BUSINESS PLAN · INDIA

Ornamental-Fish Business

Ornamental fish bred not caught, with the real transit mortality published and no death rate agreed in advance

FISHERIES AND AQUACULTURE

AISEVAK.IN · BY ABL DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES

August 2026

1. Executive Summary

This plan sets out an **Ornamental-Fish Business** in India — captive breeding and trade of freshwater aquarium fish for export and the domestic market, with aquatic plants and invertebrates, aquarium hardware and feed, breeding-stock consultancy and maintenance services, shipping about 2.40 million fish a year at an average realisation of ₹41 each by Year 3.

> This plan inherits the fisheries vertical's disclosure discipline but none of its food-safety framing. **These animals are not food and are not an ingredient. They are sold alive, kept alive, and are the product for as long as they live — which changes what every commitment in the plan has to be about.**

> **THE DEATH RATE IS A TERM OF THE CONTRACT. The ornamental trade quotes and accepts a "DOA percentage" — dead on arrival — as an ordinary commercial term, agreed in the same conversation as price and packing. Five per cent is unremarkable; ten per cent is negotiable on a long route. SO THE DEATHS OF SEVERAL THOUSAND ANIMALS ARE SETTLED IN ADVANCE, IN WRITING, BY TWO PEOPLE NEITHER OF WHOM WILL BE PRESENT WHEN IT HAPPENS. And once a number is agreed, it stops behaving like a failure and starts behaving like a budget: A SHIPPER WHO LOSES FOUR PER CENT AGAINST A FIVE PER CENT ALLOWANCE HAS OUTPERFORMED, and has no reason whatever to reach three. THE ALLOWANCE IS NOT A CEILING THE TRADE WORKS DOWN FROM — IT IS A TARGET IT SETTLES ON, and every party to it is behaving reasonably. Nobody in the chain experiences it as death at all, because by the time it occurs it has already been priced, invoiced and reconciled. So this business QUOTES AND ACCEPTS NO DOA ALLOWANCE IN ANY CONTRACT and PUBLISHES ITS ACTUAL TRANSIT MORTALITY BY ROUTE AND SPECIES — which fell from 6.8% to 2.4%. WHERE A DEATH RATE IS AGREED IN ADVANCE, IT STOPS BEING A FAILURE AND BECOMES A BUDGET — SO PUBLISH THE ACTUAL RATE BY ROUTE AND SPECIES, AND NEVER QUOTE AN ALLOWANCE.**

> **AND NOTHING DISTINGUISHES A WILD-CAUGHT FISH FROM A BRED ONE. A large share of India's ornamental exports in certain species is collected from Western Ghats and North-East streams and sold as "tank-bred" — and THERE IS NO TEST, NO MARK AND NO EXAMINATION THAT SEPARATES THE TWO, because a wild fish and a captive-bred fish of the same species are the same animal. "Tank-bred" is not a defined or regulated term, so THE CLAIM IS UNVERIFIABLE IN PRINCIPLE RATHER THAN MERELY IN PRACTICE, and several of the species involved are narrow endemics whose entire global range is a few streams. So EVERY FISH WE SELL IS CAPTIVE-BRED WITH BROODSTOCK AND F-GENERATION RECORDED, and 38 SPECIES WERE DECLINED because we could not breed them.**

> **AND THE COLOUR FADES AFTER THE CUSTOMER STOPS BLAMING US. Injected dye, laser marking and hormone colour-feeding are widespread, sold as natural morphs, and they shorten the animal's life — but the colour holds for roughly the period in which a customer would still connect a problem to the seller. So NIL dyed, tattooed or hormone-coloured stock is sold, 2 suppliers were delisted, and COLOUR RETENTION IS VERIFIED BY FOLLOW-UP AT SIX MONTHS — 99%.**

This plan models an operator requiring **₹6.00 crore** (₹2.20 crore promoter + ₹1.80 crore term loan + ₹1.20 crore investor + ₹0.80 crore working capital), moving from **₹4.60 crore revenue and a ₹0.32 crore loss** to **₹14.00 crore revenue and ₹1.40 crore profit** by Year 3 — *a 10.0% net margin and 33.8% return on capital. (Ornamental fish — figures illustrative.)*

2. Business Description, Vision & Legal Structure

Concept: An ornamental-fish business that never agrees a death rate.

Vision: To make transit mortality a published fact rather than a negotiated allowance.

Mission: Quote no DOA percentage; publish actual mortality by route and species; breed everything we sell and record the generation; sell nothing dyed, marked or hormone-coloured; test a route before we use it; and ask the customer at thirty days whether the fish is alive.

Legal structure

- **Private Limited** — recommended. Export documentation, CITES and wildlife compliance, air-freight contracting and outside capital all assume it.
- **The no-allowance rule written into the standard contract template, not left to the salesperson — the structural precondition, since a buyer will ask for a DOA clause in almost every negotiation and it has to be absent rather than declined.**
- **Mortality register maintained outside sales — because the route with the worst survival is usually the cheapest and most profitable one.**

> **Regulatory anchor:** the Wild Life (Protection) Act 1972 and the Wildlife Crime Control Bureau's remit over collection and trade in scheduled species, **under which several Western Ghats and North-East endemics may not lawfully be collected at all — while the trade term "tank-bred" is nowhere defined and requires no evidence**; CITES listings and export permits for regulated species; the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act 1960 and the Transport of Animals Rules, **which govern conditions for live-animal carriage and are almost never applied to ornamental fish consignments**; IATA Live Animals Regulations, which are contractual rather than statutory but are the only detailed operating standard the trade actually follows; MPEDA registration and DGFT export documentation; quarantine and disease certification for import and export of live aquatic animals; the Biological Diversity Act where endemic genetic material is used; and the Consumer Protection Act on representation. **The decisive structural fact is that NOTHING REQUIRES A SELLER TO PROVE A FISH WAS BRED RATHER THAN CAUGHT, AND NOTHING PREVENTS TWO PARTIES AGREEING IN ADVANCE HOW MANY OF THEM MAY DIE.**

3. Promoter & Ownership

Led by a promoter with aquaculture, ornamental breeding or live-animal logistics background, with a breeding head and a logistics lead. Three competences decide outcomes. *Breeding depth across species*, because the entire proposition rests on being able to produce what the market wants rather than collecting it. *Live-animal logistics*, since transit is where the animals die and where the cost of not letting them die is incurred. And *the willingness to decline a species*, which happened 38 times in Year 3 and each time meant refusing an order we could have filled from a collector within a week. Ownership, equity & investor terms are editable inputs; **the no-allowance rule sits in the contract template rather than with the salesperson, and the mortality register is maintained outside sales.**

4. Market Analysis — India

A high-margin live-animal trade in which the animal's survival is a negotiated variable.

Demand drivers

- **Domestic aquarium keeping** growing with urban disposable income.
- **Export demand** from Europe, the US and East Asia for Indian-bred species.

- **Aquascaping and planted tanks** creating a premium hobbyist segment.
- **Import substitution** in species India currently buys from South-East Asia.
- **Retail and online pet channels** widening distribution reach.
- **Tightening wildlife enforcement** favouring documented captive breeding.

Market sizing (illustrative framing)

Layer	Definition	Indicative scale
TAM	Indian ornamental-fish production, trade and export	Small by value, high by margin; export-linked
SAM	**Species we can breed to F2 and document**	**Bounded by BREEDING CAPABILITY, not by demand**
SOM (Yr 1–3)	Fish shipped with recorded generation and published mortality	₹4.6cr → ₹14cr; 2.40m fish; 68 species; 38 declined

Revenue mix and share (Year 3)

Stream	Share	Gross margin — and where the animal is at risk
Captive-bred freshwater — export	**32.0%**	**46.0% — longest transit; where DOA is negotiated**
Captive-bred freshwater — domestic trade & retail	**24.0%**	**38.0% — shortest transit, least documented**
Aquarium hardware, feed & water products	16.0%	28.0% — the non-living line; steadies the year
Aquatic plants, tank-bred shrimp & invertebrates	14.0%	52.0% — highest margin; most fragile in transit
Breeding stock & hatchery consultancy	**8.0%**	**58.0% — teaching competitors to breed rather than collect**
Maintenance contracts & aquascaping services	6.0%	44.0% — where 30-day survival is actually observed

> **Note what is absent: there is no wild-caught line anywhere in this table, and that absence is the largest single commercial decision in the plan. The second row is the least protected in the trade — domestic consignments travel with no IATA packing standard, no documentation and no follow-up, so the discipline applied to an export box is applied there by choice rather than by any buyer's requirement. The fifth row is deliberately odd: we sell breeding stock and hatchery consultancy to businesses that would otherwise collect from the wild, WHICH IS TEACHING OUR COMPETITORS TO COMPETE WITH US — and it is the only intervention available that reduces collection pressure on the streams themselves, since our own refusal to buy wild stock removes one buyer and changes nothing upstream.**

Constraints

- **Breeding capability**, which caps the range before demand does.
- **Transit**, where the animals die and where the cost sits.
- **Air-freight cost and availability** on live-animal consignments.
- **Disease in a closed system**, where one outbreak can take a whole line.

5. Competition & Position

Competitor type	Strength	Weakness this plan exploits
Exporters sourcing from collectors	Wide species range instantly; no breeding cost or lead time	**Wild-caught sold as tank-bred; unverifiable either way**
Large breeding farms	Scale, cost, established export relationships	DOA allowances quoted as standard commercial practice
Importers and re-exporters	Access to South-East Asian range at low cost	Two transits instead of one; mortality compounds
Domestic wholesalers and retail chains	Distribution, footfall, hardware attach	Dyed and treated stock sold as natural morphs
This plan	**Nil DOA allowance, published mortality by route, generation recorded, nil treated stock**	Narrower range; declines species; higher cost per fish

> **The positioning is difficult to sell and easy to verify, which is an unusual combination. A buyer asking for a five per cent DOA clause is not being unreasonable — he is managing a real risk in a way the trade has managed it for decades, and OUR REFUSAL SOUNDS LIKE A REFUSAL TO SHARE RISK RATHER THAN A COMMITMENT TO REDUCE IT. The only answer that works is arithmetic: our published route mortality is 2.4%, so a buyer taking our shipment without an allowance receives more live fish than one taking a competitor's with a five per cent allowance deducted — AND THAT COMPARISON IS ONLY AVAILABLE BECAUSE WE PUBLISH THE NUMBER. The honest difficulty is the first year, when we have no published history, no route record and nothing to point at, and are asking a buyer to give up a contractual protection on the strength of an assertion.**

> **The cost of the honest position is stated in rupees rather than implied. Breeding everything we sell — declining 38 species we cannot breed, and refusing dyed, marked and hormone-coloured stock entirely — forgave ₹1.68 crore of gross profit, the largest item and entirely off the P&L, because it is range we chose not to carry. Transit welfare — packing density, oxygen, conditioning, temperature control and route testing — cost ₹86 lakh. Broodstock records and generation traceability per species cost ₹54 lakh. Publishing the mortality register by route and species, and following customers up at thirty days, cost ₹35 lakh. Total ₹3.43 crore against ₹1.40 crore of profit — so a business with the same tanks and the same buyers running trade-standard practice would earn ₹4.83 crore.**

6. The Death Rate Is a Term of the Contract

This is the finding, and it is a matter of what a number does once it is agreed.

What is settled before the fish are packed
Price per fish
Packing density and box count
Freight terms and routing
The percentage that may arrive dead
Who bears the cost of those deaths

"DOA" — dead on arrival — is a standard commercial term in this trade, negotiated in the same conversation as price and packing. Five per cent is unremarkable. Ten per cent is negotiable on a long route or a fragile species. THE DEATHS OF SEVERAL THOUSAND ANIMALS ARE THEREFORE SETTLED IN ADVANCE, IN WRITING, BY TWO PEOPLE NEITHER OF WHOM WILL BE PRESENT WHEN IT HAPPENS. Nobody is being deceived, nothing is concealed, and the clause exists for a genuine reason: live-animal transit carries irreducible risk and somebody has to bear it. It is a sensible allocation of a real commercial exposure, and it is written by reasonable people.

But a number, once agreed, stops behaving like a failure and starts behaving like a budget. A SHIPPER WHO LOSES FOUR PER CENT AGAINST A FIVE PER CENT ALLOWANCE HAS OUTPERFORMED HIS CONTRACT, and has no commercial reason whatever to reach three. THE ALLOWANCE IS NOT A CEILING THE TRADE WORKS DOWNWARD FROM — IT IS A LEVEL IT SETTLES ON, because the cost of doing better is real and the reward for doing better is zero. And the accounting completes the transformation: once the deaths are priced, invoiced and reconciled against an agreed percentage, NOBODY IN THE CHAIN EXPERIENCES THEM AS DEATHS AT ALL. They are a variance. They appear in a settlement note. The packer who could have used one more litre of water per box, and the shipper who could have paid for a shorter routing, are both correctly told that they are within tolerance. THE CLAUSE DOES NOT CAUSE THE MORTALITY — IT REMOVES EVERY PARTY'S REASON TO REDUCE IT, WHICH IS A DIFFERENT AND MORE DURABLE THING, because it survives every improvement in packing technology and every good intention on either side.

So the allowance is removed rather than negotiated down. NIL DOA PERCENTAGE IS QUOTED OR ACCEPTED IN ANY CONTRACT, at 100%, and the rule sits IN THE STANDARD CONTRACT TEMPLATE RATHER THAN WITH THE SALESPERSON — because a buyer will ask for the clause in almost every negotiation, and it has to be absent rather than declined each time by someone under pressure to close. Losses are made good in full without an agreed threshold, which means the number has nowhere to hide: ACTUAL TRANSIT MORTALITY IS PUBLISHED BY ROUTE AND BY SPECIES, and it fell from 6.8% to 2.4% across three years — not because anyone became more careful in a general way, but because a published route figure makes a bad routing visible, expensive and impossible to average away. ROUTES ARE TESTED BEFORE THEY ARE USED, at 100%, and 4 CONSIGNMENTS WERE HELD BACK IN YEAR 3 ON A FAILED ROUTE TEST. The register is maintained outside sales, because the route with the worst survival is very often the cheapest and most profitable one. WHERE A DEATH RATE IS AGREED IN ADVANCE, IT STOPS BEING A FAILURE AND BECOMES A BUDGET — SO PUBLISH THE ACTUAL RATE BY ROUTE AND SPECIES, AND NEVER QUOTE AN ALLOWANCE.

7. Nothing Distinguishes a Wild Fish From a Bred One

Two further findings, and the follow-up that closes the loop.

A LARGE SHARE OF INDIA'S ORNAMENTAL EXPORTS IN CERTAIN SPECIES IS COLLECTED FROM THE WILD AND SOLD AS "TANK-BRED". Western Ghats and North-East streams supply loaches, barbs, danios and catfish that are then described as captive-produced — and THERE IS NO TEST, NO MARK AND NO EXAMINATION THAT SEPARATES A WILD FISH FROM A BRED ONE, because they are the same animal. "Tank-bred" is not a defined or regulated term, carries no evidential requirement, and cannot be disproved by inspecting the fish. THE CLAIM IS THEREFORE UNVERIFIABLE IN PRINCIPLE RATHER THAN MERELY IN PRACTICE, WHICH IS A STRONGER FORM OF UNVERIFIABILITY THAN ANYTHING ELSE IN THIS VERTICAL — there is no laboratory that could settle it and no document that would be checked. And the species at issue are frequently NARROW ENDEMICS WHOSE ENTIRE GLOBAL RANGE IS A FEW STREAMS, so collection pressure is concentrated exactly where it does most damage, while the Wild Life (Protection) Act's coverage of ornamental species is patchy and

> **enforcement is downstream of a claim nobody can test. So EVERY FISH WE SELL IS CAPTIVE-BRED WITH BROODSTOCK AND F-GENERATION RECORDED at 100%, NIL wild-caught stock is sold or described as tank-bred, and 38 SPECIES WERE DECLINED because we could not breed them — each refusal being an order we could have filled from a collector within a week.**

> **□□ AND THE COLOUR FADES AFTER THE CUSTOMER STOPS BLAMING US. Injected dye, laser marking and hormone colour-feeding are widespread and are sold as natural morphs — glassfish dyed in six colours, parrot cichlids injected, hormone-fed guppies — and every one of those treatments shortens the animal's life and raises its stress. The commercially important fact is the TIMING: the colour holds for roughly two to four months, WHICH IS APPROXIMATELY THE PERIOD IN WHICH A CUSTOMER WOULD STILL CONNECT A PROBLEM TO THE SELLER. By the time it fades or the fish dies, he has changed his water a dozen times, added other stock and adjusted his filter — SO HE ATTRIBUTES IT TO HIS OWN TANK, WHICH IS EXACTLY WHERE THE TRADE NEEDS HIM TO ATTRIBUTE IT. So NIL dyed, tattooed, hormone-coloured or artificially marked fish are sold, 2 SUPPLIERS WERE DELISTED for supplying treated stock, and COLOUR RETENTION IS VERIFIED BY FOLLOW-UP AT SIX MONTHS at 99%.**

> **□□ AND NOBODY EVER ASKS WHETHER THE FISH IS STILL ALIVE. The trade records a loss only when a customer complains, which means the mortality figure everyone works from is A COMPLAINT RATE WEARING A SURVIVAL RATE'S CLOTHES — and most hobbyists who lose a ₹40 fish do not complain, they buy another. So CUSTOMERS ARE FOLLOWED UP AT THIRTY DAYS at 96%, with 30-DAY SURVIVAL IN THE CUSTOMER'S OWN TANK published rather than inferred, and replacements given without dispute at 100%.**

What an aquarium buyer can check in sixty seconds

The first question is one the trade does not expect from a retail customer.

- **Does the supplier quote a DOA percentage?** If a death rate was agreed before packing, it was a budget, not a risk.
- **What is their published mortality on this route?** "Low" is not a number. A number by route is.
- **Can they name the broodstock and generation?** "Tank-bred" means nothing and cannot be disproved.
- **Is this colour natural for the species?** Blue glassfish and purple parrots are not morphs. They are injections.
- **Will anyone ask you in a month whether it lived?** If not, their survival figure is a complaint rate.
- **Was the route tested before your fish went on it?** The animal cannot tell you the box got hot.

> **The asymmetry here is unlike anything earlier in this library, because THE PARTY THAT SUFFERS IS NOT A PARTY TO ANYTHING. In every previous plan the injured party was at least a buyer, a neighbour or a worker — someone with an interest, a transaction or a location. HERE THE ANIMAL IS THE PRODUCT, THE VICTIM AND THE UNIT OF ACCOUNT SIMULTANEOUSLY, and it has no representative anywhere in the chain: not the exporter, not the airline, not the importer, not the retailer, and not the hobbyist, who is genuinely fond of fish and never sees the eleven that died to deliver the one in his tank. THAT IS WHY THE DOA CLAUSE IS POSSIBLE AT ALL. You cannot write an agreed casualty rate into a contract for a person, not because the arithmetic differs but because SOMEBODY WOULD BE ENTITLED TO OBJECT. The remedies here are therefore not disclosures to a counterparty — they are CONSTRAINTS WE ACCEPT AGAINST OURSELVES, published so that someone outside could check them: a clause we will not write, a species we will not sell, a treatment we will not stock, and a question we ask thirty days after the money has cleared. NONE OF THEM IS ENFORCEABLE BY THE PARTY THEY PROTECT, WHICH IS PRECISELY WHY THEY HAVE TO BE STRUCTURAL RATHER THAN INTENTIONAL.**

8. Operations Plan (breed, condition, ship, follow up)

The breeding

- **Every fish captive-bred** — broodstock and F-generation recorded per species.
- **Nil wild-caught stock** bought, sold or described as tank-bred.
- **Species declined where we cannot breed them** — 38 in Year 3.
- **Breeding stock and method sold on**, including to competitors who currently collect.

The conditioning

- **Purged and conditioned before packing**, not packed straight from grow-out.
- **Packing density set by species and duration**, not by box economics.
- **Oxygen, temperature and duration logged** per consignment.
- **Nil dyed, tattooed or hormone-coloured stock** anywhere in the facility.

The route

- **Tested before use** — a trial consignment on every new routing.
- **Consignments held back on a failed test** — 4 in Year 3.
- **Nil DOA allowance quoted or accepted**; losses made good in full.
- **Mortality published by route and species**, maintained outside sales.

The follow-up

- **Customer contacted at thirty days** — 96% reached.
- **30-day survival in the customer's tank published**, not inferred from complaints.
- **Colour retention verified at six months** — 99%.
- **Replacements given without dispute** — 100%.

> **The operational hinge is a European buyer offering a three-year contract at 18% above our current export realisation, conditional on a five per cent DOA allowance and a species list that includes four Western Ghats loaches we do not breed. It is worth roughly ₹1.6 crore a year and would take export from 32% of revenue to nearly half. The DOA clause is the easier half to refuse. The species list is harder, because THE FOUR LOACHES ARE AVAILABLE FROM COLLECTORS AT A PRICE, THE BUYER WILL BUY THEM FROM SOMEBODY, AND OUR DECLINING CHANGES NOTHING ABOUT WHETHER THEY ARE TAKEN FROM THE STREAM — it only changes whether we are the one taking them. THAT ARGUMENT IS ENTIRELY CORRECT AND IT IS ALSO THE ARGUMENT EVERY PARTICIPANT IN A COLLECTION TRADE MAKES, WHICH IS WHY THE TRADE EXISTS. So the contract was declined on both counts, and the alternative pursued instead: two of the four species entered our breeding programme, one reached F2 in the following year, and the breeding method was published and sold on to two competitors — which does more to reduce collection than our refusal did. Nil pay is linked to export volume.**

9. Wildlife, Welfare & Export Compliance (India)

- **Wild Life (Protection) Act 1972 and WCCB remit — several Western Ghats and North-East endemics may not lawfully be collected, while "tank-bred" is nowhere defined and requires no evidence.**
- **CITES listings and export permits** for regulated species, with captive-breeding registration where applicable.

- **Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act 1960 and the Transport of Animals Rules — which govern live-animal carriage and are almost never applied to ornamental fish consignments.**
- **IATA Live Animals Regulations — contractual rather than statutory, and the only detailed operating standard the trade actually follows.**
- **MPEDA registration and DGFT documentation** for ornamental-fish export.
- **Quarantine and health certification** for import and export of live aquatic animals.
- **Biological Diversity Act** where endemic genetic material is used for breeding.
- **Consumer Protection Act** on representation, including colour and origin claims. **Nothing requires a seller to prove a fish was bred rather than caught, and nothing prevents two parties agreeing in advance how many of them may die.**

10. Organisation & Manpower Plan

Function	Yr 1	Yr 3	Notes
Promoter / managing director	1	1	Cannot approve a DOA clause or a wild-caught line
Breeding, grow-out & quarantine technicians	24	56	**22 tanks per technician across 1,240 tanks**
Packing, transit conditioning & despatch	12	28	**Density set by species and duration, not box economics**
Sales, export documentation & customer service	10	22	**Nil pay linked to export volume**
Broodstock records & mortality register	8	18	**Register maintained outside sales**
Facility maintenance, water & biosecurity	7	16	A closed system; one outbreak takes a line
Admin, finance, legal & business development	6	13	CITES, WCCB, quarantine and export documentation
Total	**68**	**154**	**2.40m fish; 68 species bred; 38 declined**

> **The breeding headcount is derived rather than assumed, and it reconciles three ways. By volume: 1,240 breeding and grow-out tanks at 22 tanks per technician is 56 technicians — a ratio set deliberately below the trade norm, because the difference between a technician covering 22 tanks and one covering 40 is whether a spawning is noticed on the day it happens or two days later, and in ornamental breeding that is most of the yield. By cost per fish: the ₹1.75 crore of transit welfare, broodstock records and the published register across 2.40 million fish shipped is ₹7.29 per fish — 17.8 per cent of a ₹41 average realisation, down from ₹12.09 in Year 1, AND IT IS UNAPOLOGETICALLY HIGH: keeping a live animal alive through a forty-hour transit is expensive, and the trade's alternative is to agree in advance that some of them will not survive it. By cost per head: the ₹68 lakh records and register salary line across 18 people is ₹3.78 lakh cost-to-company each, with the remaining ₹21 lakh of those two lines being the register system and follow-up contact. The register is maintained outside sales, because the route with the worst survival is usually the cheapest one.**

11. Implementation Timeline & Milestones

Phase	Window	Milestones
Formation, facility & first broodstock	Month 0–8	Incorporation, tanks, recirculation, documented broodstock, 34 species

Nil-allowance contract template adopted	Month 4–9	**DOA clause absent from every contract; losses made good in full**
Route testing and mortality register live	Month 6–14	**Published by route and species; mortality 6.8%**
Export registration and first consignments	Month 10–18	MPEDA, CITES where needed, IATA packing standard adopted
30-day customer follow-up programme	Month 14–24	**88% → 92% reached; survival published, not inferred**
Scale & consultancy	Month 26–36	2.40m fish, 68 species, mortality 2.4%, breeding sold on

Training and competence

- **Declining a DOA clause** without sounding as though we are refusing to share risk.
- **Recognising dyed and hormone-treated stock** at the point a supplier offers it.
- **Packing to duration rather than to box count**, which costs money on every consignment.
- **Asking a customer at thirty days** whether the fish lived, and recording the answer either way.

12. Financial Plan (Illustrative)

> **All figures are illustrative model assumptions, not forecasts or guarantees.** They exist to show the drivers of an ornamental-fish P&L under a no-allowance rule. Every input is editable. **The defining economics are that this is a high-margin, low-capital business whose single largest variable cost is keeping animals alive — 42.9% gross margin on ₹6.00 crore of capital, and transit welfare and mortality together move profit further than price does.**

12.1 Project cost & funding

Item	₹ lakh	Notes
Breeding facility — tanks, recirculation, filtration, quarantine	**218**	**1,240 tanks; quarantine separated from production**
Working capital — livestock, feed and hardware stock	96	Livestock is inventory that must be fed daily
Packing and transit-conditioning station	**84**	**Purging, conditioning, oxygen and temperature control**
Land, building & utilities	78	Power reliability is a biosecurity requirement
Broodstock acquisition — documented, legally sourced	**62**	**Provenance recorded at acquisition, not reconstructed**
Water treatment, biosecurity & laboratory	38	A closed system; one outbreak takes a line
Licences, registrations, CITES/WCCB & pre-operative	24	MPEDA, quarantine, export documentation
Total project cost	**600**	₹220L promoter + ₹180L term loan + ₹120L investor + ₹80L WC

12.2 Operating metrics

Metric	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3
NIL DOA ALLOWANCE QUOTED OR ACCEPTED IN ANY CONTRACT / **contracts written with an agreed mortality percentage** / actual transit mortality PUBLISHED by route and species / **transit mortality (%)**	100% / NIL / 100% / 6.8%	100% / NIL / 100% / 4.1%	**100% / NIL / 100% / 2.4%**
EVERY FISH CAPTIVE-BRED WITH BROODSTOCK AND GENERATION RECORDED / **wild-caught stock sold or described as tank-bred** / species with F-generation stated on the invoice / **species DECLINED because we could not breed them**	100% / NIL / 100% / 22	100% / NIL / 100% / 31	**100% / NIL / 100% / 38**
NIL DYED, TATTOOED, HORMONE-COLOURED OR ARTIFICIALLY MARKED FISH / **stock sold as a natural morph after colour treatment** / suppliers delisted for offering treated stock / **colour retention at 6 months, verified by follow-up**	100% / NIL / 9 / 94%	100% / NIL / 5 / 97%	**100% / NIL / 2 / 99%**
TRANSIT ROUTE TESTED BEFORE IT IS USED / **routes used without a test consignment** / oxygen, temperature and duration logged per consignment / **consignments held back on a failed route test**	100% / NIL / 100% / 14	100% / NIL / 100% / 9	**100% / NIL / 100% / 4**
CUSTOMER FOLLOWED UP AT 30 DAYS AND SURVIVAL PUBLISHED / **losses recorded only when a customer complains** / customers reached at 30 days / **replacements given without dispute**	100% / NIL / 88% / 100%	100% / NIL / 92% / 100%	**100% / NIL / 96% / 100%**
Fish shipped (million) / average realisation per fish / **tanks per breeding technician** / **welfare, records and register cost per fish** / species bred	0.86 / ₹37 / 18 / ₹12.09 / 34	1.72 / ₹38 / 20 / ₹8.20 / 52	**2.40 / ₹41 / 22 / ₹7.29 / 68**

> **Row one's last column falling from 6.8% to 2.4% is the plan's central claim, and the mechanism is not general carefulness — it is that a published route figure makes a bad routing visible, expensive and impossible to average away. Row two's last column rising to 38 declined species is the cost side of the same discipline, and each refusal was an order fillable from a collector within a week. Row five's third column matters more than it looks: the trade's mortality figures are complaint rates, because most hobbyists who lose a ₹40 fish buy another rather than complain — so reaching 96% of customers at thirty days replaces an inferred number with a measured one. Row six's cost per fish at ₹7.29 is 17.8% of realisation and is not defended as efficient: keeping an animal alive through a forty-hour transit is expensive, and the trade's alternative is to agree in advance that some of them will not survive it.**

12.3 Revenue build

Stream	Yr 1 (₹L)	Yr 2 (₹L)	Yr 3 (₹L)	Gross margin
Captive-bred freshwater — export	147	301	448	**46.0%**
Captive-bred freshwater — domestic trade & retail	110	226	336	**38.0%**
Aquarium hardware, feed & water products	74	150	224	28.0%
Aquatic plants, tank-bred shrimp & invertebrates	64	132	196	52.0%
Breeding stock & hatchery consultancy	37	75	112	**58.0%**
Maintenance contracts & aquascaping services	28	56	84	44.0%
Total revenue	**460**	**940**	**1,400**	**42.9% blended**

12.4 P&L summary

Line	Yr 1 (₹L)	Yr 2 (₹L)	Yr 3 (₹L)
Revenue	460	940	1,400
Cost of goods — feed, livestock inputs, freight & direct labour	(263)	(537)	(800)
Gross profit	**197**	**403**	**600**
Transit welfare — packing, oxygen, temperature control, route testing	**(48)**	**(68)**	**(86)**
Sales, export documentation & distribution	(32)	(50)	(68)
Facility overhead, water, power & biosecurity	(30)	(44)	(58)
Broodstock records & generation traceability per species	**(34)**	**(44)**	**(54)**
Admin, finance, legal & business development	(32)	(38)	(44)
Published mortality register & 30-day customer follow-up	**(22)**	**(29)**	**(35)**
EBITDA	**(1)**	**130**	**255**
Depreciation & amortisation	(30)	(42)	(52)
Finance cost	(20)	(28)	(34)
Other income — consultancy retainers & plant sales *(disclosed)*	8	13	18
PBT	**(43)**	**73**	**187**
Tax	11	(18)	(47)
PAT	**(32)**	**55**	**140**
Net margin	**(7.0%)**	**5.9%**	**10.0%**
ROCE	**(5.2%)**	**14.7%**	**33.8%**

> **A 42.9% gross margin on ₹6.00 crore of capital produces a 33.8% return, which is the highest in this vertical and reflects a business that owns tanks rather than plant. The three commitment lines total ₹1.75 crore, 12.5% of revenue — an exceptionally large proportion, and the honest explanation is that in a live-animal trade the welfare spend IS the cost of goods by another name: what a competitor saves on packing and routing, he pays in animals, and only one of those two appears on his P&L. Other income of ₹18 lakh is consultancy retainers and plant sales, disclosed as a line and 1.3% of revenue. Commitments of ₹3.43 crore against ₹1.40 crore of profit — ₹1.75 crore of opex above plus ₹1.68 crore of gross profit forgone on range we chose not to carry — mean a trade-standard business would earn ₹4.83 crore.**

12.5 Break-even & sensitivity

Scenario	Yr 3 revenue	Yr 3 PAT	Comment
Base	₹14.0cr	₹1.40cr	As modelled, nil allowance, all captive-bred
Trade-standard — DOA allowance, wild-caught as tank-bred, treated stock	₹19.0cr	₹4.88cr	**The trade's model, computed rather than dismissed**

A disease outbreak takes one breeding line for six months	₹12.4cr	₹0.17cr	**A closed system; the dominant operational risk**
Volume 20% below plan	₹11.2cr	₹0.50cr	Tanks and technicians are fixed within a season
Transit mortality doubles to 4.8%	₹14.0cr	₹0.68cr	**We carry it in full; there is no allowance to absorb it**
Air-freight rates rise 40%	₹14.0cr	₹1.20cr	Live consignments cannot be consolidated or delayed

13. Funding Requirement & Bankability

Source	₹ lakh	Terms (indicative)
Promoter contribution	220	Cash and breeding leadership
Term loan	180	Facility, tanks, recirculation, packing station; 6 years
Investor equity	120	Broodstock and species programme; no-allowance covenant
Working capital facility	80	Livestock, feed and hardware stock
Total	**600**	Illustrative structure

> **A lender should read transit mortality by route, species declined, 30-day survival and the route-test hold count, and should understand the unusual risk this business has taken onto its own balance sheet: BY REFUSING A DOA ALLOWANCE WE HAVE ACCEPTED, IN FULL, A LOSS THE ENTIRE TRADE SHARES WITH ITS BUYERS. Doubling transit mortality takes profit from ₹1.40 crore to ₹0.68 crore, and a competitor with a five per cent allowance would barely notice the same event. The starkest figure is that quoting a DOA allowance, selling wild-caught stock as tank-bred and stocking treated fish would take profit from ₹1.40 crore to ₹4.88 crore on the same tanks and the same buyers — and only the middle one of those three is even arguably unlawful. The sharpest exposure is biological: a disease outbreak taking one breeding line for six months leaves ₹0.17 crore, because a closed recirculating system concentrates risk in exactly the way it concentrates control. A lender should treat published route mortality as the covenant, since it is the one figure that cannot be improved by changing what gets counted.**

- **Use of funds** — 36% breeding facility and tanks, 16% working capital, 14% packing and conditioning station, 13% land and building, 10% documented broodstock, 6% water and biosecurity, 4% licences.
- **Repayment** — term loan from Year 2 cash flow; working capital revolving against livestock and hardware stock.
- **Investor exit** — sale to an aquaculture group, a pet-trade distributor or an international ornamental breeder; or promoter buyback. **The no-allowance rule, captive-breeding requirement and treated-stock prohibition survive change of control.**

14. Risk Analysis & Mitigation

Risk	Impact	Mitigation

The death rate is a term of the contract	"DOA" — DEAD ON ARRIVAL — IS A STANDARD COMMERCIAL TERM, NEGOTIATED IN THE SAME CONVERSATION AS PRICE AND PACKING. Five per cent is unremarkable; ten is negotiable on a long route. THE DEATHS OF SEVERAL THOUSAND ANIMALS ARE SETTLED IN ADVANCE, IN WRITING, BY TWO PEOPLE NEITHER OF WHOM WILL BE PRESENT WHEN IT HAPPENS. Nobody is deceived and nothing is concealed — the clause exists for a genuine reason, since live-animal transit carries irreducible risk and somebody must bear it. BUT A NUMBER, ONCE AGREED, STOPS BEHAVING LIKE A FAILURE AND STARTS BEHAVING LIKE A BUDGET: A SHIPPER WHO LOSES FOUR PER CENT AGAINST A FIVE PER CENT ALLOWANCE HAS OUTPERFORMED HIS CONTRACT AND HAS NO COMMERCIAL REASON WHATEVER TO REACH THREE. THE ALLOWANCE IS NOT A CEILING THE TRADE WORKS DOWNWARD FROM — IT IS A LEVEL IT SETTLES ON, BECAUSE THE COST OF DOING BETTER IS REAL AND THE REWARD IS ZERO. And the accounting completes it: once priced, invoiced and reconciled against an agreed percentage, NOBODY IN THE CHAIN EXPERIENCES THEM AS DEATHS AT ALL — they are a variance in a settlement note, and the packer who could have used one more litre per box is correctly told he is within tolerance. THE CLAUSE DOES NOT CAUSE THE MORTALITY — IT REMOVES EVERY PARTY'S REASON TO REDUCE IT, WHICH IS A DIFFERENT AND MORE DURABLE THING, BECAUSE IT SURVIVES EVERY IMPROVEMENT IN PACKING TECHNOLOGY AND EVERY GOOD INTENTION ON EITHER SIDE	**REMOVE THE ALLOWANCE RATHER THAN NEGOTIATE IT DOWN. NIL DOA PERCENTAGE QUOTED OR ACCEPTED IN ANY CONTRACT (100%), with the rule IN THE STANDARD CONTRACT TEMPLATE RATHER THAN WITH THE SALESPERSON — BECAUSE A BUYER WILL ASK FOR THE CLAUSE IN ALMOST EVERY NEGOTIATION AND IT HAS TO BE ABSENT RATHER THAN DECLINED EACH TIME BY SOMEONE UNDER PRESSURE TO CLOSE. Losses made good IN FULL without a threshold, so the number has nowhere to hide: ACTUAL TRANSIT MORTALITY PUBLISHED BY ROUTE AND SPECIES, 6.8% → 2.4% — NOT BECAUSE ANYONE BECAME MORE CAREFUL IN A GENERAL WAY, BUT BECAUSE A PUBLISHED ROUTE FIGURE MAKES A BAD ROUTING VISIBLE, EXPENSIVE AND IMPOSSIBLE TO AVERAGE AWAY. Routes TESTED BEFORE USE (100%); 4 consignments held back on a failed test; register maintained OUTSIDE SALES, BECAUSE THE ROUTE WITH THE WORST SURVIVAL IS VERY OFTEN THE CHEAPEST AND MOST PROFITABLE ONE (₹86L + ₹35L). GENERAL RULE: WHERE A DEATH RATE IS AGREED IN ADVANCE, IT STOPS BEING A FAILURE AND BECOMES A BUDGET — SO PUBLISH THE ACTUAL RATE BY ROUTE AND SPECIES, AND NEVER QUOTE AN ALLOWANCE**
Nothing distinguishes a wild fish from a bred one	A large share of India's ornamental exports in certain species is COLLECTED FROM WESTERN GHATS AND NORTH-EAST STREAMS AND SOLD AS "TANK-BRED" — and THERE IS NO TEST, NO MARK AND NO EXAMINATION THAT SEPARATES THEM, BECAUSE THEY ARE THE SAME ANIMAL. "Tank-bred" is not a defined or regulated term, carries no evidential requirement, and CANNOT BE DISPROVED BY INSPECTING THE FISH. THE CLAIM IS UNVERIFIABLE IN PRINCIPLE RATHER THAN MERELY IN PRACTICE — A STRONGER FORM OF UNVERIFIABILITY THAN ANYTHING ELSE IN THIS VERTICAL, SINCE THERE IS NO LABORATORY THAT COULD SETTLE IT AND NO DOCUMENT THAT WOULD BE CHECKED. And the species are frequently NARROW ENDEMICS WHOSE ENTIRE GLOBAL RANGE IS A FEW STREAMS, so collection pressure concentrates exactly where it does most damage	**EVERY FISH CAPTIVE-BRED WITH BROODSTOCK AND F-GENERATION RECORDED (100%), NIL wild-caught stock sold or described as tank-bred, and 38 SPECIES DECLINED because we could not breed them — EACH REFUSAL AN ORDER WE COULD HAVE FILLED FROM A COLLECTOR WITHIN A WEEK. Broodstock provenance recorded AT ACQUISITION rather than reconstructed later; breeding stock and method SOLD ON to businesses that would otherwise collect (₹54L)**

The colour fades after the customer stops blaming us	Injected dye, laser marking and hormone colour-feeding are widespread and sold as NATURAL MORPHS — dyed glassfish, injected parrot cichlids, hormone-fed guppies — and every treatment SHORTENS THE ANIMAL'S LIFE AND RAISES ITS STRESS. The commercially important fact is THE TIMING: the colour holds for two to four months, WHICH IS APPROXIMATELY THE PERIOD IN WHICH A CUSTOMER WOULD STILL CONNECT A PROBLEM TO THE SELLER. By the time it fades he has changed water a dozen times and added other stock, SO HE ATTRIBUTES IT TO HIS OWN TANK — EXACTLY WHERE THE TRADE NEEDS HIM TO ATTRIBUTE IT	**NIL dyed, tattooed, hormone-coloured or artificially marked fish anywhere in the facility; 2 SUPPLIERS DELISTED for offering treated stock; and COLOUR RETENTION VERIFIED BY FOLLOW-UP AT SIX MONTHS at 99% — which is the only measurement that reaches past the window in which the trade's practice is invisible**
Nobody ever asks whether the fish is still alive	The trade records a loss ONLY WHEN A CUSTOMER COMPLAINS, so the mortality figure everyone works from is A COMPLAINT RATE WEARING A SURVIVAL RATE'S CLOTHES — and MOST HOBBYISTS WHO LOSE A ₹40 FISH DO NOT COMPLAIN, THEY BUY ANOTHER	**CUSTOMERS FOLLOWED UP AT THIRTY DAYS (96% reached), 30-DAY SURVIVAL IN THE CUSTOMER'S OWN TANK PUBLISHED RATHER THAN INFERRED, and replacements given WITHOUT DISPUTE at 100% — replacing an inferred number with a measured one, in a trade where the difference between the two is most of the mortality**
The contract that requires four wild species	A European buyer offers a three-year contract at 18% ABOVE our export realisation, worth ~₹1.6cr a year, CONDITIONAL ON A FIVE PER CENT DOA ALLOWANCE AND FOUR WESTERN GHATS LOACHES WE DO NOT BREED. The DOA clause is the easier half to refuse. THE SPECIES LIST IS HARDER, BECAUSE THE LOACHES ARE AVAILABLE FROM COLLECTORS AT A PRICE, THE BUYER WILL BUY THEM FROM SOMEBODY, AND OUR DECLINING CHANGES NOTHING ABOUT WHETHER THEY ARE TAKEN FROM THE STREAM — IT ONLY CHANGES WHETHER WE ARE THE ONE TAKING THEM. THAT ARGUMENT IS ENTIRELY CORRECT AND IT IS ALSO THE ARGUMENT EVERY PARTICIPANT IN A COLLECTION TRADE MAKES, WHICH IS WHY THE TRADE EXISTS	**Declined on both counts, and the alternative pursued instead: TWO OF THE FOUR SPECIES ENTERED OUR BREEDING PROGRAMME, ONE REACHED F2 THE FOLLOWING YEAR, AND THE BREEDING METHOD WAS PUBLISHED AND SOLD ON TO TWO COMPETITORS — WHICH DOES MORE TO REDUCE COLLECTION THAN OUR REFUSAL DID. Nil pay linked to export volume**
Disease in a closed system	A recirculating facility concentrates risk exactly as it concentrates control; an outbreak taking one breeding line for six months leaves ₹0.17cr	Quarantine physically separated from production; broodstock lines held in independent systems; species diversified across 68 lines so no single loss is decisive; and biosecurity staffed as a permanent function rather than an incident response
Volume, freight and transit	Volume 20% below plan is ₹0.50cr; air freight +40% is ₹1.20cr; doubled transit mortality is ₹0.68cr and we carry it in full	Hardware and feed at 16% of revenue as non-living income; consultancy at 58% margin as capital-light revenue; domestic and export channels held in parallel; and route testing treated as freight-risk management rather than as a welfare overhead

15. SWOT Analysis

Strengths	Weaknesses
• Nil DOA allowance in any contract; losses made good in full • Transit mortality published by route — 6.8% → 2.4% • Every fish captive-bred with generation recorded • Nil dyed or hormone-treated stock; 99% colour retention • 30-day customer follow-up at 96%, published	• ₹3.43cr forgone against ₹1.40cr of profit • Carries transit loss the trade shares with buyers • Narrower range; 38 species declined • Closed system; one outbreak takes a line
Opportunities	**Threats**
• Buyers who have had a bad DOA experience • Tightening wildlife enforcement favouring documented breeding • Consultancy converting collectors into breeders • Import substitution in South-East Asian species • Any definition of "tank-bred" would favour us	• Competitors offering wider range instantly from collectors • Air-freight cost and live-animal capacity • Disease in a recirculating system • Price competition from treated and wild-caught stock

16. Recommended AiSevak Tools for This Business

- **Contract template guard** — DOA clause absent by construction; cannot be added at the desk.
- **Route mortality register** — actual losses by route and species, published, maintained outside sales.
- **Broodstock and generation record** — F-generation per species, stated on the invoice.
- **Route test log** — trial consignment before first use; holds recorded against failures.
- **Consignment condition log** — oxygen, temperature and duration per box.
- **30-day follow-up scheduler** — survival in the customer's tank, recorded either way.
- **Declined-species register** — species refused for want of breeding capability, with the forgone value.

17. Key Assumptions & Notes

- **All financials are illustrative model assumptions, not forecasts, projections or guarantees.** Revenue, fish volumes, realisation, mortality, freight and breeding yields are editable inputs; actual outcomes depend on export demand, freight availability, disease and breeding success. Figures are in Indian rupees. **This plan inherits the fisheries vertical's disclosure discipline but none of its food-safety framing.**
- **The central finding is that the death rate is a term of the contract.** "DOA" is a standard commercial term negotiated alongside price and packing; five per cent is unremarkable. **The deaths of several thousand animals are settled in advance, in writing, by two people neither of whom will be present when it happens** — and nobody is deceived, because the clause allocates a genuine and irreducible risk.
- **But a number, once agreed, stops behaving like a failure and starts behaving like a budget.** A shipper losing four per cent against a five per cent allowance has outperformed and has no reason to reach three. **The allowance is not a ceiling the trade works downward from — it is a level it settles on, because the cost of doing better is real and the reward is zero. And once priced and reconciled, nobody in the chain experiences the deaths as deaths at all: they are a variance in a settlement note. The clause does not cause the mortality — it removes every party's reason to reduce it, which is a different and more durable thing.**

- **So the allowance is removed rather than negotiated down.** Nil DOA percentage in any contract, with the rule in the template rather than with the salesperson, and losses made good in full. **Actual transit mortality published by route and species fell from 6.8% to 2.4% — not through general carefulness but because a published route figure makes a bad routing visible, expensive and impossible to average away. WHERE A DEATH RATE IS AGREED IN ADVANCE, IT STOPS BEING A FAILURE AND BECOMES A BUDGET — SO PUBLISH THE ACTUAL RATE BY ROUTE AND SPECIES, AND NEVER QUOTE AN ALLOWANCE.**
- **Nothing distinguishes a wild-caught fish from a bred one.** Wild collection sold as "tank-bred" cannot be disproved by inspecting the fish, because they are the same animal, and the term is nowhere defined. **The claim is unverifiable in principle rather than merely in practice — a stronger form of unverifiability than anything else in this vertical, since no laboratory could settle it and no document would be checked.** Hence every fish captive-bred with generation recorded, and 38 species declined.
- **The colour fades after the customer stops blaming us, and nobody ever asks whether the fish is alive.** Dye and hormone treatment hold for two to four months — approximately the window in which a customer would still connect a problem to the seller — so he attributes the loss to his own tank. **And because the trade records a loss only when someone complains, its mortality figure is a complaint rate wearing a survival rate's clothes.** Hence six-month colour verification and thirty-day follow-up reaching 96%.
- **The asymmetry is unlike anything earlier in this library, because the party that suffers is not a party to anything.** Elsewhere the injured party was a buyer, a neighbour or a worker. **Here the animal is the product, the victim and the unit of account simultaneously, and has no representative anywhere in the chain — which is why the DOA clause is possible at all. You cannot write an agreed casualty rate into a contract for a person, not because the arithmetic differs but because somebody would be entitled to object.** The remedies are therefore not disclosures to a counterparty but constraints accepted against ourselves and published so someone outside could check them — **none of them enforceable by the party they protect, which is precisely why they have to be structural rather than intentional.**
- **Compliance and professional advice.** The Wild Life (Protection) Act 1972 and WCCB remit, **under which several Western Ghats and North-East endemics may not lawfully be collected, while "tank-bred" is nowhere defined and requires no evidence**; CITES listings, export permits and captive-breeding registration; the Prevention of Cruelty to Animals Act 1960 and Transport of Animals Rules, **which govern live-animal carriage and are almost never applied to ornamental consignments**; IATA Live Animals Regulations, **contractual rather than statutory and the only detailed standard the trade follows**; MPEDA and DGFT export documentation; quarantine and health certification; the Biological Diversity Act where endemic genetic material is used; and the Consumer Protection Act on colour and origin representation. **Nothing requires a seller to prove a fish was bred rather than caught, and nothing prevents two parties agreeing in advance how many of them may die.** Professional advice should be taken on captive-breeding registration for scheduled species, CITES documentation, live-animal carriage liability, and origin-claim substantiation.

▶ Use these tools now — free on AiSevak

Every tool referenced in this plan is available on **aisevak.in**. Open the **Fisheries and Aquaculture toolkit** to browse and use them all at **https://aisevak.in/verticals/fisheries** — or go straight to any specific tool at **https://aisevak.in/service/<tool-name>**. No signup needed to explore; each tool guides you step by step.