BUSINESS PLAN · INDIA

Online Jewellery / D2C Brand

Digital-first certified jewellery brand with try-at-home, AR try-on and full trust stack

GEMS, JEWELLERY & PRECIOUS GOODS

AISEVAK.IN · BY ABL DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES

August 2026

1. Executive Summary

This plan sets out an **Online Jewellery / D2C Brand** in India — selling **certified gold, diamond and lightweight jewellery directly to consumers** through an own website, marketplaces, experience centres and try-at-home, supported by 3D imaging and virtual try-on, in-house design and merchandising, insured logistics and a full trust stack of hallmarking, certification, returns and buyback.

Every previous plan in this vertical sold to the trade — manufacturers, retailers, cutters, brands. **This is the first where the customer is a person, and that single change rewrites the economics.** Inventory turns stop being the governing metric; **customer acquisition cost against lifetime value takes their place**. And that is where the honest problem lies.

> **The structural difficulty this plan refuses to hide: jewellery is a rare purchase, so a D2C jewellery brand may never see a second order from a customer it paid to acquire.** The standard direct-to-consumer model — lose money on the first order, recover it across the next four — works for skincare, coffee and apparel because people buy those every month. **In jewellery the repeat may be years away, or never.** The consequence is unforgiving and must govern every decision: **customer acquisition cost has to be recovered on the FIRST order, or the business does not work.** Three things follow. **Average order value must be high enough to absorb CAC immediately. Referral and organic are not a nice-to-have but the only route to a viable blended CAC** — modelled here rising from 28% to 48% of orders. And, counter-intuitively, **bridal has the highest order value and the worst lifetime value in the category**: it is a once-in-a-lifetime purchase that everybody competes for, whereas **daily-wear, gifting and occasion jewellery genuinely recur**, which is why this plan builds toward them rather than chasing the wedding.

> **Two more things are treated as first-class costs rather than footnotes. Returns**, because jewellery bought unseen comes back often — **and every return is high-value inventory travelling uninsured-by-default through a courier network, exposed to damage, loss and substitution fraud.** This plan carries returns at **3.7–4.2% of revenue** with tamper-evident sealing, recorded packing and HUID verification on receipt. And **trust**, because **nobody buys an ₹80,000 ring from a website they have never heard of.** Hallmarking with HUID, independent certification, transparent buyback percentages, a real returns window, insured shipping and try-at-home are not customer-service courtesies — **they are the conversion mechanism, and they are expensive.**

This plan models a business requiring **₹5.5 crore** (₹2.4 crore promoter + ₹1.6 crore term/investor funding + ₹1.5 crore working-capital finance), moving from **₹11 crore revenue and a ₹1.08 crore loss** in Year 1 to **₹33 crore revenue and ₹83 lakh profit** by Year 3 — **a deliberate J-curve, shown honestly rather than smoothed away.** *(D2C jewellery; CAC-driven, returns-exposed — figures illustrative.)*

2. Business Description, Vision & Legal Structure

Concept: A digital-first jewellery brand — own-website D2C plus marketplaces, experience centres and try-at-home, with in-house design and merchandising, a hybrid stock-and-make-to-order supply model, 3D imaging and virtual try-on, certified and hallmarked product, insured logistics, and buyback and exchange as standing policy.

Vision: To make buying fine jewellery online feel less risky than buying it in a shop.

Mission: Price transparently against the day's gold rate, certify everything, take returns without argument, and earn the second order rather than pay for it.

Legal structure options

- **Private Limited** — required: external funding, marketplace onboarding, payment gateways, brand IP and the governance a consumer business with high-value inventory needs.
- **LLP / Proprietorship** — unsuitable; neither supports institutional funding or the compliance load.

> **Regulatory anchor: BIS hallmarking with HUID applies to gold jewellery sold to consumers**, and online sale does not dilute it. **Consumer protection and e-commerce rules require accurate product description, country of origin, seller identity, grievance-officer details and a clear returns and refund policy**; **image representation matters legally as well as commercially — a photograph that makes a stone look larger or a colour richer than reality is a misdescription.** Lab-grown must be declared as lab-grown. **Monthly savings and instalment schemes — long standard in Indian jewellery retail — are legally sensitive**: depending on structure they can attract deposit-acceptance restrictions under the Companies Act, state money-circulation or chit-fund provisions, and **must be structured and counsel-vetted before launch, not retrofitted.** PMLA reporting-entity duties and **Section 269ST's ₹2 lakh cash cap** apply. Payment-gateway, data-protection and GST e-commerce obligations complete the picture, alongside stock and transit insurance sized to actual movement.

3. Promoter & Ownership

Led by a promoter with e-commerce, consumer-brand or jewellery-retail background. Three competences decide outcomes: *performance-marketing discipline* — because in this category a mismanaged acquisition budget destroys the business faster than any operational failure; *merchandising judgement*, since a narrow, fast-moving assortment beats a broad slow one when every SKU is capital; and *customer-experience obsession*, because **the returns and buyback promises that make the sale possible are also what a dissatisfied customer will test.** CAC discipline, design distinctiveness, trust infrastructure & return-rate control are the differentiators. Ownership, equity & any partner are editable inputs.

4. Market Analysis — India

Demand is driven by online adoption in higher-value categories, younger buyers comfortable purchasing jewellery digitally, lightweight and daily-wear design growth, gifting occasions, transparency preference over opaque traditional pricing, and lab-grown expanding what a given budget buys. Demand is real and growing, but **frequency is low and competition for attention is expensive**. The market rewards trust, design & acquisition efficiency; it is **CAC-constrained, returns-exposed and trust-gated**.

Demand drivers

- **Digital comfort at higher price points**, accelerated by established online jewellery brands having normalised the category.
- **Lightweight and daily-wear jewellery** — lower ticket, higher frequency, and the segment where repeat purchase actually exists.
- **Gifting occasions** — anniversaries, birthdays, festivals — which recur annually in a way bridal does not.
- **Price transparency** — published making charges and rate-linked pricing against traditional opacity.
- **Lab-grown diamonds** making larger stones affordable and opening design categories.

Market sizing (illustrative framing)

Layer	Definition	Indicative scale

TAM	Indian jewellery retail	Very large
SAM	Online-addressable buyers in served price bands	Growing fast
SOM (Yr 1–3)	**Orders acquired at viable CAC**	Bounded by acquisition economics

> **That last line is the honest constraint and is stated deliberately.** In most categories the addressable market is bounded by who wants the product. **Here it is bounded by who can be reached at a cost the first order will cover** — a customer who would happily buy but costs ₹15,000 to acquire against a ₹32,000 order is not part of the market, however much they might like the jewellery. **Growth in this business is therefore a function of acquisition efficiency far more than of demand**, which is why marketing falls from 14.1% to 10.6% of revenue while revenue triples: the plan does not work if that ratio moves the other way.

Target segments

- **Daily-wear and lightweight buyers** — the repeat engine of the business.
- **Gifting purchasers** — occasion-driven, annually recurring, high intent.
- **Lab-grown diamond buyers** — value-conscious, design-led, digitally native.
- **Bridal** — highest order value, **lowest lifetime value**; pursued for AOV and prestige, not for repeat.
- **Corporate gifting** — bulk, predictable, low acquisition cost.

5. Competition & Competitive Advantage

Landscape: established online jewellery brands with scale and brand recall, large traditional chains with omnichannel operations and enormous trust advantages, marketplaces, and a long tail of Instagram-led small brands. **Competition is for attention and trust, and the incumbents start with both.**

The business's edge

- **Design distinctiveness** — the only defensible differentiator, since certification and hallmarking are table stakes everyone can match.
- **Transparent rate-linked pricing** with published making charges, which converts well against opaque alternatives.
- **Try-at-home and experience centres** — bridging the touch-and-feel gap that costs the category its conversions.
- **3D imaging and virtual try-on** — improving conversion and, importantly, **reducing returns, which is where they pay for themselves**.
- **Return-and-buyback clarity** — a published buyback percentage removes the largest unspoken objection to buying jewellery from a new brand.

6. Products & Revenue Model

Channel	Model	Basis
Own website (D2C)	Full margin, own the customer	**~50% of revenue**
Marketplace sales	Commission deducted	Volume, **customer not owned**
Experience centre & try-at-home	Assisted conversion	**Highest conversion, lowest returns**
Custom & bespoke orders	Made to order	High AOV, no stock
Corporate gifting & B2B	Bulk, negotiated	Cheap acquisition

> **The stock-versus-made-to-order decision is the central operating trade-off, and neither pure answer works. Holding inventory** gives next-day delivery and materially better conversion — but every SKU is gold sitting on a shelf, and a broad catalogue held in stock is how a D2C jewellery brand runs out of money while appearing to grow. **Made-to-order** collapses the capital requirement but adds ten to fifteen days, **which is fatal in gifting, where the purchase exists because of a date.** The workable answer is hybrid and deliberate: **stock the fast-moving lightweight and gifting SKUs where delivery speed decides the sale, and make to order the heavy, high-value and customised pieces where the customer expects to wait.** Virtual try-on and 3D imaging then do double duty — improving conversion on made-to-order items the customer cannot handle, and **reducing the return rate, which is the second-largest cost lever in the business after acquisition.**

7. Go-to-Market — Buying the First Order, Earning the Second

Acquisition

- **Performance marketing** with strict per-channel CAC discipline and honest attribution — the largest controllable cost in the business.
- **Content and design-led organic**, building the brand recall that lowers blended CAC over time.
- **Marketplaces** for reach and volume, accepting that **the customer relationship stays with the platform**.
- **Occasion-led campaigns** timed to festivals and gifting dates, when intent is highest and conversion cheapest.

Retention — where the model is won

- **Referral programmes**, which in a rare-purchase category are worth more than in any frequent one — a delighted bridal customer will not buy again soon, **but they will tell people who are about to**.
- **Daily-wear and gifting merchandising** to create genuine repeat occasions.
- **Exchange and upgrade programmes** that bring customers back with an asset they already own.
- **Post-purchase care** — cleaning, resizing, servicing — which keeps the brand present between purchases.

Sales approach

Launch with a tight, distinctive assortment rather than a wide catalogue → establish the trust stack visibly on every product page → acquire on strict first-order payback → use marketplaces for volume while building own-site share → deploy try-at-home in the top cities where conversion economics justify it → **and treat every metric that reduces CAC — referral rate, organic share, repeat rate — as the real growth engine. Spending more to grow faster is available to anyone; in this category it is also how most entrants fail**, because the additional customers cost more than the additional orders earn and the loss compounds with scale.

8. Operations Plan (merchandise, image, sell, ship, return)

- **Design & merchandising:** assortment planning by price band and occasion, with tight SKU discipline — every SKU held is capital committed.
- **Sourcing & manufacturing:** vendor manufacturing plus in-house finishing; hybrid stock and make-to-order.
- **Imaging & content: jewellery photography is technically demanding and is literally the product the customer evaluates**; 3D models and AR try-on where applicable.
- **Pricing: rate-linked dynamic pricing updated against the daily gold rate**, with making charges published.
- **Order fulfilment:** QC, hallmark and HUID verification, **video-recorded packing**, tamper-evident sealing, insured dispatch.

- **Try-at-home:** curated selections carried to the customer by trained staff, with strict custody and reconciliation.
- **Returns: receipt under recording, HUID and weight verification, authenticity check, refurbishment and restocking**.
- **Customer experience:** pre-purchase advice, sizing help, post-sale service, buyback and exchange.

Order cycle

Stage	Activity	Metric
Acquire	Paid, organic, referral	**Blended CAC vs. first-order contribution**
Convert	Product page, imaging, trust stack	Conversion rate by channel
Fulfil	Stock or make-to-order	Delivery days; stock-out rate
Deliver	Insured, sealed, recorded	Transit loss (target zero)
Retain / Return	Service, referral, or reverse logistics	**Return rate; referral rate**

> **Returns deserve the attention this plan gives them, because in jewellery a return is not a restocking task — it is a high-value asset moving through a courier network on its way back to you.** The risks are specific: **damage in transit, outright loss, and substitution — a returned box containing something other than what was sent.** Substitution fraud is a real and known problem at these ticket sizes, and a brand without a verification protocol will absorb it silently until the stock count exposes it. The controls are unglamorous and non-negotiable: **video-recorded packing and unpacking, tamper-evident seals with recorded serial numbers, insured shipment on declared value, and HUID plus weight plus certificate verification on every item received back.** Equally, **the return rate itself is a design and imaging problem more than a customer problem** — most returns trace to the piece looking different, smaller or heavier than the photograph suggested, which is why 3D imaging and virtual try-on are funded here as **cost-reduction investments, not marketing toys**. The plan models the return rate falling from 14% to 9% on exactly that basis.

9. Regulatory, Licences & Compliance (India)

- **BIS hallmarking with HUID** on gold jewellery sold to consumers — online sale changes nothing about the obligation.
- **Consumer protection & e-commerce rules:** accurate description, seller identity, country of origin, grievance officer, and a clear published returns and refund policy. **Product imagery that overstates size, colour or clarity is a misdescription, not creative licence.**
- **Lab-grown disclosure** wherever applicable, on the listing, the invoice and the certificate.
- **Savings and instalment schemes: legally sensitive — depending on structure they can attract deposit restrictions under the Companies Act or state money-circulation and chit-fund provisions. Structure and take counsel BEFORE launch; this is not something to retrofit once customers have paid in.**
- **Buyback and exchange terms** published clearly, including deductions, since disputes here damage brand trust disproportionately.
- **PMLA** reporting-entity duties; **Section 269ST ₹2 lakh cash cap**, relevant to COD and experience-centre sales.
- **Data protection** for customer data, payment-gateway compliance, and GST e-commerce provisions including TCS where applicable.
- **Insurance:** stock, transit and try-at-home cover — the last being a specific and often-overlooked exposure.

10. Organisation & Manpower Plan

Role	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3
Promoter / founder	1	1	2
Customer experience & sales	**12**	**22**	**36**
Warehouse, packing, QC & dispatch	10	17	26
Performance marketing, content & brand	**9**	**15**	**23**
Technology & product	8	13	20
Design & merchandising	6	10	16
Experience centre / try-at-home	6	11	18
Photography & content production	4	6	9
Finance, admin & accounts	4	7	10
Total	**60**	**102**	**160**

This is the only plan in the vertical with no karigars on the payroll — manufacturing is vendor-based, and **the largest team is customer experience.** That allocation is the business model made visible: in a category where a single order can exceed a month's household income, **the conversation before the purchase is the product as much as the jewellery is.**

11. Implementation Timeline & Milestones

Phase	Timeline	Milestone
Setup	Month 0–5	Brand, site, imaging studio, vendor base, opening assortment, trust stack live
Launch	Month 5–12	~34,400 orders; ₹11cr revenue, ₹1.08cr loss; CAC baseline established
Scale	Year 2	Return rate to 11%, organic 38%; ₹20cr, ₹62L loss narrowing
Profit	Year 3	₹33cr revenue, **₹83L profit**; organic 48%, marketing down to 10.6%

12. Financial Plan (Illustrative)

All figures are illustrative model assumptions for an Indian context, shown so the promoter can replace them with live rates. They are not projections of guaranteed results. ***CAC, AOV, return rate, organic share and gross margin are the levers — and the J-curve is shown as it actually is rather than smoothed into an implausible first-year profit.***

12.1 Project cost & means of finance

Capital requirement	₹
Working capital (inventory, WIP & returns float)	2,60,00,000
Brand building, performance marketing & launch	**1,15,00,000**
Technology (site, PIM, 3D/AR try-on, OMS)	62,00,000

Photography, content studio & production	**38,00,000**
Warehouse, security, vault & packing setup	32,00,000
Experience centre & try-at-home operations	26,00,000
Certification, hallmarking tie-ups & QC	10,00,000
Legal, registrations & launch	7,00,000
Total project cost	**5,50,00,000**
Promoter contribution	2,40,00,000
Term loan / investor funding	1,60,00,000
Working-capital finance / GML	1,50,00,000

12.2 Projected Profit & Loss (3 years)

Particulars	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Own website (D2C) sales	**5,40,00,000**	**9,80,00,000**	**16,50,00,000**
Marketplace sales	2,80,00,000	4,20,00,000	5,80,00,000
Experience centre & try-at-home conversions	1,45,00,000	3,20,00,000	5,90,00,000
Custom & bespoke orders	85,00,000	1,60,00,000	2,60,00,000
Corporate gifting & B2B	50,00,000	1,20,00,000	2,20,00,000
Total revenue	**11,00,00,000**	**20,00,00,000**	**33,00,00,000**
Cost of goods (metal, stones, making)	6,95,00,000	12,50,00,000	20,30,00,000
Marketing & customer acquisition	**1,55,00,000**	**2,50,00,000**	**3,50,00,000**
Salaries (tech, ops, design, CX, admin)	92,00,000	1,38,00,000	2,05,00,000
Technology, hosting, platform & marketplace fees	58,00,000	96,00,000	1,48,00,000
Returns, reverse logistics & refurbishment	**46,00,000**	**78,00,000**	**1,22,00,000**
Logistics, insured shipping & packaging	42,00,000	72,00,000	1,12,00,000
Warehouse, security, insurance & overheads	38,00,000	56,00,000	82,00,000
Depreciation, interest & other	32,00,000	42,00,000	52,00,000
Photography, content & production	28,00,000	42,00,000	58,00,000
Certification, hallmarking & QC	22,00,000	38,00,000	58,00,000
Total expenses	**12,08,00,000**	**20,62,00,000**	**32,17,00,000**
Net profit / (loss) before tax	**(1,08,00,000)**	**(62,00,000)**	**83,00,000**
Net margin — *the J-curve, shown honestly*	(9.8%)	(3.1%)	2.5%
Gross margin	**36.8%**	**37.5%**	**38.5%**
Marketing as % of revenue — must fall	**14.1%**	**12.5%**	**10.6%**

Average order value (₹)	~32,000	~36,000	~42,000
Blended CAC (₹)	~5,800	~5,200	~4,400
First-order contribution after CAC (₹)	**~4,100**	**~5,900**	**~8,300**
Organic + referral share of orders	**28%**	**38%**	**48%**
Return rate	**14%**	**11%**	**9%**
Repeat purchase within 24 months	18%	24%	31%
Orders (approx.)	~34,400	~55,500	~78,500

12.3 Unit economics & break-even

- **First-order contribution after CAC is the metric that decides everything** — ₹4,100 rising to ₹8,300. **Because repeat is only 18–31% within two years, the business must be viable on order one**; any plan relying on a fifth-order payback is importing economics from a category that does not apply.
- **Gross margin is far higher than anywhere else in this vertical** — 38.5% against 22–31% — because the brand prices to the consumer rather than to the trade. **That premium is what funds acquisition, returns and the trust stack**, all of which the trade-facing plans do not carry.
- **Return-rate reduction is worth as much as a CAC reduction:** each point of return rate is roughly ₹9 lakh at Year 3 volumes, which is why imaging and AR are funded as cost levers.
- **Marketplaces contribute volume but not customers** — useful for scale and cash conversion, but own-site share is held at ~50% because that is where repeat and referral can be built.
- **Break-even:** Year 3. **The two loss-making years are structural, not a failure** — brand, imaging, trust infrastructure and CAC learning are all spent before the acquisition engine becomes efficient.

12.4 Projected Cash Flow — Year 1 (quarterly, illustrative)

Particulars (₹)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Opening balance	1,10,00,000	47,00,000	5,00,000	15,00,000
Collections	2,25,00,000	2,50,00,000	2,68,00,000	2,90,00,000
Inventory, marketing, salaries, logistics & overheads	2,88,00,000	2,92,00,000	2,58,00,000	2,72,00,000
Closing balance	**47,00,000**	**5,00,000**	**15,00,000**	**33,00,000**

> **This is the only plan in the vertical with no receivables problem — and that genuine advantage is easy to over-read.** A consumer pays at checkout or on delivery, so **the 60–120 day agony that defines the manufacturing, export and trading plans simply does not exist here.** But the cash difficulty has merely moved: **inventory is bought and marketing is spent well before the orders they produce arrive**, and **marketing is a cash cost with no asset behind it** — unsold stock can at least be melted, while an underperforming campaign leaves nothing at all. Three disciplines follow. **Treat the acquisition budget as inventory that can spoil**: measure payback weekly and cut channels that do not clear first-order contribution, without sentiment. **Hold the returns float explicitly**, because a 14% return rate means roughly one rupee in seven of collections is provisional. And **watch the gold rate against displayed prices** — a site showing yesterday's price while the metal moves is quietly selling below cost, which is why rate-linked dynamic pricing is an operational control here and not a technology nicety.

12.5 Key Performance Indicators to Monitor

- **Acquisition: blended and per-channel CAC vs. first-order contribution**; organic + referral share; marketing as % of revenue (must fall); payback period by cohort.
- **Experience: return rate and return reasons**; conversion by channel; delivery days; NPS and review scores.
- **Integrity & risk: substitution/return-fraud incidents**; transit loss; HUID verification completeness on returns; try-at-home reconciliation.
- **Commercial:** AOV; gross margin by category; own-site vs. marketplace share; repeat rate; **displayed price vs. live gold rate variance**.

13. Funding Requirement & Bankability

Total ask: **₹1.6 crore term/investor funding plus ₹1.5 crore working-capital finance** against ₹2.4 crore promoter contribution. This credit differs sharply from the rest of the vertical and should be presented as such. **First, two years of losses are planned and disclosed** — a lender or investor must be funding a J-curve knowingly, and the promoter should say so rather than construct a first-year profit nobody in the category achieves. **Second, the assessment should rest on unit economics rather than on the P&L**: first-order contribution after CAC, return rate and organic share tell you whether this business works; revenue growth alone tells you almost nothing, since **in D2C, revenue can always be bought and losses scale with it. Third, inventory is real security** — hallmarked gold with verifiable weight and purity, which is unusually good collateral for a consumer-internet business and should be used to secure working capital at sensible rates rather than funding stock with equity. **Fourth, ₹1.15 crore of the project cost is brand and marketing, which creates no asset**; that is honest and necessary, but it means the promoter contribution is genuinely at risk in a way the equipment-backed plans in this vertical are not, and it should be sized accordingly.

13.1 Funding utilisation

Use of funds	₹	% of total
Working capital (inventory, WIP & returns float)	2,60,00,000	47.3%
Brand building, performance marketing & launch	1,15,00,000	20.9%
Technology (site, PIM, 3D/AR try-on, OMS)	62,00,000	11.3%
Photography, content studio & production	38,00,000	6.9%
Warehouse, security, vault & packing setup	32,00,000	5.8%
Experience centre & try-at-home operations	26,00,000	4.7%
Certification, hallmarking tie-ups & QC	10,00,000	1.8%
Legal, registrations & launch	7,00,000	1.3%
Total	**5,50,00,000**	**100%**

14. Risk Analysis & Mitigation

Risk	Mitigation

CAC never recovered because repeat purchase does not come	**The defining risk of the category.** Require first-order payback and cut channels that fail it; build organic and referral to 48% of orders; merchandise toward **daily-wear and gifting, which recur, rather than bridal, which has the highest AOV and the worst LTV**; **never import "lose on order one, win on order five" economics from frequent-purchase categories**
Return rate and reverse-logistics cost	3D imaging and AR try-on funded as cost levers; accurate photography and dimension disclosure; try-at-home in top cities; **each point of return rate is ~₹9 lakh at Year 3 volume**
Substitution fraud on returns	**Video-recorded packing and unpacking, tamper-evident seals with recorded serials, insured declared-value shipment, and HUID + weight + certificate verification on every returned item** — a brand without this protocol absorbs the loss silently until the stock count exposes it
Gold rate moving against displayed prices	**Rate-linked dynamic pricing updated against the daily rate** — an operational control, not a technology nicety; hedge or GML-fund held inventory; monitor displayed-price-vs-live-rate variance daily
Trust deficit against established brands	Visible trust stack on every page — hallmarking/HUID, certification, published buyback %, real returns window, insured shipping; reviews and social proof; experience centres where the category demands touch
Inventory tying up capital across too many SKUs	**Hybrid model — stock only fast-moving lightweight and gifting SKUs; make heavy and custom pieces to order**; ruthless SKU rationalisation by contribution
Marketplace dependence & commission erosion	Hold own-site share at ~50%; use marketplaces for reach not for the relationship; build direct repeat and referral
Savings-scheme regulatory exposure	**Structure and take counsel BEFORE launch** — deposit restrictions, money-circulation and chit-fund provisions can all apply; **this cannot be retrofitted once customers have paid in**
Transit loss & try-at-home exposure	Approved carriers, declared-value insurance, dual custody on high-value dispatch, trained try-at-home staff with strict reconciliation and specific insurance cover
Misleading imagery claim	Publish true dimensions and weights; calibrated photography; **an image that overstates size or colour is a misdescription, not creative licence** — and it also causes the return

15. SWOT Analysis

Strengths **Highest gross margin in the vertical (38.5%)** from consumer pricing; **no receivables — the consumer pays at checkout**; hallmarked gold inventory is genuinely good collateral; asset-light manufacturing through vendors; design and brand compound; transparent pricing converts well against traditional opacity.	**Weaknesses** **Rare-purchase category — CAC must be recovered on order one**; two planned loss-making years; returns at 9–14% with fraud exposure; ₹1.15cr of spend creates no asset; trust deficit against incumbents; inventory breadth competes directly with cash.
Opportunities Online adoption still expanding at higher price points; lightweight and daily-wear driving genuine repeat; lab-grown widening what a budget buys; gifting occasions recurring annually; corporate gifting at low acquisition cost; try-at-home closing the touch gap incumbents rely on.	**Threats** Rising acquisition costs compressing the only route to profit; established brands and chains with deep trust and omnichannel reach; marketplace commission and algorithm dependence; gold price volatility affecting affordability and displayed pricing; a trust incident, which in this category is far more damaging than in trade sales.

16. Recommended AiSevak Tools for This Business

This D2C jewellery brand would use AiSevak's Gems and Retail vertical tools in operations:

- **Acquisition: CAC-vs-first-order-contribution dashboard by channel**, cohort payback tracking, organic and referral share monitor, marketing-as-%-of-revenue alert.
- **Returns: return-rate and return-reason analytics**, reverse-logistics cost tracker, **HUID/weight verification log and substitution-incident register**.
- **Pricing: rate-linked dynamic pricing engine with displayed-vs-live-rate variance alert**, making-charge transparency, buyback calculator.
- **Merchandising:** SKU contribution and stock-vs-make-to-order classification, ageing by SKU, assortment planning by occasion and price band.
- **Trust & ops:** hallmark/certification traceability per order, try-at-home custody reconciliation, insured-dispatch register, CX and NPS tracking.

17. Key Assumptions & Notes

- Online jewellery / D2C brand: certified gold, diamond and lightweight jewellery sold via own website, marketplaces, experience centres and try-at-home, with 3D imaging/AR try-on, in-house design and merchandising, vendor manufacturing, insured logistics, and a full trust stack (hallmarking/HUID, certification, returns, buyback).
- **⚠ THE FIRST PLAN IN THE VERTICAL WHERE THE CUSTOMER IS A PERSON, NOT A TRADE COUNTERPARTY — AND THAT SINGLE CHANGE REWRITES THE ECONOMICS. INVENTORY TURNS STOP BEING THE GOVERNING METRIC; CAC-vs-LTV TAKES THEIR PLACE.**
- **THE STRUCTURAL DIFFICULTY THIS PLAN REFUSES TO HIDE: JEWELLERY IS A RARE PURCHASE, SO A D2C JEWELLERY BRAND MAY NEVER SEE A SECOND ORDER FROM A CUSTOMER IT PAID TO ACQUIRE.** The standard D2C model (lose money on order 1, recover across orders 2-5) works for skincare/coffee/apparel because people buy monthly — **in jewellery the repeat may be years away or never** (repeat within 24 months modelled at only 18%→31%). **→ CAC MUST BE RECOVERED ON THE FIRST ORDER OR THE BUSINESS DOES NOT WORK**, and **any plan relying on a fifth-order payback is importing economics from a category that does not apply**. Three consequences: **AOV must absorb CAC immediately**; **referral and organic are not a nice-to-have but the ONLY route to viable blended CAC** (28%→48% of orders); and counter-intuitively **BRIDAL HAS THE HIGHEST ORDER VALUE AND THE WORST LIFETIME VALUE** — once-in-a-lifetime and everybody competes for it — whereas **DAILY-WEAR, GIFTING AND OCCASION jewellery genuinely recur**, so this plan builds toward them rather than chasing the wedding. First-order contribution after CAC (₹4,100→₹8,300) is the metric that decides everything.
- **THE ADDRESSABLE MARKET IS BOUNDED BY WHO CAN BE REACHED AT A COST THE FIRST ORDER WILL COVER** — a customer who would happily buy but costs ₹15,000 to acquire against a ₹32,000 order **is not part of the market, however much they might like the jewellery**. **Growth here is a function of ACQUISITION EFFICIENCY far more than of demand**, which is why marketing must fall 14.1%→10.6% of revenue while revenue triples — **the plan does not work if that ratio moves the other way**. **Spending more to grow faster is available to anyone; in this category it is also how most entrants fail**, because the extra customers cost more than the extra orders earn and the loss compounds with scale.
- **RETURNS ARE A FIRST-CLASS COST LINE (3.7-4.2% of revenue) BECAUSE A RETURN IS NOT A RESTOCKING TASK — IT IS A HIGH-VALUE ASSET MOVING BACK THROUGH A COURIER NETWORK**, exposed to damage, loss and **SUBSTITUTION FRAUD (a returned box containing something other than what was sent)**, which is real at these ticket sizes and which **a brand without a verification protocol will absorb SILENTLY until the stock count exposes it → video-recorded packing AND unpacking, tamper-evident seals with recorded serials, insured**

declared-value shipment, and HUID + weight + certificate verification on every item received back. Equally, **the return RATE is a design and imaging problem more than a customer problem** — most returns trace to the piece looking different/smaller/heavier than the photograph — so **3D imaging and AR try-on are funded as COST-REDUCTION investments, not marketing toys** (rate modelled 14%→9%; **each point ≈ ₹9L at Y3 volume, so a return-rate point is worth as much as a CAC reduction**).

- **TRUST IS THE ENTIRE CONVERSION PROBLEM — NOBODY BUYS AN ₹80,000 RING FROM A WEBSITE THEY HAVE NEVER HEARD OF.** Hallmarking/HUID, independent certification, published buyback %, a real returns window, insured shipping and try-at-home **are not customer-service courtesies, they ARE the conversion mechanism, and they are expensive**. Largest team is CUSTOMER EXPERIENCE (no karigars on payroll — vendor manufacturing): in a category where one order can exceed a month's household income, **the conversation before the purchase is the product as much as the jewellery is.**
- **STOCK vs MADE-TO-ORDER IS THE CENTRAL OPERATING TRADE-OFF AND NEITHER PURE ANSWER WORKS** — holding inventory gives next-day delivery and much better conversion but **a broad catalogue held in stock is how a D2C jewellery brand runs out of money while appearing to grow**; made-to-order collapses capital but adds 10-15 days, **fatal in gifting where the purchase exists BECAUSE of a date**. → **Hybrid: stock the fast-moving lightweight/gifting SKUs where speed decides the sale, make-to-order the heavy/high-value/custom pieces where the customer expects to wait.**
- **THE ONLY PLAN IN THE VERTICAL WITH NO RECEIVABLES PROBLEM — the consumer pays at checkout, so the 60-120 day agony defining the manufacturing/export/trading plans simply does not exist. BUT the cash difficulty has merely MOVED**: inventory and marketing are spent well before the orders they produce arrive, and **MARKETING IS A CASH COST WITH NO ASSET BEHIND IT — unsold stock can at least be melted, an underperforming campaign leaves nothing at all** → **treat the acquisition budget as inventory that can spoil** (measure payback weekly, cut failing channels without sentiment), **hold the returns float explicitly since a 14% return rate means ~₹1 in every ₹7 of collections is provisional**, and **watch the gold rate against displayed prices — a site showing yesterday's price while the metal moves is quietly selling below cost**, making rate-linked dynamic pricing an OPERATIONAL CONTROL not a technology nicety.
- Highest gross margin in the vertical (36.8%→38.5% vs 22-31% elsewhere) because the brand prices to the CONSUMER not the trade — **and that premium is precisely what funds acquisition, returns and the trust stack, none of which the trade-facing plans carry**. Lender/investor framing: **two loss-making years are PLANNED and disclosed — a J-curve shown as it actually is rather than smoothed into a first-year profit nobody in the category achieves**; **assess on UNIT ECONOMICS not the P&L, because in D2C revenue can always be bought and losses scale with it**; hallmarked gold inventory is unusually good collateral for a consumer-internet business; but **₹1.15cr of project cost is brand/marketing creating NO asset**, so promoter money is genuinely at risk in a way the equipment-backed plans are not. **SAVINGS/INSTALMENT SCHEMES ARE LEGALLY SENSITIVE** (deposit restrictions under the Companies Act, state money-circulation and chit-fund provisions) — **structure and take counsel BEFORE launch; this cannot be retrofitted once customers have paid in.** Also: **product imagery overstating size/colour/clarity is a MISDESCRIPTION, not creative licence** — and it causes the return anyway. ₹5.5cr, ~34,400→78,500 orders, AOV ₹32k→₹42k, rev 11→33cr, Y1 −₹1.08cr → Y2 −₹62L → Y3 +₹83L. Figures illustrative, exclude GST.

▶ Use these tools now — free on AiSevak

Every tool referenced in this plan is available on **aisevak.in**. Open the **Gems, Jewellery & Precious Goods toolkit** to browse and use them all at **https://aisevak.in/verticals/gems** — or go straight to any specific tool at **https://aisevak.in/service/<tool-name>**. No signup needed to explore; each tool guides you step by step.