEEN RUNS ON SHARED EQUIPMENT IS WHERE INADVERTENT CONTAMINATION ORIGINATES** — plus **CERTIFY AT BATCH LEVEL RATHER THAN PRODUCT LEVEL, BECAUSE CERTIFICATION OF A FORMULATION SAYS NOTHING ABOUT THE BATCH THAT WAS ACTUALLY MADE** (audits covering co-manufactured lines: 100%).
- **THE MARKET'S DEFINING CONDITION IS THAT THE CONSUMER HAS LEARNED NOT TO BELIEVE THE LABEL** — between counterfeits of imported brands, grey imports and domestic products failing independent testing, **THE INDIAN SUPPLEMENT BUYER HAS BEEN TRAINED TO ASSUME THE NUMBER ON THE TUB IS ASPIRATIONAL**, and their rational responses (buy the most expensive import, buy from a trusted trainer, buy nothing) are **all attempts to solve a verification problem the market has not solved for them → THAT IS THE OPENING: A BRAND WHOSE ENTIRE PROPOSITION IS THAT ITS LABEL IS CHECKABLE IS SELLING THE THING THE CATEGORY ACTUALLY LACKS** — but it must be checkable in a way a customer can act on (**a batch number on the tub, a published assay for THAT batch, and a laboratory the customer did not choose but can verify exists**), because **VAGUE QUALITY ASSURANCES ARE WORTH NOTHING HERE — EVERYONE MAKES THEM; THE PROPOSITION ONLY WORKS IF THE EVIDENCE IS SPECIFIC, PER-BATCH AND PUBLIC.** Channel integrity: **THE TRAINER AND GYM CHANNEL IS THIS CATEGORY'S VERSION OF THE VETERINARY CHANNEL pet-food-brand EXAMINED, AND IT IS CORRUPTED IN EXACTLY THE SAME WAY** — a trainer's recommendation carries weight **BECAUSE THE CLIENT BELIEVES THE TRAINER IS ADVISING ON THEIR TRAINING, NOT SELLING ON COMMISSION, AND THAT BELIEF IS THE CHANNEL'S ENTIRE VALUE**, which paying a margin or per-tub commission **DESTROYS**, while clients increasingly assume it is happening whether or not it is → **PAYMENTS TO TRAINERS/GYMS FOR RECOMMENDATIONS: NIL**, arming them instead with **the batch assay, the certification status, and an explanation of what protein spiking is and how to check for it** — the cost being real and the compensating asset being that **A RECOMMENDATION GIVEN FREELY SURVIVES THE MOMENT THE INCENTIVE STOPS, AND IN A CATEGORY THIS DISTRUSTED IT IS WORTH SEVERAL BOUGHT ONES.**
- Regulatory detail: **FSSAI prohibits disease prevention/treatment/cure claims, and "BOOSTS IMMUNITY", "INCREASES TESTOSTERONE" AND BODY-COMPOSITION PROMISES ARE CLAIM TERRITORY, NOT MARKETING COLOUR** (disease/body-composition claims: **NIL**, the fitness-studio-boutique discipline applied to a product); **a declared protein content the product does not contain is A FOOD-SAFETY AND LEGAL-METROLOGY OFFENCE, NOT A MARKETING OVERSTATEMENT**; CCPA misleading-advertisement rules and ASCI endorsement disclosure; **contract-facility licensing and hygiene REMAIN THE BRAND'S RESPONSIBILITY TO THE CONSUMER REGARDLESS OF CONTRACT TERMS**; and **traceability and recall ENFORCED here rather than merely advisable**, with retained samples per batch. Financial honesty: **NET MARGIN OF 3.1% AT ₹6.38cr IS THINNER THAN pet-food-brand's 4.7%, AND THE DIFFERENCE IS THE TESTING PROGRAMME — ASSAY, CERTIFICATION AND QA AT ₹28L IN Y3 IS 4.4% OF REVENUE THAT MOST COMPETITORS DO NOT SPEND, WHICH IS ROUGHLY THE ENTIRE NET MARGIN: THAT IS THE HONEST ARITHMETIC OF COMPETING ON VERIFICATION**; gross margin 40.3%→46.1% entirely consumed by marketing, distribution and verification; **the athlete-certified range is SMALL (12.9%) AND CARRIES THE BRAND — its function is CREDIBILITY rather than volume, since THE SEGMENT**

THAT VERIFIES IS THE SEGMENT THAT CONVINCES EVERYONE ELSE; two loss-making years is **the product-brand shape this library has now seen THREE times (lms-platform, pet-food-brand, here) with inventory risk AND a compliance burden on top.** Cash mirrors pet-food-brand (minimum runs, distributor credit, slow rotation, shelf life) **with two additions: (a) IMPORTED RAW MATERIAL — whey is largely imported, giving CURRENCY EXPOSURE ON THE LARGEST SINGLE COST INPUT AND LEAD TIMES IN WEEKS,** and creating precisely the temptation the category succumbs to — **SUBSTITUTING CHEAPER MATERIAL WHEN INPUT COSTS RISE — WHICH A PUBLISHED ASSAY MAKES IMPOSSIBLE TO DO QUIETLY, A DISCIPLINE WORTH NAMING AS A BENEFIT RATHER THAN A CONSTRAINT;** and **(b) TESTING AND CERTIFICATION ARE PAID BEFORE REVENUE — a batch is assayed and certified BEFORE it can be sold, so THE VERIFICATION COST IS INCURRED ON INVENTORY THAT HAS NOT YET MOVED, ADDING TO THE CASH CYCLE RATHER THAN SITTING ALONGSIDE IT** → hedge or forward-contract imported inputs, size runs against demonstrated offtake, and **hold D2C deliberately because it collects immediately while distribution collects at 60-90 days.** Lender note: **inventory is securable but perishable AND, in this category, potentially unsaleable for a second reason — STOCK THAT FAILS ITS OWN ASSAY CANNOT BE SOLD AT A DISCOUNT, IT MUST BE DESTROYED;** underwrite **REPEAT PURCHASE NOT REVENUE,** since **A SUPPLEMENT BRAND CAN BUY FIRST PURCHASES INDEFINITELY THROUGH DISCOUNTING AND MARKETPLACE PROMOTION, WHILE ONLY REPEAT BUYING INDICATES THAT THE PRODUCT DID WHAT THE LABEL SAID — AND IN A CATEGORY THIS DISTRUSTED, REPEAT IS THE ONLY EVIDENCE AVAILABLE;** and ask the two separating questions — **CAN IT PRODUCE A THIRD-PARTY AMINO-ACID PROFILE FOR A RANDOMLY CHOSEN BATCH, AND IS ITS ATHLETE RANGE CERTIFIED AT BATCH LEVEL? A BRAND THAT ANSWERS NO TO THE FIRST IS SELLING A NUMBER IT HAS NOT VERIFIED; A BRAND THAT ANSWERS NO TO THE SECOND AND MARKETS TO ATHLETES ANYWAY IS EXPOSING ITS CUSTOMERS TO A CAREER-ENDING RISK IT HAS CHOSEN NOT TO MANAGE.** ₹1.55cr, ~185,000→540,000 units, 620→2,600 outlets, rev 2.38→6.38cr, Y1 —₹62L → Y2 —₹22L → Y3 ₹20L. Figures illustrative, exclude GST.

► **Use these tools now — free on AiSevak**

Every tool referenced in this plan is available on aisevak.in. Open the [Sports, Fitness & Recreation Businesses toolkit](https://aisevak.in/verticals/sports) to browse and use them all at <https://aisevak.in/verticals/sports> — or go straight to any specific tool at <https://aisevak.in/service/<tool-name>>. No sign-up needed to explore; each tool guides you step by step.

Prepared by AiSevak.in — a product of ABL Digital Technologies. AI-powered business services for India. This is AI-assisted guidance; figures are illustrative and not a guarantee of results. Verify all numbers, licences and regulatory requirements with a qualified professional before relying on this plan for binding decisions. © 2026 ABL Digital Technologies Private Limited.