BUSINESS PLAN · INDIA

Mattress and Home Furnishing Brand

Mattresses warranted on support retention rather than visible sagging, with the layer schedule published

FURNITURE MANUFACTURING AND RETAIL

AISEVAK.IN · BY ABL DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES

August 2026

1. Executive Summary

This plan sets out a **Mattress & Home Furnishing Brand** in India — foam, spring and hybrid mattresses, pillows, toppers and protectors, bed linen and duvets, curtains and upholstery fabric, and institutional bedding, selling roughly 42,000 mattresses a year at an average realisation of ₹5,448 by Year 3.

> This plan inherits the ten furniture anchor rules set by **furniture-manufacturing** and closes the vertical on the product a person is in physical contact with longer than any other object they own. **You will spend about twenty-five thousand hours on it. You will assess it for ten minutes, lying on your back, in a showroom, in your shoes.**

> **A TEN-YEAR WARRANTY WRITTEN AGAINST A FAILURE THE PRODUCT DOES NOT HAVE. A mattress fails by LOSING SUPPORT — the foam softens and the springs lose tension, so it stops holding the spine. That is gradual, continuous and produces almost no visible depression. The warranty covers "sagging or body impression exceeding 25mm, measured with the mattress unoccupied" — WHICH IS A DIFFERENT PHYSICAL PROPERTY ENTIRELY. A mattress can lose forty per cent of its support and show twelve millimetres of impression: IT FAILS THE SLEEPER EVERY NIGHT FOR YEARS AND NEVER ONCE TRIGGERS THE CLAUSE. Nothing in the document is untrue and every clause is enforceable — IT SIMPLY MEASURES THE WRONG QUANTITY, so the ten years are marketing and the remedy is unreachable by construction. So this business WARRANTS ILD RETENTION — indentation force deflection, the actual measure of support — recorded at manufacture and RE-TESTED ON THE RETURNED UNIT AT CLAIM, with claims upheld rising from 68% to 92%. WHERE THE WARRANTY MEASURES A DIFFERENT PHYSICAL PROPERTY FROM THE ONE THAT FAILS, ITS LENGTH IS MARKETING — SO WARRANT THE PROPERTY THAT ACTUALLY DEGRADES, AND MEASURE IT.**

> **AND THE ONE ACT THAT WOULD REVEAL THE TRUTH IS THE ONE THAT FORFEITS THE REMEDY. Once a mattress is stitched shut, the layer structure is unverifiable — the label may not match the contents, and NOBODY CAN TELL WITHOUT CUTTING IT OPEN, WHICH VOIDS THE WARRANTY. THE INSTRUMENT THAT IS SUPPOSED TO PROTECT THE BUYER IS THE INSTRUMENT THAT PREVENTS HIM FROM CHECKING WHAT HE BOUGHT. So a CUT-THROUGH PHOTOGRAPH AND FULL LAYER SCHEDULE ARE PUBLISHED PER SKU with DENSITY IN kg/m^3 AND ILD PER LAYER, and INSPECTION IS PERMITTED WITHOUT VOIDING THE WARRANTY — on 100% of SKUs.**

> **AND "ORTHOPAEDIC" MEANS NOTHING AT ALL. "Orthopaedic", "medically approved" and "doctor recommended" are unregulated in India — "orthopaedic" in particular implies a clinical endorsement NO INDIAN MEDICAL BODY ISSUES FOR MATTRESSES. So NIL clinical or medical claims are made anywhere, 14 SKUs were renamed to remove an implied one, and every claim is stated as A MEASURABLE PHYSICAL PROPERTY instead.**

This plan models an operator requiring **₹20.00 crore** (₹8.60 crore investor + ₹5.40 crore term loan + ₹4.00 crore working capital + ₹2.00 crore promoter), moving from **₹11.00 crore revenue and a ₹2.29 crore loss** to **₹52.00 crore revenue and ₹3.64 crore profit** by Year 3 — *a 7.0% net margin and 27.4% return on capital. (Mattress and furnishing — figures illustrative.)*

2. Business Description, Vision & Legal Structure

Concept: A mattress brand whose warranty measures the thing that actually wears out.

Vision: To make support retention the number people buy on.

Mission: Warrant ILD retention and re-test it at claim; publish the layer schedule and a cut-through photograph; permit inspection without voiding anything; give a trial long enough for the product to change; make no clinical claim; and measure emission where the sleeper's face actually is.

Legal structure

- **Private Limited** — recommended. Institutional contracting, dealer networks, warranty liability over a ten-year horizon and outside capital all assume it.
- **Warranty terms fixed as a board-level document, not a marketing asset — the structural precondition, since a warranty owned by marketing will be lengthened and narrowed in the same revision.**
- **Claim adjudication and ILD re-testing reporting outside sales — because the claim rate on a range is a direct comment on the range that sales is currently pushing.**

> **Regulatory anchor:** the Consumer Protection Act 2019 on misleading representation, **under which "orthopaedic" and "medically approved" on a mattress are claims capable of misleading — with no Indian standard defining either and no medical body issuing such an endorsement**; the Consumer Protection (E-Commerce) Rules on listing accuracy and returns; Legal Metrology (Packaged Commodities) Rules for dimension and composition declarations; BIS standards for polyurethane foam, rubberised coir, latex and spring units, and **the absence of any mandatory disclosure of layer composition or foam density on a finished mattress**; ASTM and ISO indentation-force-deflection and constant-force-pounding protocols, **which measure exactly what fails and are required of nobody**; the Drugs and Cosmetics framework, **which is what an "orthopaedic" claim would have to answer to if the word were regulated, and it is not**; flammability and flame-retardant treatment disclosure; the Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023 for trial and warranty records held for a decade; and EPF, ESI and chemical-handling obligations in foam pouring. **The decisive structural fact is that A MATTRESS MAY BE WARRANTED FOR TEN YEARS AGAINST A GEOMETRIC THRESHOLD WHILE DISCLOSING NOTHING ABOUT THE PROPERTY THAT ACTUALLY DEGRADES.**

3. Promoter & Ownership

Led by a promoter with mattress, foam or home-furnishing background, with a materials head and a claims lead. Three competences decide outcomes. *Foam formulation and density control*, because every commitment in this plan is downstream of whether the poured block matches the specification. *Acquisition economics*, since marketing is the largest cost in the model by a wide margin and a mattress brand lives or dies on cost per acquired customer. And *the willingness to honour a claim the trade would have refused*, which is where this plan's proposition sits and which shows up as a warranty provision rather than as a slogan. Ownership, equity & investor terms are editable inputs; **warranty terms are a board document, and claim adjudication reports outside sales.**

4. Market Analysis — India

A high-margin, high-marketing category where nobody can verify anything.

Demand drivers

- **Replacement cycles** shortening as awareness of sleep quality rises.
- **Online mattress buying** normalised, with trials as the accepted substitute for testing.
- **Hospitality and institutional demand** from hotels, hostels and co-living.
- **Rising discretionary spend** on bedding, linen and soft furnishings.
- **Health and posture concern**, which is what the unregulated claims exploit.
- **Home furnishing attach** — linen and curtains bought alongside the mattress.

Market sizing (illustrative framing)

Layer	Definition	Indicative scale
TAM	Indian mattress and home-furnishing demand	Large; among the fastest-growing home categories
SAM	**SKUs whose layer schedule we can publish and stand behind**	**Bounded by DISCLOSURE, not by demand**
SOM (Yr 1–3)	Mattresses sold with published ILD and a support-retention warranty	₹11cr → ₹52cr; 42,000 mattresses; 92% claims upheld

Revenue mix and share (Year 3)

Stream	Share	Gross margin — and what the buyer cannot check
Mattresses — foam, spring & hybrid	**44.0%**	**62.0% — sealed; the whole disclosure problem**
Bed linen, duvets & soft furnishings	16.0%	52.0% — visible and checkable; the honest category
Pillows, toppers & protectors	14.0%	58.0% — same sealed problem at a smaller ticket
Curtains, upholstery fabric & furnishings	12.0%	48.0% — flame-retardant treatment undisclosed by trade
Institutional & hospitality bedding	**10.0%**	**38.0% — the sleeper is not the buyer**
Trial returns refurbished & resold as such	**4.0%**	**26.0% — never resold as new; the honest cost**

> **The first row is the entire disclosure problem in one product: it is sealed at manufacture, its contents are unverifiable thereafter, and it carries the highest margin in the range — a combination that would produce substitution even in an honest industry, and does. The fifth row repeats the structure this vertical has now found four times: a hotel or hostel buys the mattress and A GUEST OR A STUDENT SLEEPS ON IT, so the person whose spine is at stake has no part in the specification and no channel to complain through. The sixth row exists because trial returns have to go somewhere, and the two honest options are refurbish-and-disclose or destroy — RESELLING A RETURNED MATTRESS AS NEW IS THE THIRD OPTION AND IT IS WHAT MAKES A NO-QUESTIONS TRIAL PROFITABLE FOR EVERYONE ELSE.**

Constraints

- **Customer acquisition cost**, the largest single line in the model.
- **Trial return rate**, where each return costs more than most categories' entire margin.
- **Foam and latex input prices**, volatile and petrochemical-linked.
- **Disclosure**, which narrows the range before demand does.

5. Competition & Position

Competitor type	Strength	Weakness this plan exploits
National mattress brands	Brand recall, distribution, ten-year warranties, scale	**Warranty measures sagging; the product fails by softening**
Online mattress-in-a-box brands	Price, trial offers, content marketing, convenience	Trial returns resold as new; restocking friction

Traditional foam and coir makers	Cheapest, local, long-established, repairable	No density disclosure; "orthopaedic" used freely
Imported premium brands	Materials, finish, genuine specification behind them	Price; specification rarely translated into Indian claims
This plan	**ILD-retention warranty re-tested at claim, published layer schedule and cut-through, 100-night trial, nil clinical claims**	Higher price; publishes claim rates; refurbishes rather than resells as new

> **The positioning replaces a duration with a property: not "ten-year warranty" but "warranted to retain a stated proportion of its original support, measured, and re-measured on your unit if you claim". No competitor offers it, and the reason is arithmetic rather than dishonesty — A WARRANTY AGAINST THE PROPERTY THAT ACTUALLY DEGRADES WILL ACTUALLY PAY OUT, so it must be provisioned for, while a warranty against visible sagging costs almost nothing because it almost never triggers. One is a liability on the balance sheet and the other is a line in an advertisement, and they are sold to the customer as the same thing. The commercial difficulty is that ours will read shorter and narrower than a rival's — a seven-year support-retention warranty beside a competitor's ten-year sagging warranty looks worse on a comparison table, AND IS WORTH INCOMPARABLY MORE, which the buyer has to grasp before the honesty helps us.**

> **The cost of the honest position is stated in rupees rather than implied. The 100-night home trial with collection at our cost — no restocking fee, no collection charge — cost ₹2.86 crore of Year-3 margin, the largest opex commitment and the one that scales directly with success. ILD retention testing, the published layer schedule and the cut-through photograph cost ₹1.18 crore. And refurbishing trial returns and reselling them as refurbished rather than as new forgave ₹2.32 crore of gross profit — a cost that appears nowhere in the P&L, because it is the margin difference between an honest resale and a dishonest one. Total ₹6.36 crore against ₹3.64 crore of profit — so an operator with the same plant and the same traffic running trade-standard practice would earn ₹10.00 crore.**

6. A Ten-Year Warranty Against a Failure That Never Happens

This is the finding, and it turns on two different physical quantities being confused for one.

Property	What it describes
Support — resistance to compression under load	**What the sleeper needs**
Support loss — foam softening, spring fatigue	**How a mattress actually fails**
Body impression — visible depression, unoccupied	What the warranty measures
Impression over 25mm, on a flat base, no stains, tag intact	What a claim requires
Correlation between the two	**Weak, and late**

> **A mattress fails by LOSING SUPPORT. Polyurethane foam softens with cyclic loading; latex loses resilience; springs fatigue and lose tension — so the surface that once held the spine in line progressively stops doing it. That process is gradual, continuous, and produces remarkably little visible depression: the material is not going anywhere, it is simply getting weaker. The warranty, meanwhile, covers "sagging or body impression exceeding 25mm, measured with the mattress unoccupied on a flat surface" — AND THAT IS A GEOMETRIC MEASUREMENT OF A FUNCTIONAL FAILURE, WHICH IS TO SAY IT IS A MEASUREMENT OF SOMETHING ELSE. A**

> MATTRESS CAN LOSE FORTY PER CENT OF ITS SUPPORT AND SHOW TWELVE MILLIMETRES OF IMPRESSION. IT FAILS THE SLEEPER EVERY NIGHT FOR YEARS AND NEVER ONCE CROSSES THE THRESHOLD.

> What makes this different from ordinary sharp practice is that NOTHING IN THE DOCUMENT IS FALSE. The warranty is genuine, the ten years are real, the clause is enforceable, and a company will honour it the day an impression exceeds 25mm. IT SIMPLY MEASURES THE WRONG QUANTITY — so the promise is both completely truthful and practically unreachable, and the length of it, which is the number every advertisement leads with, IS THE PART THAT CARRIES NO INFORMATION AT ALL. The conditions then compound it: the impression must be measured unoccupied with a string line, a procedure no ordinary buyer performs and which the retailer performs on the retailer's premises; the law tag must be intact; there must be no stain of any kind on a product used for eight hours a night for a decade; and the base must be a "proper foundation", which is assessed after the fact. EACH CONDITION IS DEFENSIBLE ON ITS OWN AND TOGETHER THEY MAKE A TEN-YEAR PROMISE INTO SOMETHING CLOSER TO A DECORATION. THE ECONOMICS FOLLOW DIRECTLY: a warranty that never pays costs nothing to extend, which is precisely why the industry's warranties are so long.

> So the warranty is rewritten against the property that degrades. ILD — INDENTATION FORCE DEFLECTION, THE FORCE REQUIRED TO COMPRESS THE SURFACE BY A SET PROPORTION — IS THE ACTUAL MEASURE OF SUPPORT, it is a standard test, it is inexpensive, and it is required of nobody. Every unit's ILD is RECORDED AT MANUFACTURE, published per layer with density in kg/m³, and RE-TESTED ON THE RETURNED UNIT WHEN A CLAIM IS MADE — so the question at claim is not "does it look sunken" but "has it lost more support than we warranted", which is answerable with an instrument. CLAIMS UPHELD ROSE FROM 68% TO 92%, and the honest reading of that number is that it is high BECAUSE THE TEST NOW MATCHES THE FAILURE, not because we are generous. It also means the provision is real: this warranty is a liability we carry rather than a line we advertise, and it is shorter than a competitor's for exactly that reason. WHERE THE WARRANTY MEASURES A DIFFERENT PHYSICAL PROPERTY FROM THE ONE THAT FAILS, ITS LENGTH IS MARKETING — SO WARRANT THE PROPERTY THAT ACTUALLY DEGRADES, AND MEASURE IT.

7. Cutting It Open Voids the Warranty

Three further rules, including the vertical's purest case of a sealed product.

> THE ONE ACT THAT WOULD REVEAL THE TRUTH IS THE ONE THAT FORFEITS THE REMEDY. Once a mattress is stitched shut it is the most completely sealed product in this vertical — the layer order, the foam densities, the presence or absence of the high-density support core, all invisible and all unverifiable. The label states a specification; NOTHING CONNECTS THE LABEL TO THE CONTENTS, and the only way to check is to cut it open, WHICH VOIDS THE WARRANTY. THE INSTRUMENT SUPPOSED TO PROTECT THE BUYER IS PRECISELY THE INSTRUMENT THAT PREVENTS HIM FROM VERIFYING WHAT HE BOUGHT — a closed loop that requires no bad intent from anybody to operate. This is the bespoke-carpentry problem in a harder form: there, the evidence had to be created during construction because it could not be created afterwards; here it CAN be created afterwards, and doing so is penalised. So a CUT-THROUGH PHOTOGRAPH OF THE ACTUAL PRODUCTION UNIT AND A FULL LAYER SCHEDULE ARE PUBLISHED PER SKU at 100%, with DENSITY IN kg/m³ AND ILD FOR EVERY LAYER, and INSPECTION IS PERMITTED WITHOUT VOIDING THE WARRANTY — cut it open, send it to a laboratory, and the cover stands.

> AND TEN MINUTES CANNOT MEASURE TWENTY-FIVE THOUSAND HOURS. The showroom lie-down is the only trial most buyers get, and it measures INITIAL FEEL — which is not merely an incomplete signal but THE PROPERTY THAT CHANGES MOST AND FASTEST. Foam softens fastest in the first year; the mattress a buyer

> **decides on is measurably not the mattress he will sleep on by month eighteen. THE ONE DATA POINT HE COLLECTS IS THE ONE WITH THE SHORTEST HALF-LIFE. So a 100-NIGHT HOME TRIAL is standard, with COLLECTION AT OUR COST and NIL restocking or collection fee — a hundred nights being the shortest period over which initial-feel bias fades and the body's actual response becomes legible. The trial return rate is 11.0%, each return costs ₹6,190, and the returned units are REFURBISHED AND SOLD AS REFURBISHED, NEVER AS NEW — which is what makes the trial expensive rather than free.**

> **□□ AND "ORTHOPAEDIC" MEANS NOTHING AT ALL. "Orthopaedic", "medically approved", "doctor recommended" and "spine-aligning" are entirely unregulated in India — and "orthopaedic" is the worst of them, because it IMPLIES A CLINICAL ENDORSEMENT THAT NO INDIAN MEDICAL BODY ISSUES FOR MATTRESSES AT ALL. The word is doing the work of a certification that does not exist, aimed at buyers who have back pain and are frightened, which is the most price-insensitive and least sceptical audience in the category. So NIL clinical or medical claims are made in any listing, advertisement or SKU name; 14 SKUs WERE RENAMED to remove an implied one; and every claim is stated as a MEASURABLE PHYSICAL PROPERTY — density, ILD, retention — which a buyer can compare and a competitor can contest.**

What a buyer can check in sixty seconds, before ordering

The first question is the one that reframes everything after it.

- **What does the warranty actually measure?** If it says sagging or body impression over 25mm, it is measuring a property your mattress may never exhibit while failing you nightly.
- **Is there an ILD or density figure per layer?** A number can be compared across brands. "High-density premium foam" cannot.
- **Is there a cut-through photograph?** If not, nothing connects the label to what is inside the cover.
- **Does inspecting it void the warranty?** If checking forfeits the remedy, you have neither.
- **How long is the trial, and who pays collection?** Under a month tests newness. A restocking fee is a refusal written politely.
- **Does it say "orthopaedic"?** No Indian medical body certifies mattresses. The word is decoration on a fear.

> **The asymmetry here is the largest in the vertical measured in hours and the smallest measured in evidence. Twenty-five thousand hours of contact — more than with any chair, any car, any garment — assessed in ten minutes, on a product sealed against inspection, sold on a word that certifies nothing, and warranted against a threshold it will not reach. WHAT IS STRIKING IS THAT ALL FOUR FAILURES POINT THE SAME WAY AND NONE OF THEM REQUIRES ANYONE TO LIE. The showroom test is offered in good faith. The warranty is honoured as written. The label is not checked because there is no mechanism to check it. The word "orthopaedic" is legal. THE SYSTEM PRODUCES A THOROUGHLY MISINFORMED BUYER WITHOUT A SINGLE DISHONEST ACT ANYWHERE IN IT — which is why every remedy in this plan is an INSTRUMENT RATHER THAN A PROMISE: a durometer reading, a density figure, a photograph of a cut unit, a hundred nights. Promises are what this industry already has in abundance, and they are precisely what has failed.**

8. Operations Plan (pour, measure, publish, re-test)

The unit

- **ILD and density recorded per layer at manufacture** — the batch record, not a spec sheet.
- **Cut-through photograph of an actual production unit**, published per SKU.
- **Layer schedule published** — order, thickness, density, ILD.

- **Inspection permitted** without voiding cover; cut it open and the warranty stands.

The warranty

- **Written against ILD retention**, not visible sagging.
- **Re-tested on the returned unit at claim** — instrument, not opinion.
- **Nil refusal for stains, tags or foundation** where support loss is proven.
- **Claims-upheld rate published** — 92% in Year 3.

The trial

- **100 nights**, long enough for initial-feel bias to fade.
- **Collection at our cost**; nil restocking or collection fee.
- **Returns refurbished and sold as refurbished**, never as new.
- **Return rate published** — 11.0%, at ₹6,190 a return.

The claim

- **Nil clinical or medical claims** in any listing, advertisement or SKU name.
- **Every claim a measurable property** a competitor could contest.
- **Emission measured at 50mm above the sleeping surface**, not at chamber distance.
- **SKUs withdrawn on emission grounds** — 2 in Year 3.

> **The operational hinge is a warranty claim on our best-selling hybrid at month forty: ILD retention measured at 71% against a warranted 75%, on 8,400 units already sold, in a range still in production. Upholding it means replacing that unit, and it also means the range as built does not meet its own warranty — so the honest consequence is not one replacement but a provision against the cohort and a reformulation. The trade alternative is available and entirely lawful: the impression measures 9mm, so under any competitor's warranty this claim simply fails, and under ours it fails too if we quietly revert to measuring impression. So ILD RE-TESTING IS THE SOLE CLAIM CRITERION with no override, the claim was upheld, the cohort provisioned, the core density raised in the following production run, and THE REVISED ILD FIGURE PUBLISHED ALONGSIDE THE OLD ONE so buyers of the earlier batch could see what changed and why. Claim adjudication reports outside sales, and nil pay is linked to the claims-upheld rate.**

9. Product, Claim & Safety Compliance (India)

- **Consumer Protection Act 2019** — **"orthopaedic" and "medically approved" on a mattress are capable of misleading, with no Indian standard defining either and no medical body issuing such an endorsement.**
- **Consumer Protection (E-Commerce) Rules** — listing accuracy, returns policy and the treatment of a refurbished unit as refurbished.
- **BIS standards for polyurethane foam, rubberised coir, latex and spring units**, and **the absence of any mandatory disclosure of layer composition or density on a finished mattress.**
- **ASTM and ISO indentation-force-deflection and constant-force-pounding protocols** — **they measure exactly what fails, they are inexpensive, and they are required of nobody.**
- **Legal Metrology (Packaged Commodities) Rules** for dimension, composition and origin declarations.
- **Flammability and flame-retardant treatment** disclosure on mattresses and upholstery fabric.
- **Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023** — trial and warranty records held against an individual for a decade.

- **EPF, ESI and chemical-handling obligations** in foam pouring and finishing. **A mattress may be warranted for ten years against a geometric threshold while disclosing nothing about the property that actually degrades.**

10. Organisation & Manpower Plan

Function	Yr 1	Yr 3	Notes
Promoter / managing director	1	1	Cannot override a claim criterion
Production — foam pouring, quilting, spring, assembly	64	150	**280 mattresses per worker per year; ILD logged per batch**
Warehousing, logistics & fulfilment	38	88	Bulky goods; every trial return moves twice
Technology, customer operations, admin, finance & legal	28	64	Trial and warranty records over a ten-year horizon
Trial-return processing, sanitisation & refurbishment	22	54	**Sold as refurbished; never as new**
Marketing, brand & performance	20	44	**Nil clinical claims; nil pay linked to claims-upheld rate**
Retail, dealer & institutional sales	18	42	Where the ILD figure gets explained
ILD testing, layer disclosure & emission laboratory	10	22	**Claim adjudication reports outside sales**
Total	**201**	**465**	**42,000 mattresses; 92% claims upheld**

> **The production headcount is derived rather than assumed, and it reconciles three ways. By volume: 42,000 mattresses at 280 per production worker per year is 150 direct workers — 1.2 a day across 240 working days, unhurried because the ILD reading and batch record are counted as production time rather than as paperwork done afterwards from memory. By cost per unit: the ₹1.18 crore of ILD testing and layer disclosure across 42,000 mattresses is ₹281 each — 5.2 per cent of a ₹5,448 realisation, down from ₹630 in Year 1, and it is the entire difference between a published number and an adjective. By cost per head: the ₹92 lakh laboratory salary line across 22 people is ₹4.2 lakh cost-to-company each, with the remaining ₹26 lakh of that line being instrument time and consumables. Separately, the trial costs ₹6,190 per return across 4,620 returns — collection, sanitisation and the write-down from new to refurbished — which is why a hundred-night trial is a commitment rather than a marketing offer.**

11. Implementation Timeline & Milestones

Phase	Window	Milestones
Formation, plant & first range	Month 0–9	Incorporation, foam pouring and quilting lines, warehouse, first SKUs
ILD laboratory and batch recording live	Month 4–12	**Density and ILD logged per layer per batch; 88% of SKUs published**

Support-retention warranty replaces sagging warranty	Month 8–16	**Claims upheld 68%; provision carried rather than advertised**
100-night trial and returns facility	Month 10–20	Collection at our cost; refurbishment line; nil resold as new
Clinical claims removed across the range	Month 12–24	**14 SKUs renamed; nil "orthopaedic" anywhere**
Scale & publication	Month 26–36	42,000 mattresses; 92% claims upheld; cut-through on 100%

Training and competence

- **Taking an ILD reading** reliably enough that it will hold up against a claim four years later.
- **Explaining a shorter warranty** that is worth more than a longer one.
- **Selling without the word "orthopaedic"** to a customer who came in asking for it.
- **Handling a trial return** without implying the customer chose badly.

12. Financial Plan (Illustrative)

> **All figures are illustrative model assumptions, not forecasts or guarantees.** They exist to show the drivers of a mattress and furnishing P&L under a support-retention warranty. Every input is editable. **The defining economics are that a 54.3% gross margin is consumed almost entirely by two lines — ₹10.24 crore of marketing and ₹2.86 crore of trial cost — so this is a category that looks extremely profitable per unit and is not, once the cost of persuading anyone to buy it is counted.**

12.1 Project cost & funding

Item	₹ lakh	Notes
Foam pouring, quilting, spring & assembly plant	**648**	**Density control is upstream of every commitment here**
Working capital — inventory across SKUs	384	Bulky, slow-moving, high-value per cubic metre
Trial-return processing, sanitisation & refurbishment facility	**268**	**Sized for an 11% return rate, not an aspiration**
Brand, launch marketing & acquisition seed	234	The category's entry cost, and it is not optional
Warehousing & distribution	196	Every trial unit moves at least twice
ILD and emission test laboratory	**158**	**Owned, because claims are re-tested for a decade**
Licences, registrations, deposits & pre-operative	112	Registrations, consents, deposits, insurance
Total project cost	**2,000**	₹860L investor + ₹540L term loan + ₹400L WC + ₹200L promoter

12.2 Operating metrics

Metric	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3

WARRANTY WRITTEN AGAINST ILD RETENTION, NOT VISIBLE SAGGING / **claims refused because the impression measured under 25mm** / ILD recorded at manufacture and RE-TESTED ON THE RETURNED UNIT AT CLAIM / **claims upheld**	100% / NIL / 100% / 68%	100% / NIL / 100% / 81%	**100% / NIL / 100% / 92%**
LAYER SCHEDULE AND CUT-THROUGH PHOTOGRAPH PUBLISHED PER SKU / **SKUs sold without a published layer schedule** / foam DENSITY (kg/m³) AND ILD stated per layer / **inspection permitted WITHOUT voiding the warranty**	88% / NIL / 88% / 100%	96% / NIL / 96% / 100%	**100% / NIL / 100% / 100%**
100-NIGHT HOME TRIAL, COLLECTION AT OUR COST / **restocking or collection fee charged on a trial return** / trial returns collected within 7 days / **trial return rate**	100% / NIL / 84% / 14.2%	100% / NIL / 93% / 12.4%	**100% / NIL / 98% / 11.0%**
NIL CLINICAL OR MEDICAL CLAIMS ANYWHERE / **"orthopaedic", "medically approved" or "doctor recommended" used in any listing or SKU name** / claims stated only as measurable physical properties / **SKUs renamed to remove an implied clinical claim**	100% / NIL / 100% / 14	100% / NIL / 100% / 6	**100% / NIL / 100% / NIL**
EMISSION MEASURED AT SLEEPING DISTANCE, NOT CHAMBER DISTANCE / emission stated from a supplier certificate only / **measured VOC 50mm above the sleeping surface after 14-day off-gas (mg/m³)** / SKUs withdrawn on emission grounds	100% / NIL / 0.062 / 9	100% / NIL / 0.041 / 5	**100% / NIL / 0.028 / 2**
Mattresses sold / average mattress realisation / **ILD testing and layer disclosure cost per mattress** / **cost per trial return** / warranty claim rate	9,200 / ₹5,261 / ₹630 / ₹7,195 / 3.8%	26,000 / ₹4,908 / ₹331 / ₹5,770 / 2.2%	**42,000 / ₹5,448 / ₹281 / ₹6,190 / 1.4%**

Row one's last column is the number that carries the whole proposition, and it should be read as a criticism of the industry rather than as a boast: claims upheld at 92% is high BECAUSE THE TEST FINALLY MATCHES THE FAILURE. A trade-standard warranty upholds a small fraction of claims not because most claimants are wrong but because most are measured against a threshold their mattress will never cross. Row two's fourth column at 100% throughout is the one competitors could copy tomorrow at almost no cost and none has: permitting inspection without voiding cover requires only a sentence in the warranty document. Row three's return rate falling from 14.2% to 11.0% is the trial working as intended — better disclosure before purchase means fewer wrong purchases — though it never approaches zero, and a mattress brand claiming a 2% trial return rate is describing a trial nobody can actually use. Row six's warranty claim rate falling to 1.4% is on a warranty that actually pays, which is the comparison that matters.

12.3 Revenue build

Stream	Yr 1 (₹L)	Yr 2 (₹L)	Yr 3 (₹L)	Gross margin
Mattresses — foam, spring & hybrid	484	1,276	2,288	**62.0%**
Bed linen, duvets & soft furnishings	176	464	832	52.0%
Pillows, toppers & protectors	154	406	728	58.0%
Curtains, upholstery fabric & furnishings	132	348	624	48.0%
Institutional & hospitality bedding	110	290	520	**38.0%**
Trial returns refurbished & resold as such	44	116	208	**26.0%**

Total revenue	**1,100**	**2,900**	**5,200**	**54.3% blended**

12.4 P&L summary

Line	Yr 1 (₹L)	Yr 2 (₹L)	Yr 3 (₹L)
Revenue	1,100	2,900	5,200
Cost of goods — foam, latex, spring, fabric & direct labour	(502)	(1,325)	(2,375)
Gross profit	**598**	**1,575**	**2,825**
Marketing & customer acquisition	**(386)**	**(688)**	**(1,024)**
100-night home trial — collection, refurbishment & write-down	**(94)**	**(186)**	**(286)**
Retail, dealer & distribution	(86)	(168)	(268)
Warehousing, logistics & fulfilment	(62)	(122)	(184)
Technology, customer operations & support	(58)	(92)	(128)
ILD retention testing, layer disclosure & cut-through publication	**(58)**	**(86)**	**(118)**
Admin, finance, legal & business development	(48)	(74)	(102)
EBITDA	**(194)**	**159**	**715**
Depreciation & amortisation	(78)	(124)	(168)
Finance cost	(52)	(92)	(124)
Other income — fabric offcuts & scrap foam *(disclosed)*	18	38	62
PBT	**(306)**	**(19)**	**485**
Tax	77	5	(121)
PAT	**(229)**	**(14)**	**364**
Net margin	**(20.8%)**	**(0.5%)**	**7.0%**
ROCE	**(13.6%)**	**1.8%**	**27.4%**

> **Marketing at ₹10.24 crore is 19.7% of revenue and 2.8 times profit, which is the honest shape of a mattress brand: a 62% gross margin on the mattress line looks extraordinary and is almost entirely spent acquiring the customer who buys it. The trial at ₹2.86 crore is the second-largest commitment and the only one that scales directly with success — every additional sale carries an 11% chance of costing ₹6,190 instead of earning. The two disclosure lines total ₹1.18 crore, 2.3% of revenue and ₹281 a mattress, which is the cheapest part of the model and the part a competitor cannot copy without publishing its own densities. Other income of ₹62 lakh is fabric offcuts and scrap foam, disclosed as a line and 1.2% of revenue. Commitments of ₹6.36 crore against ₹3.64 crore of profit — ₹4.04 crore of opex above plus ₹2.32 crore of gross profit forgone by refurbishing trial returns instead of reselling them as new — mean a trade-standard operator would earn ₹10.00 crore.**

12.5 Break-even & sensitivity

Scenario	Yr 3 revenue	Yr 3 PAT	Comment

Base	₹52.0cr	₹3.64cr	As modelled, ILD warranted and layers published
Trade-standard — sagging warranty, no disclosure, returns resold as new	₹63.4cr	₹10.41cr	**The category's model, computed rather than dismissed**
Order volume 20% below plan	₹41.6cr	(₹0.60cr)	**Marketing is committed ahead of the revenue**
Acquisition cost rises 30%	₹52.0cr	₹1.33cr	The largest line, and the least controllable
Foam & latex input prices rise 18%	₹52.0cr	₹1.59cr	Petrochemical-linked against a fixed price list
Trial return rate rises 6 points	₹52.0cr	₹1.93cr	**The cost of the trial, if disclosure stops working**

13. Funding Requirement & Bankability

Source	₹ lakh	Terms (indicative)
Investor equity	860	Brand, range and acquisition; warranty-terms covenant
Term loan	540	Plant, laboratory, refurbishment facility; 7 years
Working capital facility	400	Inventory across SKUs and channels
Promoter contribution	200	Cash and foam-manufacturing leadership
Total	**2,000**	Illustrative structure

A lender should read the claims-upheld rate, the warranty provision, the trial return rate and the cost per return, and should understand that this business carries a warranty liability its competitors do not — because theirs will not be called upon. That is the single most important line of diligence here: a ten-year sagging warranty on a rival's balance sheet is close to costless, and a seven-year support-retention warranty on ours is a real, provisioned obligation. The starkest figure is that reverting to a sagging warranty, dropping disclosure and reselling trial returns as new would take profit from ₹3.64 crore to ₹10.41 crore on the same plant and the same traffic — and not one of those three would be visible to a buyer lying on the mattress in a showroom. The sharpest exposure is volume: 20% below plan is a ₹0.60 crore loss, because marketing is committed ahead of the revenue it is meant to produce and cannot be withdrawn once a quarter is underway. A lender should treat the claims-upheld rate as the covenant, since it is the one number that cannot be improved by quietly changing what gets measured.

- **Use of funds** — 32% plant, 19% working capital, 13% returns and refurbishment facility, 12% brand and acquisition seed, 10% warehousing, 8% laboratory, 6% registrations and deposits.
- **Repayment** — term loan from Year 3 cash flow; working capital revolving against inventory and channel receivables.
- **Investor exit** — sale to a home or sleep group, a furniture retailer integrating backward, or a foam manufacturer integrating forward; or promoter buyback. **Warranty terms, disclosure and trial commitments survive change of control.**

14. Risk Analysis & Mitigation

Risk	Impact	Mitigation
A ten-year warranty against a failure that never happens	A mattress fails by LOSING SUPPORT — polyurethane softens under cyclic loading, latex loses resilience, springs fatigue — so the surface that held the spine progressively stops doing it. THAT PROCESS PRODUCES REMARKABLY LITTLE VISIBLE DEPRESSION: the material is not going anywhere, IT IS SIMPLY GETTING WEAKER. The warranty covers "sagging or body impression exceeding 25mm, measured unoccupied on a flat surface" — A GEOMETRIC MEASUREMENT OF A FUNCTIONAL FAILURE, WHICH IS TO SAY A MEASUREMENT OF SOMETHING ELSE. A MATTRESS CAN LOSE FORTY PER CENT OF ITS SUPPORT AND SHOW TWELVE MILLIMETRES OF IMPRESSION: IT FAILS THE SLEEPER EVERY NIGHT FOR YEARS AND NEVER CROSSES THE THRESHOLD. AND NOTHING IN THE DOCUMENT IS FALSE — the warranty is genuine, the ten years real, the clause enforceable. IT SIMPLY MEASURES THE WRONG QUANTITY, so the promise is completely truthful and practically unreachable, and THE LENGTH OF IT — the number every advertisement leads with — CARRIES NO INFORMATION AT ALL. The conditions compound it: string-line measurement no buyer performs, law tag intact, NO STAIN ON A PRODUCT USED EIGHT HOURS A NIGHT FOR A DECADE, and a "proper foundation" assessed after the fact. EACH IS DEFENSIBLE ALONE AND TOGETHER THEY MAKE A TEN-YEAR PROMISE A DECORATION. THE ECONOMICS FOLLOW: A WARRANTY THAT NEVER PAYS COSTS NOTHING TO EXTEND, WHICH IS EXACTLY WHY THE INDUSTRY'S WARRANTIES ARE SO LONG	**WARRANT THE PROPERTY THAT DEGRADES. ILD — INDENTATION FORCE DEFLECTION, THE FORCE TO COMPRESS THE SURFACE BY A SET PROPORTION — IS THE ACTUAL MEASURE OF SUPPORT; it is a standard test, it is inexpensive, AND IT IS REQUIRED OF NOBODY. Every unit's ILD RECORDED AT MANUFACTURE, published per layer with density in kg/m³, and RE-TESTED ON THE RETURNED UNIT AT CLAIM — so the question is not "does it look sunken" but "has it lost more support than we warranted", WHICH IS ANSWERABLE WITH AN INSTRUMENT. CLAIMS UPHELD 68% → 92%, AND THAT IS HIGH BECAUSE THE TEST NOW MATCHES THE FAILURE, NOT BECAUSE WE ARE GENEROUS. The provision is real: this warranty is a LIABILITY WE CARRY rather than a line we advertise, and it is SHORTER THAN A COMPETITOR'S FOR EXACTLY THAT REASON. GENERAL RULE: WHERE THE WARRANTY MEASURES A DIFFERENT PHYSICAL PROPERTY FROM THE ONE THAT FAILS, ITS LENGTH IS MARKETING — SO WARRANT THE PROPERTY THAT ACTUALLY DEGRADES, AND MEASURE IT**
Cutting it open voids the warranty	Once stitched shut a mattress is THE MOST COMPLETELY SEALED PRODUCT IN THIS VERTICAL — layer order, foam densities, presence or absence of the high-density support core, all invisible and unverifiable. The label states a specification; NOTHING CONNECTS THE LABEL TO THE CONTENTS, and the only way to check is to cut it open, WHICH VOIDS THE WARRANTY. THE INSTRUMENT SUPPOSED TO PROTECT THE BUYER IS PRECISELY THE INSTRUMENT THAT PREVENTS HIM FROM VERIFYING WHAT HE BOUGHT — A CLOSED LOOP REQUIRING NO BAD INTENT FROM ANYBODY TO OPERATE. This is the bespoke-carpentry problem in a harder form: there the evidence had to be created during construction because it could not be created after; HERE IT CAN BE CREATED AFTERWARDS AND DOING SO IS PENALISED	**CUT-THROUGH PHOTOGRAPH OF THE ACTUAL PRODUCTION UNIT AND FULL LAYER SCHEDULE PUBLISHED PER SKU (100%), with DENSITY IN kg/m³ AND ILD FOR EVERY LAYER, and INSPECTION PERMITTED WITHOUT VOIDING THE WARRANTY — cut it open, send it to a laboratory, AND THE COVER STANDS. A competitor could copy this tomorrow at almost no cost, since it requires only a sentence in the warranty document, and none has (₹1.18cr)**

Ten minutes cannot measure twenty-five thousand hours	The showroom lie-down is the only trial most buyers get, and it measures INITIAL FEEL — not merely an incomplete signal but THE PROPERTY THAT CHANGES MOST AND FASTEST. Foam softens fastest in the first year, so THE MATTRESS A BUYER DECIDES ON IS MEASURABLY NOT THE MATTRESS HE WILL SLEEP ON BY MONTH EIGHTEEN. THE ONE DATA POINT HE COLLECTS IS THE ONE WITH THE SHORTEST HALF-LIFE — 553's wrong-week problem in its purest form, on a product used longer than any other object he owns	**100-NIGHT HOME TRIAL as standard, COLLECTION AT OUR COST, NIL restocking or collection fee — a hundred nights being the shortest period over which initial-feel bias fades and the body's actual response becomes legible. Return rate 11.0% at ₹6,190 a return, and returns REFURBISHED AND SOLD AS REFURBISHED, NEVER AS NEW — which is what makes the trial expensive rather than free, and forgoes ₹2.32cr of gross profit (₹2.86cr opex)**
"Orthopaedic" means nothing at all	"Orthopaedic", "medically approved", "doctor recommended" and "spine-aligning" are ENTIRELY UNREGULATED IN INDIA — and "orthopaedic" is the worst, because it IMPLIES A CLINICAL ENDORSEMENT NO INDIAN MEDICAL BODY ISSUES FOR MATTRESSES AT ALL. THE WORD IS DOING THE WORK OF A CERTIFICATION THAT DOES NOT EXIST, aimed at buyers who have back pain and are frightened — THE MOST PRICE-INSENSITIVE AND LEAST SCEPTICAL AUDIENCE IN THE CATEGORY	**NIL clinical or medical claims in any listing, advertisement or SKU name; 14 SKUs RENAMED to remove an implied one; and every claim stated as a MEASURABLE PHYSICAL PROPERTY — density, ILD, retention — WHICH A BUYER CAN COMPARE AND A COMPETITOR CAN CONTEST. Sales trained to sell without the word to a customer who came in asking for it**
The claim that indicts the range	A warranty claim on the best-selling hybrid at month forty: ILD RETENTION 71% AGAINST A WARRANTED 75%, on 8,400 UNITS ALREADY SOLD, in a range STILL IN PRODUCTION. Upholding it means replacing that unit AND ACCEPTING THAT THE RANGE AS BUILT DOES NOT MEET ITS OWN WARRANTY — so the honest consequence is not one replacement but A PROVISION AGAINST THE COHORT AND A REFORMULATION. The trade alternative is lawful and available: THE IMPRESSION MEASURES 9mm, so under any competitor's warranty the claim simply fails — AND UNDER OURS IT FAILS TOO IF WE QUIETLY REVERT TO MEASURING IMPRESSION	**ILD RE-TESTING IS THE SOLE CLAIM CRITERION with no override; the system refuses rather than warns (rule j). Claim upheld, cohort provisioned, core density raised in the following production run, and THE REVISED ILD FIGURE PUBLISHED ALONGSIDE THE OLD ONE so buyers of the earlier batch could see what changed and why. Claim adjudication reports outside sales; nil pay linked to the claims-upheld rate**
Emission at zero distance	Rule (a) at its maximum: the sleeper's face is ON the product for eight hours a night, at zero distance, with no ventilation between — yet emission is stated from a supplier certificate measured in a chamber	VOC measured 50mm above the sleeping surface after a 14-day off-gas rather than at chamber distance — 0.062 → 0.028 mg/m³; flame-retardant treatment disclosed; 2 SKUs withdrawn on emission grounds in Year 3 despite otherwise acceptable performance
Acquisition cost, volume and inputs	Marketing ₹10.24cr is 19.7% of revenue and 2.8× profit; 20% below plan is a ₹0.60cr loss, CAC +30% is ₹1.33cr, foam +18% is ₹1.59cr, trial returns +6pts is ₹1.93cr	Linen, pillow and furnishing attach at 42% of revenue as lower-CAC volume; institutional bedding as contracted, non-acquisition demand; refurbished resale converting return cost into revenue; and disclosure itself as the acquisition asset, since a published ILD figure is the one marketing claim a competitor cannot match without publishing its own

15. SWOT Analysis

Strengths	Weaknesses
• Warranty written against ILD retention, re-tested at claim • Claims upheld 92% — on a warranty that pays • Layer schedule and cut-through photograph per SKU • Inspection permitted without voiding cover • 100-night trial, collection at our cost, nil restocking fee	• ₹6.36cr forgone against ₹3.64cr of profit • Carries a real warranty provision rivals do not • Marketing is 19.7% of revenue and committed ahead • Shorter warranty reads worse on a comparison table
Opportunities	**Threats**
• Buyers on their second mattress after a bad first one • Any BIS density or ILD disclosure rule favours us • Institutional buyers who must answer to occupants • Refurbished resale as a disclosed second channel • ILD as a comparable number the category lacks	• Ten-year sagging warranties that cost rivals nothing • Digital acquisition-cost inflation • Foam and latex price volatility • "Orthopaedic" claims we decline to match

16. Recommended AiSevak Tools for This Business

- **ILD batch register** — density and indentation force recorded per layer, per production batch.
- **Layer-schedule publisher** — cut-through photograph and full layer detail bound to each SKU.
- **Claim re-test workflow** — returned unit measured against its own manufacture record.
- **Warranty provision model** — liability carried against published retention, not against advertised years.
- **Trial-return tracker** — collection, sanitisation, refurbishment and disclosed resale.
- **Claim-language screen** — blocks clinical and medical wording before a listing goes live.
- **Sleeping-distance emission log** — VOC measured where the face is, not where the chamber is.

17. Key Assumptions & Notes

- **All financials are illustrative model assumptions, not forecasts, projections or guarantees.** Revenue, unit volumes, realisation, trial return rates, claim rates and testing costs are editable inputs; actual outcomes depend on foam and latex prices, acquisition economics, channel mix and realised durability. Figures are in Indian rupees. **This plan inherits the ten furniture anchor rules set by `furniture-manufacturing` and closes the vertical.**
- **The central finding is a ten-year warranty written against a failure the product does not have.** A mattress fails by losing support — foam softens, springs fatigue — and that process produces remarkably little visible depression, because the material is not going anywhere, it is simply getting weaker. **The warranty covers body impression exceeding 25mm, which is a geometric measurement of a functional failure. A mattress can lose forty per cent of its support and show twelve millimetres of impression: it fails the sleeper every night for years and never crosses the threshold.**
- **What separates this from ordinary sharp practice is that nothing in the document is false.** The warranty is genuine, the ten years real, the clause enforceable. **It simply measures the wrong quantity — so the promise is completely truthful and practically unreachable, and the length of it, the number every advertisement leads with, is the part that carries no information at all. The economics follow directly: a warranty that never pays costs nothing to extend, which is precisely why the industry's warranties are so long.**

- **So the warranty is rewritten against the property that degrades.** ILD — the force required to compress the surface by a set proportion — is the actual measure of support, is a standard test, is inexpensive, and is required of nobody. It is recorded at manufacture, published per layer with density, and re-tested on the returned unit at claim. **Claims upheld rose from 68% to 92%, and that is high because the test finally matches the failure, not because we are generous. WHERE THE WARRANTY MEASURES A DIFFERENT PHYSICAL PROPERTY FROM THE ONE THAT FAILS, ITS LENGTH IS MARKETING — SO WARRANT THE PROPERTY THAT ACTUALLY DEGRADES, AND MEASURE IT.**
- **The one act that would reveal the truth is the one that forfeits the remedy.** A stitched mattress is the most completely sealed product in this vertical, nothing connects the label to the contents, and the only way to check is to cut it open — which voids the warranty. **The instrument supposed to protect the buyer is precisely the instrument preventing him from verifying what he bought, a closed loop requiring no bad intent from anybody to operate.** So a cut-through photograph and full layer schedule are published per SKU, and inspection is permitted without voiding cover.
- **Ten minutes cannot measure twenty-five thousand hours.** The showroom lie-down measures initial feel — not merely incomplete but the property that changes most and fastest, since foam softens fastest in the first year. **The one data point the buyer collects is the one with the shortest half-life.** Hence a 100-night trial with collection at our cost, an 11.0% return rate at ₹6,190 a return, and returns sold as refurbished rather than as new. **Separately, "orthopaedic" and "medically approved" are unregulated and imply a clinical endorsement no Indian medical body issues — so no clinical claim is made anywhere and 14 SKUs were renamed.**
- **The asymmetry is the largest in the vertical measured in hours and the smallest measured in evidence.** Twenty-five thousand hours of contact, assessed in ten minutes, on a product sealed against inspection, sold on a word that certifies nothing, and warranted against a threshold it will not reach. **All four failures point the same way and none requires anyone to lie: the showroom test is offered in good faith, the warranty honoured as written, the label unchecked because no mechanism exists, and "orthopaedic" is legal. The system produces a thoroughly misinformed buyer without a single dishonest act anywhere in it** — which is why every remedy here is an instrument rather than a promise.
- **Compliance and professional advice.** The Consumer Protection Act 2019, **under which "orthopaedic" and "medically approved" on a mattress are capable of misleading, with no Indian standard defining either and no medical body issuing such an endorsement**; the E-Commerce Rules on listing accuracy, returns and refurbished-unit disclosure; BIS standards for polyurethane foam, rubberised coir, latex and spring units, **and the absence of any mandatory disclosure of layer composition or density on a finished mattress**; ASTM and ISO indentation-force-deflection and constant-force-pounding protocols, **which measure exactly what fails and are required of nobody**; Legal Metrology declarations; flammability and flame-retardant disclosure; the Digital Personal Data Protection Act 2023 for trial and warranty records held for a decade; and EPF, ESI and chemical-handling obligations in foam pouring. Professional advice should be taken on warranty drafting against a measured property, provisioning for a warranty that will actually be called upon, claim-substantiation standards, and refurbished-unit disclosure.