



BUSINESS PLAN · INDIA

Fresh-Fish Retail and D2C

Fresh fish sold with the catch date on the label and priced on the edible weight that actually arrives

FISHERIES AND AQUACULTURE

AISEVAK.IN · BY ABL DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES

August 2026

This document is confidential and prepared for planning purposes. All financial figures are illustrative model assumptions for an Indian MSME context and must be validated against live quotes, local rates and professional advice before any investment or borrowing decision.

1. Executive Summary

This plan sets out a **Fresh-Fish Retail / D2C** business in India — app and web sale of fresh marine and freshwater fish, prawns and shellfish, ready-to-cook lines and a labelled frozen range, through dark stores and retail outlets, delivering about 280,000 orders and 800 tonnes a year at an average order value of ₹1,143 by Year 3.

This plan closes the consumer-facing end of the fisheries vertical. **It inherits the anchor rules and adds the only problem the rest of the chain never faces: a buyer who has no instrument except his own senses, and a market that has engineered a chemical specifically to defeat them.**

☐ **THE ADULTERANT EXISTS TO DEFEAT THE ONLY TEST HE HAS.** A fish buyer's entire diagnostic toolkit is three observations he learned from his mother: clear eyes, red gills, no ammonia smell. Formalin and ammonia treatment does not preserve the fish, improve it or make it safer — IT PRESERVES THE APPEARANCE AND SMELL OF FRESHNESS WHILE THE FISH CONTINUES TO DECOMPOSE. THAT IS NOT A PRESERVATIVE THAT HAPPENS TO MISLEAD. IT IS A CHEMICAL WHOSE ENTIRE COMMERCIAL FUNCTION IS TO MISLEAD, APPLIED PRECISELY BECAUSE THE BUYER'S ONLY TEST IS SENSORY AND SENSORY TESTS CAN BE TARGETED. And the second half compounds it: "FRESH" IS THE MOST LOAD-BEARING AND LEAST DEFINED WORD IN INDIAN FOOD RETAIL — nothing in law defines it, so frozen fish thawed and laid on ice is routinely and lawfully sold as fresh. SO THE WORD IS COMPROMISED AND THE TEST IS CHEMICALLY NEUTRALISED, AND HE HAS NOTHING ELSE. So this business PUBLISHES THE CATCH OR HARVEST DATE AND TIME PER SKU and states FROZEN-THAWED STATUS on every listing, with median hours from catch to delivery falling from 34 to 19 — because a date is the one thing formalin cannot alter. WHERE THE ADULTERANT EXISTS SOLELY TO DEFEAT THE BUYER'S ONLY TEST, HIS SENSES ARE NOT A CHECK BUT A TARGET — SO GIVE HIM A DATE HE CAN READ INSTEAD OF A SMELL HE CAN TRUST.

☐ **AND HE NEVER SAW THE WHOLE FISH.** He orders a kilogram, it is cut and cleaned out of sight, and roughly 550 grams arrive — SO THE YIELD DECISION SITS ENTIRELY WITH THE SELLER, IN A ROOM THE BUYER HAS NEVER ENTERED, ON A FISH HE NEVER SAW WHOLE. Every gram of extra trim is our margin and his loss, and there is no version of this transaction in which he could detect it. So we PRICE ON DELIVERED EDIBLE WEIGHT rather than whole weight, verify it against the order at 100%, and CREDIT ANY SHORTFALL WITHOUT THE CUSTOMER ASKING — which removes the incentive rather than policing it.

☐ **AND WASTAGE IS THE COST THAT HONESTY PAYS AND ADULTERATION ELIMINATES.** Fresh fish has a two-day window; a retailer who destroys stock at that limit carries 8.4% wastage, and a retailer who treats it carries almost none — THE ADULTERANT'S ECONOMIC FUNCTION IS TO CONVERT WASTE INTO REVENUE. So stock is DESTROYED AT THE PUBLISHED FRESHNESS LIMIT — 73 tonnes in Year 3 — with NIL re-listed the next day and NIL diverted into the value-added line to extend its life, forgoing ₹0.97 crore.

This plan models an operator requiring **₹14.00 crore** (₹6.00 crore investor + ₹4.00 crore term loan + ₹2.40 crore working capital + ₹1.60 crore promoter), moving from **₹10.00 crore revenue and a ₹1.15 crore loss** to **₹32.00 crore revenue and ₹1.28 crore profit** by Year 3 — a 4.0% net margin and 13.9% return on capital. (Fresh-fish D2C — figures illustrative.)

2. Business Description, Vision & Legal Structure

Concept: A fish retailer that sells a date rather than an adjective.

Vision: To make "fresh" a number the customer can check.

Mission: Publish the catch date and time; state frozen-thawed status plainly; test every intake for formalin, ammonia and species; price on the weight that actually arrives; destroy at the limit rather than re-listing; and credit a shortfall before anyone complains.

Legal structure

- **Private Limited** — recommended. FSSAI licensing, dark-store leases, rider engagement, payment gateways and outside capital all assume it.
- **The destruction limit set as a system rule with no commercial override — the structural precondition, since stock at its limit is always worth something to somebody and the decision arrives daily.**
- **Intake testing authority held outside procurement — because a rejected lot at 5 a.m. is an empty dark store at 8 a.m., and procurement owns the consequence.**

Regulatory anchor: the Food Safety and Standards Act 2006 and the Contaminants, Toxins and Residues Regulations, under which formalin in fish is unambiguously prohibited — the failure is not the standard but the absence of routine testing at the point of retail, so the prohibition is real and almost never triggered; the Food Safety and Standards (Packaging and Labelling) Regulations, which require a date of packing but **DO NOT REQUIRE A CATCH OR HARVEST DATE, and do not define "fresh" at all**; the Legal Metrology (Packaged Commodities) Rules on net quantity, where whole-weight pricing with post-cleaning delivery sits in an area the rules were not written for; the Consumer Protection Act 2019 and E-Commerce Rules on listing accuracy and species description; FSSAI licensing for storage, processing and retail; the Motor Vehicles Act and gig-work provisions for delivery riders; effluent and solid-waste consents for cutting rooms; and the Code on Social Security 2020 as it applies to platform workers. **The decisive structural fact is that THE LAW PROHIBITS THE ADULTERANT AND DOES NOT REQUIRE ANYONE TO LOOK FOR IT, AND DEFINES THE PACKING DATE WHILE LEAVING THE WORD "FRESH" ENTIRELY OPEN.**

3. Promoter & Ownership

Led by a promoter with fresh-category retail, quick commerce or seafood-trade background, with a supply head and a quality lead. Three competences decide outcomes. *Daily procurement discipline*, because this business buys perishable stock every morning against demand it cannot know until evening. *Wastage management*, since 8.4% of purchases is destroyed and every point of it is a point of margin. And *the willingness to destroy saleable stock at 6 p.m.*, which happens every day of the year and is the single decision the whole proposition rests on. Ownership, equity & investor terms are editable inputs; **the destruction limit is a system rule with no commercial override, and intake testing authority sits outside procurement.**

4. Market Analysis — India

A large, fast-growing category sold on a word nobody has defined.

Demand drivers

- **Urban demand for home-delivered fresh protein** at predictable quality.
- **Distrust of the traditional wet market**, particularly on adulteration.
- **Quick-commerce habit formation** across the fresh category generally.
- **Ready-to-cook demand** from households unwilling to clean fish.
- **Cold-chain reach** extending inland to non-coastal cities.
- **Aquaculture supply growth** giving year-round availability.

Market sizing (illustrative framing)

Layer	Definition	Indicative scale
TAM	Indian fresh seafood retail, organised and unorganised	Very large; overwhelmingly unorganised
SAM	Supply that passes intake testing and carries a catch date	Bounded by WHAT WE WILL ACCEPT, not by demand
SOM (Yr 1-3)	Orders delivered with a published date and edible-weight pricing	₹10cr → ₹32cr; 280,000 orders; 800 tonnes

Revenue mix and share (Year 3)

Stream	Share	Gross margin — and where the buyer is blind
Fresh marine fish — app & web D2C	34.0%	32.0% — where "fresh" and formalin both operate
Fresh freshwater fish & aquaculture produce	20.0%	28.0% — shorter chain; residue risk instead
Prawns, shellfish & cephalopods	18.0%	36.0% — highest cleaning loss; yield decided by us
Ready-to-cook marinated & value-added	14.0%	44.0% — where ageing stock would be hidden
Retail store walk-in sales	10.0%	30.0% — the customer can at least see the whole fish
Frozen range — labelled frozen, never sold as fresh	4.0%	26.0% — small by design; honest by label

The third row carries the largest cleaning loss in the range — a kilogram of whole prawn delivers well under half its weight as edible meat — which makes it the stream where whole-weight pricing would earn most and where edible-weight pricing costs most. The fourth row is named carefully: **MARINATION IS THE STANDARD INDUSTRY ROUTE FOR AGEING STOCK**, because spice and acid mask exactly what a customer would otherwise notice, and a ready-to-cook line is therefore the easiest place in any fish business to hide a day. We run it at 44% margin and state that **NIL stock enters it after reaching the freshness limit** — the value-added line takes only same-day material, which is why it is 14% of revenue rather than the 25% the margin would justify. The sixth row is deliberately small and deliberately honest: a frozen range labelled frozen competes badly against a competitor's frozen range labelled fresh, and that is the whole point of carrying it.

Constraints

- **Wastage**, at 8.4% of purchases and the single largest margin variable.
- **Acquisition cost**, the largest opex line in a category with weak loyalty.
- **Daily procurement** against demand that is unknown until the evening.
- **What we will accept**, which caps supply before demand does.

5. Competition & Position

Competitor type	Strength	Weakness this plan exploits
Quick-commerce platforms	Delivery speed, scale, customer base, capital	Thawed frozen fish listed as fresh; no catch date
Specialist seafood D2C apps	Category focus, curation, brand, subscription	Whole-weight pricing; cleaning loss borne by buyer

Wet markets and local fishmongers	Cheapest, immediate, whole fish visible to the buyer	Formalin risk; no testing anywhere in the chain
Organised grocery fresh counters	Footfall, trust, cold display, one-stop convenience	Same-day re-listing of unsold stock as fresh
This plan	Catch date published, intake tested, edible-weight pricing, destroyed at the limit	Higher price; 8.4% wastage; narrower accepted supply

The positioning gives the customer two things he can check and one he must take on trust, and the ordering matters. He can read a catch date and he can weigh what arrives — both verifiable at his kitchen table without any expertise. He cannot verify that we destroyed 73 tonnes rather than re-listing it, and that is the largest commitment of the four. **THE TWO CHECKABLE CLAIMS ARE THERE TO MAKE THE UNCHECKABLE ONE CREDIBLE, which is the only structure available when the expensive commitment is the invisible one.** The honest difficulty is price: we are dearer per kilogram than a wet market and dearer than a quick-commerce listing that says "fresh" about thawed fish, **AND THE CUSTOMER COMPARING THE TWO LISTINGS SEES NOTHING TO EXPLAIN THE DIFFERENCE** — because the competitor's claim is not false in any way he can establish, and ours is only better if he believes the date means what it says.

The cost of the honest position is stated in rupees rather than implied. Destroying stock at the published freshness limit rather than re-listing it or routing it into the marinated range forgave ₹0.97 crore of gross profit — the largest item, off the P&L entirely, and the one commitment a customer can never verify. Capturing and publishing the catch or harvest date and time per SKU, and stating frozen-thawed status, cost ₹74 lakh. Testing every intake for formalin, ammonia and species, and publishing the results, cost ₹68 lakh. Pricing on delivered edible weight — verifying it and crediting shortfalls unasked — cost ₹40 lakh. Total ₹2.79 crore against ₹1.28 crore of profit — so a retailer with the same dark stores and the same customers running trade-standard practice would earn ₹4.07 crore.

6. The Adulterant Exists to Defeat the Only Test He Has

This is the finding, and it is about what the chemical is *for*.

What the buyer checks	What formalin does to it
Clear eyes	Keeps them clear
Red gills	Keeps them red
Firm flesh	Firms it further
No ammonia smell	Suppresses it
Whether the fish is safe to eat	Nothing whatever

A fish buyer's entire diagnostic toolkit is three observations, learned in a kitchen rather than a classroom: clear eyes, red gills, no ammonia smell. They are good tests — they genuinely track decomposition, they need no equipment, and they have served households for generations. And formalin defeats every one of them. It does not preserve the fish in any nutritional or microbiological sense; **IT FIXES THE PROTEINS THAT WOULD OTHERWISE HAVE SIGNALLED DECAY**, so the eyes stay clear, the gills stay red, the flesh stays firm and the smell stays down **WHILE THE FISH CONTINUES TO SPOIL UNDERNEATH. THAT IS THE POINT WORTH SITTING WITH: THIS IS NOT A PRESERVATIVE THAT HAPPENS TO MISLEAD, THE WAY A DYE OR A GLAZE MIGHT. ITS**

ENTIRE COMMERCIAL FUNCTION IS TO MISLEAD, AND IT IS APPLIED PRECISELY BECAUSE THE BUYER'S ONLY TEST IS SENSORY AND SENSORY TESTS CAN BE TARGETED. THE ADULTERANT IS AIMED AT THE INSTRUMENT RATHER THAN AT THE PRODUCT.

And the word compounds the chemistry. "FRESH" IS THE MOST LOAD-BEARING TERM IN INDIAN FOOD RETAIL AND ONE OF THE LEAST DEFINED: no regulation gives it a meaning, no standard attaches a time to it, and FSSAI's labelling rules REQUIRE A DATE OF PACKING WHILE REQUIRING NOTHING ABOUT WHEN THE FISH WAS CAUGHT. So frozen fish, thawed overnight and laid on crushed ice, is sold as fresh — lawfully, routinely, and with a packing date that is entirely accurate and describes the wrong event. THE CUSTOMER IS THEREFORE WORKING WITH A WORD THAT MEANS NOTHING AND A TEST THAT HAS BEEN CHEMICALLY NEUTRALISED, AND HE HAS NOTHING ELSE — no lab, no document, no counter-party who knows more and will tell him. The law prohibits formalin unambiguously; what it does not do is require anyone to look for it, so the prohibition is real and almost never triggered at retail, WHICH IS THE SAME SHAPE AS EVERY OTHER GAP IN THIS VERTICAL: A STANDARD THAT EXISTS AND A MEASUREMENT THAT NOBODY IS OBLIGED TO TAKE.

So the sensory test is replaced with a documentary one. THE CATCH OR HARVEST DATE AND TIME IS PUBLISHED PER SKU at 100%, NIL product described as "fresh" without one, and FROZEN-THAWED STATUS IS STATED ON EVERY LISTING — because A DATE IS THE ONE THING FORMALIN CANNOT ALTER. Median hours from catch to delivery fell from 34 to 19, and that number does the work the word was failing to do: it is comparable across sellers, it cannot be faked without falsifying a supplier record, and A CUSTOMER WHO KNOWS THE FISH WAS LANDED NINETEEN HOURS AGO DOES NOT NEED TO SMELL IT. Alongside it, EVERY INTAKE IS TESTED FOR FORMALIN, AMMONIA AND SPECIES with the results published, NIL lots accepted on supplier assurance alone, 46 LOTS REJECTED ON ADULTERANT DETECTION in Year 3 and 3 SUPPLIERS DELISTED — down from 214 and 18, which is what happens when a supply base learns that someone is testing. WHERE THE ADULTERANT EXISTS SOLELY TO DEFEAT THE BUYER'S ONLY TEST, HIS SENSES ARE NOT A CHECK BUT A TARGET — SO GIVE HIM A DATE HE CAN READ INSTEAD OF A SMELL HE CAN TRUST.

7. He Never Saw the Whole Fish

Two further findings, and the daily decision the plan actually turns on.

□ THE YIELD DECISION SITS ENTIRELY WITH THE SELLER, IN A ROOM THE BUYER HAS NEVER ENTERED. He orders a kilogram of whole fish; it is scaled, gutted, headed and cut out of sight; and roughly 550 grams arrive at his door. He has no idea what the whole fish weighed, what a normal yield for that species is, or how much came off in trim — and EVERY GRAM OF EXTRA TRIM IS OUR MARGIN AND HIS LOSS. This is the settlement problem that runs through the whole vertical — the pond-side count, the peeling-hall scale — ARRIVING AT LAST AT THE CONSUMER, WHERE IT IS WORST, BECAUSE HE IS THE ONLY PARTY IN THE CHAIN WHO HAS NO INSTRUMENT, NO COMPARATOR AND NO STANDING TO ASK. So we PRICE ON DELIVERED EDIBLE WEIGHT rather than whole weight, at 100%, verify the delivered weight against the order, and CREDIT ANY SHORTFALL WITHOUT THE CUSTOMER ASKING — which does not police the incentive but REMOVES IT, since a seller paid for what arrives has no reason to trim harder.

□ AND WASTAGE IS THE COST THAT HONESTY PAYS AND ADULTERATION ELIMINATES. Fresh fish has a usable window measured in days, so a retailer who destroys stock at that limit carries real wastage — ours is 8.4% of purchases — while one who treats or re-lists carries almost none. THE ADULTERANT'S ECONOMIC FUNCTION IS THEREFORE NOT MERELY DECEPTION BUT CONVERSION: IT TURNS A WRITE-OFF INTO A SALE, which is why it survives every enforcement drive and every public scandal. Anyone proposing to eliminate it is

proposing that the trade absorb its wastage, and until somebody costs that, the argument is not really being had. So **STOCK IS DESTROYED AT THE PUBLISHED FRESHNESS LIMIT — 73 TONNES in Year 3 — with NIL** re-listed the following day as fresh and **NIL** diverted into the value-added line, **WHICH IS THE ROUTE THAT MATTERS MOST** because marination masks precisely what a customer would otherwise notice. It cost ₹0.97 crore, and it is the one commitment here that no customer can ever verify.

□ **AND A FILLET HAS NO SPECIES.** Once skinned and portioned, a cheaper fish is indistinguishable from a premium one to any buyer and most sellers, and substitution is the easiest margin in the category. So **EVERY LISTING CARRIES THE SCIENTIFIC NAME, 72 DNA SPOT-CHECKS** were run in Year 3, and substitution found in our own supply fell from 6 instances to nil.

What a fish buyer can check in sixty seconds

The first two are checkable at the kitchen table. The rest are questions the trade does not expect.

- **Does the listing give a catch date and time?** A packing date describes when someone put it in a box.
- **Weigh what arrived.** If you were charged for a kilogram and hold 550 grams, you paid for the bin.
- **Does it say whether it was previously frozen?** Thawed fish on ice is lawfully sold as fresh.
- **Is the species given a scientific name?** A fillet has no species anyone can see.
- **Ask what happens to unsold stock at closing.** "Marinated" is the industry's answer and tells you a lot.
- **Has anyone tested this lot for formalin?** It is illegal, undetectable by you, and rarely looked for.

The asymmetry here is the terminal case of everything upstream in this vertical, and it is worth naming plainly: **THE CONSUMER IS THE ONLY PARTY IN THE ENTIRE CHAIN WITH NO INSTRUMENT AT ALL.** The farmer had a pond he could look at, the processor had a laboratory, the exporter had a testing panel, the distributor had a logger — each was under-informed relative to somebody, but each held **SOMETHING**. The household buying a kilogram of fish holds three sensory heuristics and a price, **AND ONE OF THOSE HEURISTICS HAS BEEN SPECIFICALLY ENGINEERED AGAINST. WHICH MEANS EVERY REMEDY IN THIS PLAN HAS TO SUPPLY AN INSTRUMENT RATHER THAN A DISCLOSURE:** a date he can compare, a weight he can put on a scale, a scientific name he can look up. Disclosure alone would be useless here — telling a customer "we handle fish carefully" adds nothing to a market already saturated with that claim. **AND THE COMMITMENT THAT COSTS MOST — DESTROYING 73 TONNES — CANNOT BE MADE INTO AN INSTRUMENT AT ALL, WHICH IS WHY IT HAS TO BE CARRIED BY THE CREDIBILITY OF THE ONES THAT CAN.**

8. Operations Plan (buy, test, cut, destroy)

The intake

- **Formalin, ammonia and species tested on every lot** — nil accepted on assurance.
- **Catch or harvest date and time captured at source**, not at the dark store.
- **Testing authority outside procurement** — a rejected lot is an empty store.
- **Suppliers delisted on adulterant detection** — 3 in Year 3, published.

The listing

- **Catch date and time published per SKU**; nil "fresh" without one.
- **Frozen-thawed status stated plainly** on every listing.
- **Scientific name on every species**; DNA spot-checks quarterly.

- **Frozen range labelled frozen**, and never listed as fresh.

The cut

- **Priced on delivered edible weight**, not whole weight.
- **Delivered weight verified against the order** before despatch.
- **Shortfall credited without the customer asking** — 100%.
- **Yield published by species** so the customer knows what to expect.

The limit

- **Stock destroyed at the published freshness limit** — 73 tonnes.
- **Nil re-listed the following day as fresh.**
- **Nil diverted into the value-added line** — marination masks the evidence.
- **Destruction recorded and the tonnage published** alongside wastage.

The operational hinge arrives at six o'clock every evening and is never dramatic: 1.4 tonnes of good-looking fish reaching its published limit, worth ₹5.6 lakh, on a day when demand ran short of forecast. Nothing is wrong with it — it will smell and look acceptable tomorrow morning, the marination line would absorb it invisibly, and a discounted flash sale would clear it in twenty minutes to customers who would be perfectly satisfied. **NOBODY WOULD BE HARMED IN ANY WAY ANYONE COULD DEMONSTRATE, AND THAT IS PRECISELY WHY THE DECISION CANNOT BE LEFT TO JUDGEMENT:** it recurs 365 times a year, the argument for making an exception is identical and reasonable every single time, and a limit that is reconsidered daily is not a limit. **So THE FRESHNESS LIMIT IS A SYSTEM RULE THAT DELISTS AND FLAGS FOR DESTRUCTION WITH NO COMMERCIAL OVERRIDE** (anchor j), the stock was destroyed, the tonnage published, and the day's forecast error was reviewed against procurement rather than against the limit. Nil pay is linked to wastage percentage — because the fastest way to reduce measured wastage is to stop measuring it.

9. Food Safety, Labelling & Platform Compliance (India)

- **FSS Act 2006 and the Contaminants, Toxins and Residues Regulations** — formalin in fish is unambiguously prohibited; the gap is that nothing requires routine testing at retail, so the prohibition is real and almost never triggered.
- **FSS (Packaging and Labelling) Regulations** — a date of packing is required, a catch or harvest date is not, and "fresh" is not defined at all.
- **Legal Metrology (Packaged Commodities) Rules** on net quantity — **whole-weight pricing with post-cleaning delivery sits in an area the rules were not written for.**
- **Consumer Protection Act 2019 and E-Commerce Rules** on listing accuracy, species description and returns.
- **FSSAI licensing** for storage, processing, retail and dark-store operation.
- **Motor Vehicles Act and gig-work provisions** for delivery riders; the Code on Social Security 2020 for platform workers.
- **Effluent and solid-waste consents** for cutting rooms and fish waste.
- **Shops and Establishments, EPF and ESI** for dark-store and retail staff. **The law prohibits the adulterant and does not require anyone to look for it, and defines the packing date while leaving "fresh" entirely open.**

10. Organisation & Manpower Plan

Function	Yr 1	Yr 3	Notes
Promoter / managing director	1	1	Cannot override the freshness limit
Dark-store & retail operations — receiving, cutting, packing	42	100	8.0 tonnes per worker per year; yield verified per order
Last-mile delivery riders & fleet	30	70	Insulated boxes; dwell time capped by system
Technology, app & customer service	12	26	Catch-date system and shortfall crediting
Marketing, brand & performance	8	18	Nil pay linked to wastage percentage
Intake laboratory & catch-date capture	6	14	Testing authority sits outside procurement
Admin, finance, legal & business development	6	13	FSSAI, platform-worker and labelling compliance
Total	105	242	800 tonnes; 280,000 orders; 73 t destroyed

The operations headcount is derived rather than assumed, and it reconciles three ways. By volume: 800 tonnes at 8.0 tonnes per operations worker per year is 100 staff across receiving, cutting and packing — a ratio that improves from 6.0 in Year 1 mainly because a dark store running at low order density spends most of its labour waiting rather than cutting. By cost per order: the ₹1.82 crore of catch-date capture, intake testing and edible-weight verification across 280,000 orders is ₹65 per order — 5.7 per cent of a ₹1,143 average order value, down from ₹127 in Year 1, and it is the entire difference between a listing that says "fresh" and one that says when the fish was landed. By cost per head: the ₹53 lakh laboratory and catch-date salary line across 14 people is ₹3.79 lakh cost-to-company each, with the remaining ₹89 lakh of those two lines being reagents, test kits and DNA spot-checks. Testing authority sits outside procurement, because a lot rejected at five in the morning is an empty dark store at eight, and procurement owns that consequence.

11. Implementation Timeline & Milestones

Phase	Window	Milestones
Formation, first dark stores & app	Month 0–8	Incorporation, FSSAI, two dark stores, app launch, supply base
Intake testing live from day one	Month 3–9	Formalin, ammonia and species on every lot; 214 rejections in Yr 1
Catch date captured at source and published	Month 6–14	96% of SKUs; median catch-to-delivery 34 hours
Edible-weight pricing adopted	Month 10–18	Yield published by species; shortfall credited unasked
Freshness limit enforced by system	Month 12–22	Destruction automatic; 41 tonnes destroyed in Year 1
Scale & ready-to-cook	Month 26–36	280,000 orders, 19-hour median, wastage 8.4%, nil substitution

Training and competence

- **Destroying good-looking stock at closing**, every day, without renegotiating it.
- **Explaining edible-weight pricing** to a customer used to being quoted whole weight.
- **Rejecting a lot at 5 a.m.** knowing the store opens at eight.
- **Capturing a catch time at the landing** rather than estimating it at the dock.

12. Financial Plan (Illustrative)

All figures are illustrative model assumptions, not forecasts or guarantees. They exist to show the drivers of a fresh-fish D2C P&L under a published-date rule. Every input is editable. **The defining economics are that marketing at ₹2.86 crore and wastage at 8.4% of purchases together consume more than twice the profit — this is a category where acquiring the customer and losing the stock are the two facts that decide the year.**

12.1 Project cost & funding

Item	₹ lakh	Notes
Dark stores — chilling, cutting, packing, cold rooms	386	Cutting rooms sized for verified yield, not throughput
Technology — app, catch-date system, yield tracking	246	The date has to survive from landing to listing
Working capital — daily procurement and stock	218	Bought every morning against unknown evening demand
Last-mile fleet — insulated boxes, vehicles	196	The warmest and least monitored segment
Intake laboratory — formalin, ammonia, species testing	158	Sized for every lot, not for sampling
Retail store fit-out	132	Where the customer can see the whole fish
Licences, FSSAI, consents & pre-operative	64	Dark-store licensing, effluent, insurance
Total project cost	1,400	₹600L investor + ₹400L term loan + ₹240L WC + ₹160L promoter

12.2 Operating metrics

Metric	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3
CATCH OR HARVEST DATE AND TIME PUBLISHED PER SKU / product described as "fresh" without a date / frozen-thawed status stated on the listing / median hours from catch to delivery	96% / NIL / 100% / 34	99% / NIL / 100% / 26	100% / NIL / 100% / 19
FORMALIN, AMMONIA AND SPECIES TESTED AT INTAKE, RESULTS PUBLISHED / lots accepted on supplier assurance alone / lots rejected on adulterant detection / suppliers delisted for adulterated consignments	100% / NIL / 214 / 18	100% / NIL / 118 / 9	100% / NIL / 46 / 3
PRICED ON DELIVERED EDIBLE WEIGHT, NOT WHOLE WEIGHT / whole-weight pricing with cleaning loss borne by the customer / delivered weight verified against the order / shortfall credited WITHOUT the customer asking	100% / NIL / 94% / 100%	100% / NIL / 98% / 100%	100% / NIL / 100% / 100%

STOCK DESTROYED AT THE PUBLISHED FRESHNESS LIMIT / unsold stock re-listed the following day as fresh / stock diverted into the value-added line to extend its life / stock destroyed at limit (tonnes)	100% / NIL / NIL / 41	100% / NIL / NIL / 63	100% / NIL / NIL / 73
SPECIES NAMED WITH SCIENTIFIC NAME ON EVERY LISTING / premium species names used for cheaper substitutes / DNA spot-checks per year / substitution found in our own supply	100% / NIL / 24 / 6	100% / NIL / 48 / 2	100% / NIL / 72 / NIL
Tonnes sold / orders delivered (million) / tonnes per operations worker per year / date, testing and edible-weight cost per order / wastage as % of purchases	250 / 0.088 / 6.0 / ₹127 / 14.2%	530 / 0.186 / 7.0 / ₹78 / 10.6%	800 / 0.280 / 8.0 / ₹65 / 8.4%

Row one's last column is the number that replaces the word: nineteen hours from catch to delivery is comparable across sellers, cannot be faked without falsifying a supplier record, and tells the customer more than any adjective could. Row two's third column falling from 214 rejections to 46 is the most encouraging figure in the plan and the one least about us — it is what happens when a supply base learns that somebody is testing, and the improvement accrues to every buyer those suppliers serve, not only to us. Row four's second and third columns at NIL are the load-bearing pair, and the third matters more: re-listing is visible to staff and would eventually leak, WHILE ROUTING AGEING STOCK INTO MARINATION IS INVISIBLE TO EVERYONE INCLUDING MOST OF OUR OWN PEOPLE. Row six's wastage falling from 14.2% to 8.4% is forecasting improving rather than the limit softening, and nobody's pay is linked to it — because the fastest way to reduce measured wastage is to stop measuring it.

12.3 Revenue build

Stream	Yr 1 (₹L)	Yr 2 (₹L)	Yr 3 (₹L)	Gross margin
Fresh marine fish — app & web D2C	340	714	1,088	32.0%
Fresh freshwater fish & aquaculture produce	200	420	640	28.0%
Prawns, shellfish & cephalopods	180	378	576	36.0%
Ready-to-cook marinated & value-added	140	294	448	44.0%
Retail store walk-in sales	100	210	320	30.0%
Frozen range — labelled frozen, never sold as fresh	40	84	128	26.0%
Total revenue	1,000	2,100	3,200	33.2% blended

12.4 P&L summary

Line	Yr 1 (₹L)	Yr 2 (₹L)	Yr 3 (₹L)
Revenue	1,000	2,100	3,200
Cost of goods — procurement, wastage, cutting labour & packaging	(668)	(1,404)	(2,139)
Gross profit	332	696	1,061
Marketing & customer acquisition	(148)	(218)	(286)
Last-mile delivery & dark-store operations	(66)	(116)	(168)
Technology, app & customer service	(52)	(68)	(86)

Catch-date capture & frozen-thawed labelling per SKU	(44)	(58)	(74)
Formalin, ammonia & species testing at intake, published	(42)	(54)	(68)
Admin, finance, legal & business development	(40)	(48)	(58)
Edible-weight pricing — yield verification & shortfall credit	(26)	(33)	(40)
EBITDA	(86)	101	281
Depreciation & amortisation	(48)	(68)	(86)
Finance cost	(34)	(48)	(62)
Other income — waste offtake & store concession (<i>disclosed</i>)	14	26	38
PBT	(154)	11	171
Tax	39	(3)	(43)
PAT	(115)	8	128
Net margin	(11.5%)	0.4%	4.0%
ROCE	(9.6%)	2.4%	13.9%

Marketing at ₹2.86 crore is 8.9% of revenue and 2.2 times profit, which is the ordinary shape of a fresh-category D2C business: the customer must be bought, and in a category with weak loyalty he must be bought repeatedly. Cost of goods at 66.8% carries the wastage inside it — 8.4% of purchases destroyed at the limit — so the destruction commitment appears twice in this P&L: once as the wastage embedded in COGS and once as the ₹0.97 crore of gross profit never earned on stock that could lawfully have been sold. The three disclosure lines total ₹1.82 crore, 5.7% of revenue and ₹65 an order. Other income of ₹38 lakh is fish-waste offtake to rendering and a store concession, disclosed as a line and 1.2% of revenue. Commitments of ₹2.79 crore against ₹1.28 crore of profit — ₹1.82 crore of opex above plus ₹0.97 crore forgone on destroyed stock — mean a trade-standard retailer would earn ₹4.07 crore. Worth noting how modest the destruction figure looks written down: 73 tonnes out of 873 purchased, ₹0.97 crore of gross profit never earned. IT IS SMALL BECAUSE THE FRESHNESS LIMIT IS ENFORCED DAILY RATHER THAN PERIODICALLY — a retailer who reviews stock weekly accumulates a much larger pile of marginal product and faces a much harder decision about it, WHICH IS PRECISELY WHY WEEKLY REVIEW IS THE INDUSTRY NORM AND DAILY ENFORCEMENT IS NOT. The commitment is cheap because it is applied early; applied late it would be unaffordable, and the operator who defers it discovers that at exactly the moment he can least afford to act.

12.5 Break-even & sensitivity

Scenario	Yr 3 revenue	Yr 3 PAT	Comment
Base	₹32.0cr	₹1.28cr	As modelled, date published, destroyed at limit
Trade-standard — thawed sold as fresh, whole-weight pricing, re-listing	₹38.4cr	₹4.50cr	The category's model, computed rather than dismissed
Order volume 20% below plan	₹25.6cr	(₹0.31cr)	Marketing is committed ahead of the orders

Procurement cost rises 12%	₹32.0cr	₹0.08cr	Only half passes through; a 4% margin absorbs little
Wastage rises 4 points	₹32.0cr	₹0.32cr	Forecast error, not limit softening
Acquisition cost rises 30%	₹32.0cr	₹0.64cr	Largest opex line; weak category loyalty

13. Funding Requirement & Bankability

Source	₹ lakh	Terms (indicative)
Investor equity	600	Dark stores, technology and acquisition; limit covenant
Term loan	400	Cold rooms, fleet, laboratory, store fit-out; 6 years
Working capital facility	240	Daily procurement against evening demand
Promoter contribution	160	Cash and fresh-retail operating leadership
Total	1,400	Illustrative structure

A lender should read median catch-to-delivery hours, intake rejections, wastage and destroyed tonnage — and should understand that the destroyed tonnage **RISING** is consistent with the business working, since it scales with volume, while a fall in it without a fall in volume would mean the limit had been quietly relaxed. The starkest figure is that listing thawed fish as fresh, pricing on whole weight and re-listing unsold stock would take profit from ₹1.28 crore to ₹4.50 crore on the same dark stores and the same customers — and only the first of those three is even arguably unlawful, because "fresh" has no legal meaning to breach. The sharpest exposure is procurement: a 12% rise leaves ₹0.08 crore, because a 4.0% net margin has almost nothing to absorb with and only about half of an input move can be passed to a customer comparing prices daily. A lender should treat the freshness-limit rule as the covenant, since every other commitment here is visible to the customer and this one is visible to nobody.

- **Use of funds** — 28% dark stores, 18% technology and catch-date system, 16% working capital, 14% last-mile fleet, 11% intake laboratory, 9% retail fit-out, 5% licences.
- **Repayment** — term loan from Year 3 cash flow; working capital revolving daily against procurement.
- **Investor exit** — sale to a quick-commerce platform, a protein brand or an integrated seafood group; or promoter buyback. **The catch-date, intake-testing, edible-weight and destruction commitments survive change of control.**

14. Risk Analysis & Mitigation

Risk	Impact	Mitigation
------	--------	------------

The adulterant exists to defeat the only test he has	A fish buyer's ENTIRE DIAGNOSTIC TOOLKIT IS THREE OBSERVATIONS LEARNED IN A KITCHEN RATHER THAN A CLASSROOM: clear eyes, red gills, no ammonia smell. THEY ARE GOOD TESTS — they genuinely track decomposition, need no equipment, and have served households for generations. AND FORMALIN DEFEATS EVERY ONE OF THEM. It does not preserve the fish in any nutritional or microbiological sense; IT FIXES THE PROTEINS THAT WOULD OTHERWISE HAVE SIGNALLED DECAY, so the eyes stay clear, the gills stay red, the flesh stays firm and the smell stays down WHILE THE FISH CONTINUES TO SPOIL UNDERNEATH. THIS IS NOT A PRESERVATIVE THAT HAPPENS TO MISLEAD, THE WAY A DYE OR A GLAZE MIGHT — ITS ENTIRE COMMERCIAL FUNCTION IS TO MISLEAD, AND IT IS APPLIED PRECISELY BECAUSE THE BUYER'S ONLY TEST IS SENSORY AND SENSORY TESTS CAN BE TARGETED. THE ADULTERANT IS AIMED AT THE INSTRUMENT RATHER THAN AT THE PRODUCT. And the word compounds the chemistry: "FRESH" IS THE MOST LOAD-BEARING TERM IN INDIAN FOOD RETAIL AND ONE OF THE LEAST DEFINED — no regulation gives it a meaning, and FSSAI's labelling rules REQUIRE A DATE OF PACKING WHILE REQUIRING NOTHING ABOUT WHEN THE FISH WAS CAUGHT. So frozen fish thawed overnight and laid on crushed ice is sold as fresh LAWFULLY, ROUTINELY, AND WITH A PACKING DATE THAT IS ENTIRELY ACCURATE AND DESCRIBES THE WRONG EVENT. THE CUSTOMER IS WORKING WITH A WORD THAT MEANS NOTHING AND A TEST THAT HAS BEEN CHEMICALLY NEUTRALISED, AND HE HAS NOTHING ELSE	REPLACE THE SENSORY TEST WITH A DOCUMENTARY ONE. CATCH OR HARVEST DATE AND TIME PUBLISHED PER SKU (100%), NIL described as "fresh" without one, FROZEN-THAWED STATUS STATED ON EVERY LISTING — BECAUSE A DATE IS THE ONE THING FORMALIN CANNOT ALTER. Median catch-to-delivery 34 → 19 HOURS, and that number DOES THE WORK THE WORD WAS FAILING TO DO: IT IS COMPARABLE ACROSS SELLERS, CANNOT BE FAKED WITHOUT FALSIFYING A SUPPLIER RECORD, AND A CUSTOMER WHO KNOWS THE FISH WAS LANDED NINETEEN HOURS AGO DOES NOT NEED TO SMELL IT. EVERY INTAKE TESTED FOR FORMALIN, AMMONIA AND SPECIES with results published, NIL accepted on assurance alone, 46 LOTS REJECTED and 3 SUPPLIERS DELISTED (from 214 and 18) — WHICH IS WHAT HAPPENS WHEN A SUPPLY BASE LEARNS THAT SOMEONE IS TESTING (₹74L + ₹68L). GENERAL RULE: WHERE THE ADULTERANT EXISTS SOLELY TO DEFEAT THE BUYER'S ONLY TEST, HIS SENSES ARE NOT A CHECK BUT A TARGET — SO GIVE HIM A DATE HE CAN READ INSTEAD OF A SMELL HE CAN TRUST
He never saw the whole fish	He orders a kilogram; it is scaled, gutted, headed and cut OUT OF SIGHT; and roughly 550 GRAMS ARRIVE. He has no idea what the whole fish weighed, what a normal yield for that species is, or how much came off in trim — and EVERY GRAM OF EXTRA TRIM IS OUR MARGIN AND HIS LOSS. THIS IS THE SETTLEMENT PROBLEM THAT RUNS THROUGH THE WHOLE VERTICAL — THE POND-SIDE COUNT, THE PEELING-HALL SCALE — ARRIVING AT LAST AT THE CONSUMER, WHERE IT IS WORST, BECAUSE HE IS THE ONLY PARTY IN THE CHAIN WITH NO INSTRUMENT, NO COMPARATOR AND NO STANDING TO ASK	PRICE ON DELIVERED EDIBLE WEIGHT rather than whole weight (100%), verify delivered weight against the order, and CREDIT ANY SHORTFALL WITHOUT THE CUSTOMER ASKING — WHICH DOES NOT POLICE THE INCENTIVE BUT REMOVES IT, SINCE A SELLER PAID FOR WHAT ARRIVES HAS NO REASON TO TRIM HARDER. Yield published by species so the customer knows what to expect (₹40L)

Wastage is the cost that honesty pays and adulteration eliminates	Fresh fish has a usable window measured in days, so a retailer who destroys stock at that limit carries REAL WASTAGE — ours is 8.4% of purchases — while one who treats or re-lists carries almost none. THE ADULTERANT'S ECONOMIC FUNCTION IS THEREFORE NOT MERELY DECEPTION BUT CONVERSION: IT TURNS A WRITE-OFF INTO A SALE, WHICH IS WHY IT SURVIVES EVERY ENFORCEMENT DRIVE AND EVERY PUBLIC SCANDAL. Anyone proposing to eliminate it is proposing that the trade absorb its wastage, AND UNTIL SOMEBODY COSTS THAT, THE ARGUMENT IS NOT REALLY BEING HAD	STOCK DESTROYED AT THE PUBLISHED FRESHNESS LIMIT — 73 TONNES in Yr 3 — with NIL re-listed the following day and NIL DIVERTED INTO THE VALUE-ADDED LINE, WHICH IS THE ROUTE THAT MATTERS MOST BECAUSE MARINATION MASKS PRECISELY WHAT A CUSTOMER WOULD OTHERWISE NOTICE. ₹0.97cr forgone, and IT IS THE ONE COMMITMENT HERE THAT NO CUSTOMER CAN EVER VERIFY. Value-added held at 14% of revenue rather than the 25% the margin would justify, because it takes same-day material only
The decision that arrives at six o'clock every evening	1.4 tonnes of good-looking fish reaching its published limit, worth ₹5.6 LAKH, on a day when demand ran short of forecast. NOTHING IS WRONG WITH IT — it will look acceptable tomorrow, the marination line would absorb it invisibly, and a flash sale would clear it in twenty minutes TO CUSTOMERS WHO WOULD BE PERFECTLY SATISFIED. NOBODY WOULD BE HARMED IN ANY WAY ANYONE COULD DEMONSTRATE — AND THAT IS PRECISELY WHY THE DECISION CANNOT BE LEFT TO JUDGEMENT: IT RECURS 365 TIMES A YEAR, THE ARGUMENT FOR AN EXCEPTION IS IDENTICAL AND REASONABLE EVERY SINGLE TIME, AND A LIMIT THAT IS RECONSIDERED DAILY IS NOT A LIMIT	THE FRESHNESS LIMIT IS A SYSTEM RULE THAT DELISTS AND FLAGS FOR DESTRUCTION WITH NO COMMERCIAL OVERRIDE (anchor j). Stock destroyed, tonnage published, and THE DAY'S FORECAST ERROR REVIEWED AGAINST PROCUREMENT RATHER THAN AGAINST THE LIMIT. NIL pay linked to wastage percentage — BECAUSE THE FASTEST WAY TO REDUCE MEASURED WASTAGE IS TO STOP MEASURING IT
A fillet has no species	Once skinned and portioned, a cheaper fish is INDISTINGUISHABLE FROM A PREMIUM ONE to any buyer and most sellers, and substitution is the easiest margin in the category	Scientific name on every listing (100%), 72 DNA SPOT-CHECKS a year, and substitution found in our own supply 6 → NIL. Intake species testing sits with the same team as adulterant testing, outside procurement
The two checkable claims carry the uncheckable one	He can read a date and weigh what arrives; he cannot verify that 73 tonnes were destroyed rather than re-listed — and that is the largest commitment of the four	The verifiable claims are placed first deliberately, because they are what makes the invisible one credible; destroyed tonnage published alongside wastage so the two move together; and the limit enforced by system rather than by policy so it does not depend on anyone's daily resolve
Acquisition, procurement and volume	Marketing is 8.9% of revenue and 2.2× profit; a 12% procurement rise leaves ₹0.08cr; 20% below plan is a ₹0.31cr loss	Ready-to-cook at 44% margin lifting blended realisation; retail stores as lower-CAC volume; subscription and repeat ordering to reduce repurchase cost; and procurement forecasting treated as the primary wastage lever rather than the freshness limit

15. SWOT Analysis

Strengths • Catch date and time published per SKU — 19-hour median • Every intake tested for formalin, ammonia and species • Priced on delivered edible weight; shortfall credited unasked • 73 tonnes destroyed at limit; nil re-listed, nil marinated • Scientific name on every listing; substitution at nil	Weaknesses • ₹2.79cr forgone against ₹1.28cr of profit • 8.4% wastage a competitor does not carry • Dearer than a listing that says "fresh" about thawed fish • 4.0% margin; procurement moves absorb almost nothing
Opportunities • Customers who have had a formalin scare • Any definition of "fresh" or catch-date rule would favour us • Supply base improving because someone tests it • Ready-to-cook from same-day material at 44% margin • Inland cities as cold-chain reach extends	Threats • Quick-commerce entrants with capital and no date • Procurement cost volatility on a 4% margin • Acquisition-cost inflation in a low-loyalty category • Wet-market price comparison the customer makes daily

16. Recommended AiSevak Tools for This Business

- **Catch-date capture** — time recorded at landing and carried through to the listing.
- **Intake test register** — formalin, ammonia and species per lot, results published.
- **Edible-weight pricing engine** — charge on delivered weight; credit shortfalls automatically.
- **Freshness-limit enforcer** — delists and flags for destruction; no commercial override.
- **Value-added gate** — blocks any stock past same-day from entering the marinated line.
- **Species listing check** — scientific name required before a SKU can go live.
- **Destruction and wastage register** — tonnage published alongside forecast error.

17. Key Assumptions & Notes

- **All financials are illustrative model assumptions, not forecasts, projections or guarantees.** Revenue, order volumes, average order value, wastage, procurement cost and acquisition cost are editable inputs; actual outcomes depend on landings, competition, customer retention and input prices. Figures are in Indian rupees. **This plan inherits the ten fisheries anchor rules (a)-(j).**
- **The central finding is that the adulterant exists to defeat the only test the buyer has.** His toolkit is three sensory observations — clear eyes, red gills, no ammonia smell — and they are good tests that genuinely track decomposition. **Formalin fixes the proteins that would otherwise have signalled decay, so all three stay reassuring while the fish continues to spoil underneath. This is not a preservative that happens to mislead: its entire commercial function is to mislead, and it is applied precisely because the buyer's only test is sensory and sensory tests can be targeted. The adulterant is aimed at the instrument rather than at the product.**
- **And the word compounds the chemistry.** "Fresh" is the most load-bearing term in Indian food retail and one of the least defined; FSSAI's labelling rules require a date of packing and nothing about when the fish was caught. **So thawed frozen fish is sold as fresh lawfully, routinely, and with a packing date that is entirely accurate and describes the wrong event. The customer is working with a word that means nothing and a test that has**

been chemically neutralised, and he has nothing else.

- **So the sensory test is replaced with a documentary one.** Catch or harvest date and time published per SKU, frozen-thawed status stated, median catch-to-delivery down from 34 hours to 19. **A date is the one thing formalin cannot alter, it is comparable across sellers, and a customer who knows the fish was landed nineteen hours ago does not need to smell it. WHERE THE ADULTERANT EXISTS SOLELY TO DEFEAT THE BUYER'S ONLY TEST, HIS SENSES ARE NOT A CHECK BUT A TARGET — SO GIVE HIM A DATE HE CAN READ INSTEAD OF A SMELL HE CAN TRUST.**
- **He never saw the whole fish.** A kilogram ordered, cut out of sight, roughly 550 grams delivered — and every gram of extra trim is the seller's margin and the buyer's loss. **This is the settlement problem running through the whole vertical, arriving at last at the consumer, where it is worst because he is the only party with no instrument, no comparator and no standing to ask.** Edible-weight pricing does not police that incentive; it removes it.
- **Wastage is the cost that honesty pays and adulteration eliminates.** A retailer destroying stock at the limit carries 8.4% wastage; one who treats or re-lists carries almost none. **The adulterant's economic function is conversion rather than deception — it turns a write-off into a sale, which is why it survives every enforcement drive. Anyone proposing to eliminate it is proposing that the trade absorb its wastage, and until somebody costs that, the argument is not really being had.** 73 tonnes destroyed, nil re-listed, nil into marination.
- **The asymmetry is the terminal case of everything upstream.** The farmer had a pond, the processor a laboratory, the exporter a test panel, the distributor a logger — each under-informed relative to somebody, but each holding something. **The household buying a kilogram of fish holds three sensory heuristics and a price, and one of those heuristics has been specifically engineered against.** Which is why every remedy here supplies an instrument rather than a disclosure: a date he can compare, a weight he can put on a scale, a name he can look up. **And the commitment that costs most — destroying 73 tonnes — cannot be made into an instrument at all, which is why it has to be carried by the credibility of the ones that can.**
- **Compliance and professional advice.** The FSS Act 2006 and Contaminants, Toxins and Residues Regulations, **under which formalin in fish is unambiguously prohibited while nothing requires routine testing at retail;** the FSS (Packaging and Labelling) Regulations, **which require a date of packing, do not require a catch date, and do not define "fresh" at all;** the Legal Metrology Rules on net quantity, **where whole-weight pricing with post-cleaning delivery sits in an area the rules were not written for;** the Consumer Protection Act 2019 and E-Commerce Rules on listing accuracy and species description; FSSAI licensing for dark stores and retail; the Motor Vehicles Act, gig-work provisions and the Code on Social Security 2020 for delivery riders; and effluent and solid-waste consents for cutting rooms. **The law prohibits the adulterant and does not require anyone to look for it.** Professional advice should be taken on freshness and catch-date claim substantiation, edible-weight pricing under Legal Metrology, platform-worker classification, and destruction documentation for tax and insurance.

► **Use these tools now — free on AiSevak**

Every tool referenced in this plan is available on aisevak.in. Open the [Fisheries and Aquaculture toolkit](#) to browse and use them all at <https://aisevak.in/verticals/fisheries> — or go straight to any specific tool at <https://aisevak.in/service/<tool-name>>. No signup needed to explore; each tool guides you step by step.

Prepared by AiSevak.in — a product of ABL Digital Technologies. AI-powered business services for India. This is AI-assisted guidance; figures are illustrative and not a guarantee of results. Verify all numbers, licences and regulatory requirements with a qualified professional before relying on this plan for binding decisions. © 2026 ABL Digital Technologies Private Limited.