BUSINESS PLAN · INDIA

Digital Marketing Agency

Priced away from media spend, so that advice to spend less is credible

AGENCIES & MARKETING-SERVICES FIRMS

AISEVAK.IN · BY ABL DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES

August 2026

1. Executive Summary

This plan sets out a **Digital Marketing Agency** in India — integrated retainers covering search, social, performance media and content, alongside project campaigns, creative production, measurement and incrementality consulting, and in-house team enablement.

> **One structural fact governs this business and, as the anchor plan of this vertical, is worth stating for all eleven that follow: the client cannot easily tell whether the work caused the result — and the agency both performs the work and reports on it.** Every other vertical in this library sells something the customer can eventually assess. The grooming client sees the dog; the physiotherapist's re-injury rate is measurable; the certification body is believed by employers or it is not. **Marketing is the only category here where effectiveness is systematically hard to establish and the seller controls the scoreboard.** Nearly every commercial pathology in this industry descends from that one asymmetry.

> **The technical version of the problem is attribution, and it is not a reporting preference — it is a measurement error with a predictable direction.** Last-click and platform-reported attribution **systematically over-credit the channels that harvest demand which already exists** — brand search, retargeting, remarketing audiences — **and under-credit the channels that create demand in the first place.** An agency optimising toward those numbers will steadily shift budget into retargeting, report improving return on ad spend every quarter, and **be charging a client to buy back its own existing customers.** The numbers improve; the business does not. This plan therefore treats **incrementality as the measurement standard rather than attribution** — holdout tests, geo experiments and matched-market designs — and reports the metric that proves the discipline is real: **clients where a channel was recommended for REDUCTION on test evidence, rising from 4 to 18.**

> **The commercial version of the problem is the industry's default pricing, and this plan refuses it: revenue from percentage-of-ad-spend pricing is NIL.** Charging a percentage of media budget means **the agency earns more when the client spends more, regardless of whether spending more is the right advice** — and it means an agency has no financial reason ever to say "this channel is saturated, stop." The alternative used here is **a flat retainer plus a performance component**, which costs real revenue: percentage-of-spend is what most clients expect and what every competitor offers. **It is nonetheless the only pricing model under which the agency's recommendation to reduce spending can be believed.**

> **And the positioning problem a full-service agency must answer: "we do everything digital" means competing with a specialist in every line and beating none of them.** The honest resolution is that the generalist's value is not superior execution in any single channel — **it is orchestration and budget allocation ACROSS channels, which is precisely what specialists cannot provide, because every specialist argues for their own channel.** An agency that cannot allocate budget better than the client could alone has no reason to exist.

This plan models an agency requiring **₹62 lakh** (₹34 lakh promoter + ₹14 lakh investor + ₹14 lakh working-capital finance), moving from **₹2.6 crore revenue and ₹14 lakh profit** in Year 1 to **₹6.06 crore revenue and ₹1.01 crore profit** by Year 3. *(People-on-time services business; utilisation- and retention-driven — figures illustrative.)*

2. Business Description, Vision & Legal Structure

Concept: An integrated digital agency built around measurement — channel execution in search, social, programmatic and content, with an in-house analytics function that runs incrementality testing, and pricing that separates the agency's income from the client's media budget.

Vision: To be the agency a client believes when it says to spend less.

Mission: Measure incrementally, not by last click; never price on a share of spend; staff the account with the people we pitched; escalate a claim we cannot substantiate; and tell a client when a channel has stopped working.

Legal structure options

- **Private Limited** — recommended: client contracting, media liability, team scale and any external investment.
- **LLP** — workable for a partner-led agency.
- **Proprietorship** — feasible at freelance scale, but limits enterprise contracting.

> **Regulatory anchor:** the agency's exposures arise mostly from work it produces for others. **The ASCI code and the CCPA's guidelines on misleading advertisements and dark patterns apply to the advertising, and while liability sits primarily with the advertiser, the agency wrote the claim** — which makes an internal substantiation check a professional obligation rather than a client courtesy. Alongside: **the DPDP framework, since an agency routinely processes client customer data, runs audience uploads and deploys tracking — making it a processor with obligations of its own**; platform policy compliance, where account suspensions for policy breaches damage the client and are the agency's fault; **surrogate advertising and category-specific restrictions** in sectors like alcohol, tobacco, gambling, health and financial services; **influencer disclosure obligations** where creator work is commissioned; contractual matters including media ownership, account access and data portability — **a client should own its own ad accounts, pixels and data, and an agency that holds them hostage is creating a dispute it will lose**; and GST on services.

3. Promoter & Ownership

Led by a promoter with agency, performance-marketing or brand-side background. Three competences decide outcomes: *measurement literacy*, because the agency's entire differentiation rests on it; *utilisation and scope discipline*, since this is a people-on-time business where margin lives in those two numbers; and *client retention*, as agency economics are destroyed by short tenure. Analytical credibility, scope control, senior-delivery integrity & retention are the differentiators. Ownership, equity & investor terms are editable inputs.

4. Market Analysis — India

Demand is driven by digital's share of Indian advertising, D2C and SME adoption of paid acquisition, the shortage of in-house capability, and the growing complexity of platforms. The market is very large, extremely fragmented, and characterised by short client tenure. It is **retainer-based, utilisation-bound, measurement-opaque and churn-heavy**.

Demand drivers

- **Digital's rising share of advertising** across every category and business size.
- **D2C and SME paid acquisition**, where the client has budget but no capability.
- **Platform complexity** increasing faster than in-house teams can absorb.
- **Measurement confusion** after signal loss from privacy changes, which has made attribution harder and expertise more valuable.
- **In-housing pressure**, which is a threat and simultaneously a service line — training the client's own team.

Market sizing (illustrative framing)

Layer	Definition	Indicative scale
TAM	Indian digital marketing services	Very large; highly fragmented
SAM	Clients at retainer sizes served	Segment- and sector-bounded
SOM (Yr 1–3)	**Retained clients × tenure × billable utilisation**	24 → 56 retainer clients

> **The market's defining feature is short client tenure, and understanding why clients leave matters more than understanding why they arrive.** Agencies are rarely fired for incompetence in the first quarter — **they are fired somewhere between month nine and month eighteen, when results plateau and the agency continues charging for activity.** The pattern is consistent: early gains are real, because most clients start from a low base and basic competence produces improvement; **then the easy gains are exhausted, growth flattens, and the agency responds by producing more reporting rather than a different answer.** The client, unable to distinguish "the channel is saturated" from "the agency has run out of ideas", assumes the latter and moves to a competitor who will produce the same early gains again by re-doing basic work. **Extending average tenure from nine to nineteen months is worth more to this business than any amount of new business development**, and the mechanism is uncomfortable: **telling a client that a channel is saturated before they work it out, and proposing something that reduces the agency's own billings.**

Target segments

- **D2C and e-commerce brands** — performance-led, measurement-hungry, the core retainer base.
- **SMEs and regional businesses** with budget and no internal capability.
- **Enterprise brands** needing execution alongside their own in-house teams.
- **Funded startups**, high spend and high churn — profitable but structurally short-tenured.
- **In-housing clients** buying training and enablement rather than execution.

5. Competition & Competitive Advantage

Landscape: global network agencies, large independents, **an enormous number of small agencies and freelancers competing on price**, specialist shops in each channel, platform-certified partners, and **the client's own in-house team, which is the fastest-growing competitor. Price competition is severe and the floor is set by operators with no measurement capability and no overheads.**

The business's edge

- **Incrementality measurement in-house**, which most agencies of this size do not have.
- **Pricing independent of media spend**, which makes budget advice credible.
- **Cross-channel allocation**, the one thing specialists structurally cannot offer.
- **Senior delivery matching the pitch**, addressing the industry's most common complaint.
- **Willingness to recommend reduction**, which is the clearest possible proof of independence.

> **Competing against in-housing requires accepting that for many clients it is the right answer, and being honest about where it is not.** A brand spending steadily on two channels with a stable product **will eventually be better served by an internal team**: cheaper, faster and closer to the business. An agency that pretends otherwise is arguing against the client's interest and will lose the argument slowly. **Where an agency genuinely wins is variety and depth**

of pattern: it has run the test the client is about to run, on someone else's budget, and knows the answer; it sees a channel's decay across twenty accounts before any single client can detect it in one; and it can staff a spike without hiring. **The honest posture is therefore to offer enablement as a service rather than to resist it** — training a client's in-house team is revenue, and the clients who in-house well tend to retain an agency for strategy and measurement rather than dismissing it entirely. **An agency that fights in-housing loses the client; one that helps builds a smaller, longer relationship.**

6. Services & Revenue Model

Line	Model	Basis
Monthly retainers (integrated digital)	Flat fee by scope	**~49% of revenue; utilisation-bound**
Performance-linked fees	Outcome-based component	**13.1% → 17.2% — aligned upside**
Project work (campaigns, launches, audits)	Per project	Entry point; converts to retainer
Measurement, analytics & incrementality consulting	Per engagement / retained	**6.9% → 9.6% — the differentiator**
Creative & content production	Per deliverable	Production; AI-repriced
Training & in-house team enablement	Per programme	Converts a threat into revenue
Percentage-of-ad-spend pricing	**NIL — by policy**	**Conflict firewall**

The pricing decision deserves working through, because refusing percentage-of-spend is the plan's most commercially expensive choice. Clients understand it, competitors offer it, and it scales revenue automatically as budgets grow — which is exactly the problem. **Under that model, every recommendation the agency makes about budget is contaminated: proposing a spend increase raises the agency's fee, and proposing a decrease cuts it.** The client knows this, which is why budget recommendations from percentage-fee agencies are quietly discounted by every experienced marketer. **A flat retainer plus a performance component inverts the incentive without pretending to eliminate it**: the retainer pays for the work regardless of budget, and the performance component pays for outcomes rather than for spend. It has costs — **revenue does not automatically scale with a client's growth, and pricing conversations become harder because the fee is visible rather than buried in a media invoice.** The compensating asset is singular and worth the money: **this is the only pricing structure under which "you should spend less on this channel" is a credible sentence.**

7. Go-to-Market — Winning on the Second Conversation

Acquisition

- **Audit-led entry** — a paid audit that finds real problems converts far better than a pitch deck.
- **Referral from satisfied clients**, which in a low-trust category carries disproportionate weight.
- **Published measurement thinking**, which attracts the clients who value it and repels those who do not.
- **Category depth**, since a client believes an agency that has solved their specific problem before.

Retention

- **Incrementality reporting** that survives the client's own scrutiny.
- **Saying "this is saturated" early**, before the client discovers it independently.
- **Senior involvement that persists** beyond the pitch.

- **Roadmap beyond optimisation** — new channels, new tests, new audiences — so the relationship has somewhere to go.

Sales approach

Enter on a paid audit → convert to retainer → prove incrementally → extend tenure by being honest about plateaus → grow through measurement and enablement. **The practice that must be eliminated is the industry's most common one: pitching with seniors and delivering with juniors.** It is the single most frequent client complaint about agencies, and it is not a service failure — **it is a promise made in the pitch and quietly broken in month two.** This plan measures it directly: **senior hours delivered against senior hours pitched, with the variance reported and closing from −34% to −4%.** It costs margin, because senior time is expensive and the temptation to substitute is structural. **It also removes the reason clients most often give for leaving, which makes it a retention investment rather than a cost.**

8. Operations Plan (scope, staff, execute, measure, report)

- **Scoping:** deliverables, hours and review rounds defined in the retainer — **the edu-content-agency discipline applied to marketing.**
- **Staffing:** named team with **senior allocation matching what was pitched**, tracked rather than assumed.
- **Execution:** channel management, creative production, landing and conversion work, with platform-policy compliance.
- **Measurement: incrementality testing — holdouts, geo experiments, matched markets — rather than platform-reported attribution alone.**
- **Claim substantiation: any advertising claim the agency cannot substantiate is escalated to the client before publication, not after.**
- **Data governance:** client owns accounts, pixels and data; agency processes under DPDP obligations.
- **Scope control:** out-of-scope requests priced as variations rather than absorbed.
- **Reporting:** outcomes and tests, including tests that did not work.

Engagement lifecycle

Stage	Activity	Control point
Scope	Deliverables, hours, review rounds	Scope creep absorbed 22% → 8%
Staff	**Named team; senior allocation as pitched**	**Senior-hour variance −34% → −4%**
Execute	Channels, creative, conversion	Billable utilisation 58% → 74%
Measure	**Incrementality tests, not last click**	**Tests per client 0.4 → 2.1**
Advise	**Recommend reduction where tests show it**	**Reduction recommendations 4 → 18**
Retain	Roadmap, honesty about plateaus	Tenure 9 → 19 months

> **The measurement discipline needs describing concretely, because "we do incrementality" is a claim as easy to make as any other in this industry.** The practical method is a **holdout: a portion of the addressable audience or a set of matched geographies is deliberately excluded from a campaign, and the difference in outcome between exposed and unexposed populations is the campaign's actual contribution.** It is unglamorous, it costs some reach, and it produces results that are frequently unwelcome — **the commonest finding when a retargeting campaign is tested properly is that a large share of the conversions it claimed would have happened anyway.** That is

precisely why most agencies do not run these tests: **the agency is funding an experiment whose likely result is a smaller budget and therefore, under conventional pricing, a smaller fee.** Under this plan's pricing that conflict is removed, which is what makes the discipline sustainable rather than merely intended. **Running 2.1 tests per client per year and reporting eighteen cases where the recommendation was to spend less is the only credible evidence an agency can offer that its numbers are not self-serving.**

9. Advertising Compliance, Data & Platform Governance (India)

- **ASCI code and CCPA misleading-advertisement and dark-pattern guidelines** apply to the work — **liability sits primarily with the advertiser, but the agency wrote the claim**, making internal substantiation a professional obligation.
- **Claim escalation:** any claim the agency cannot substantiate is **raised with the client before publication** (38 → 118 escalations).
- **DPDP obligations** — the agency processes client customer data, uploads audiences and deploys tracking, **making it a processor with duties of its own rather than a bystander.**
- **Data and account ownership: the client owns its ad accounts, pixels, tags and data — an agency that holds them hostage is creating a dispute it will lose**, and losing badly.
- **Platform policy compliance**, since suspensions harm the client and are the agency's responsibility.
- **Category restrictions and surrogate advertising rules** in alcohol, tobacco, gambling, health and financial services.
- **Influencer disclosure** obligations where creator work is commissioned on the client's behalf.
- Contractual: media authorisation, spend approval thresholds, and clear allocation of responsibility for platform spend.

10. Organisation & Manpower Plan

Role	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3
Promoter / managing partner	1	1	2
Channel specialists (search, social, programmatic)	14	24	38
Creative & content	8	14	22
Strategy & account leadership (senior delivery)	**6**	**10**	**16**
Analytics, measurement & incrementality	**4**	**8**	**14**
Operations, project management & admin	4	7	12
Business development	3	5	8
Total	**40**	**69**	**112**

The analytics function grows faster than any other role — 4 to 14 — because it is the differentiator rather than a support function. Senior strategy headcount is carried at a level that makes the pitched staffing deliverable, which is the plan's answer to the industry's most common complaint.

11. Implementation Timeline & Milestones

Phase	Timeline	Milestone

Setup	Month 0–3	Team, measurement stack, testing methodology, first retainer clients
Launch	Month 3–12	24 retainers; ₹2.6cr revenue, ₹14L profit; utilisation 58%, tenure 9 months
Build	Year 2	Utilisation 67%, tenure 14 months, scope creep 14%; ₹4.02cr, ₹53L profit
Scale	Year 3	56 retainers; ₹6.06cr, ₹1.01cr profit; **tenure 19 months, 2.1 tests per client**

12. Financial Plan (Illustrative)

All figures are illustrative model assumptions for an Indian context, shown so the promoter can replace them with live rates. ***Billable utilisation, scope-creep absorption, client tenure and senior-hour integrity are the levers — and revenue from percentage-of-ad-spend is NIL by design, which caps automatic revenue growth and is the price of credible budget advice.***

12.1 Project cost & means of finance

Capital requirement	₹
Working capital (salaries against client credit periods)	**24,00,000**
Team recruitment, training & platform certification	10,00,000
Technology stack (analytics, testing, reporting, project management)	9,00,000
Office setup & deposits	8,00,000
Business development & pitch capability	6,00,000
Measurement & incrementality infrastructure	**3,00,000**
Legal, compliance & registrations	2,00,000
Total project cost	**62,00,000**
Promoter contribution	34,00,000
Investor funding	14,00,000
Working-capital finance	14,00,000

12.2 Projected Profit & Loss (3 years)

Particulars	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
Monthly retainers (integrated digital)	**1,28,00,000**	**1,92,00,000**	**2,78,00,000**
Project work (campaigns, launches, audits)	42,00,000	58,00,000	82,00,000
Performance-linked fees	**34,00,000**	**62,00,000**	**1,04,00,000**
Creative & content production	26,00,000	38,00,000	56,00,000
Measurement, analytics & incrementality consulting	**18,00,000**	**34,00,000**	**58,00,000**
Training & in-house team enablement	12,00,000	18,00,000	28,00,000
Percentage-of-ad-spend fees	**NIL**	**NIL**	**NIL**

Total revenue	**2,60,00,000**	**4,02,00,000**	**6,06,00,000**
Salaries (strategy, specialists, creative, analytics, admin)	1,58,00,000	2,32,00,000	3,44,00,000
Unbilled scope creep & absorbed hours	**22,00,000**	**26,00,000**	**32,00,000**
Business development, pitching & marketing	20,00,000	28,00,000	40,00,000
Technology, tools & data stack	16,00,000	22,00,000	32,00,000
Office, overheads & admin	14,00,000	20,00,000	28,00,000
Training, certification & continuing education	**8,00,000**	**11,00,000**	**16,00,000**
Depreciation, interest & other	8,00,000	10,00,000	13,00,000
Total expenses	**2,46,00,000**	**3,49,00,000**	**5,05,00,000**
Net profit before tax	**14,00,000**	**53,00,000**	**1,01,00,000**
Net margin	5.4%	13.2%	16.7%
Billable utilisation	**58%**	**67%**	**74%**
Scope-creep hours absorbed unbilled (% of delivered)	**22%**	**14%**	**8%**
AVERAGE CLIENT TENURE (months)	**9**	**14**	**19**
Revenue from percentage-of-ad-spend pricing	**NIL**	**NIL**	**NIL**
Incrementality tests run per client per year	**0.4**	**1.2**	**2.1**
Clients advised to REDUCE a channel on test evidence — *a positive*	**4**	**11**	**18**
Senior hours delivered vs pitched (variance)	**−34%**	**−16%**	**−4%**
Ad claims escalated to client for substantiation before publication	**38**	**72**	**118**
Client concentration (largest client as % of revenue)	18%	13%	9%
Revenue per billable head (₹ lakh) / Staff retention	18.6 / 62%	21.2 / 74%	24.4 / 84%
Active retainer clients	24	38	56

12.3 Unit economics & break-even

- **Client tenure is the single most valuable number in agency economics.** Moving from nine to nineteen months roughly doubles the revenue earned per acquisition — and acquisition, through pitching and business development, costs 7–8% of revenue.
- **Utilisation and scope absorption are the two margin levers**, exactly as the content agency found: 58% → 74% utilisation with unbilled scope creep falling 22% → 8% is what takes net margin from 5.4% to 16.7%.
- **Refusing percentage-of-spend caps automatic revenue growth** — when a client doubles its media budget, this agency's fee does not double. That is the cost of credible budget advice, and it is paid every month.
- **Senior-hour integrity costs margin and buys retention**, which is the trade this plan makes deliberately.
- **Break-even:** Year 1 at 5.4%. **This is a low-capital business whose entire risk is in people costs against client credit periods.**

12.4 Projected Cash Flow — Year 1 (quarterly, illustrative)

Particulars (₹)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Opening balance	22,00,000	18,00,000	18,00,000	22,00,000
Collections	56,00,000	62,00,000	66,00,000	68,00,000
Salaries, technology, business development & overheads	60,00,000	62,00,000	62,00,000	62,00,000
Closing balance	**18,00,000**	**18,00,000**	**22,00,000**	**28,00,000**

> **Agency cash is a straightforward mismatch that becomes dangerous only when growth is fast: salaries are paid monthly while clients settle at 45 to 60 days, and a growing agency is therefore always funding a month or two of a team it has already hired for work it has already delivered.** One additional exposure is specific to this sector and worth stating plainly. **Where an agency pays media platforms on the client's behalf and recovers the spend afterwards, it is extending credit at a scale that can dwarf its own revenue** — an agency billing ₹2.6 crore may be fronting media of several crores, and **a single client default on media spend can exceed a full year's profit.** Three disciplines follow: **media should be paid on the client's own card or credit line wherever possible**; where the agency must front it, **exposure per client is capped and secured by advance**; and **client concentration is watched deliberately** — falling from 18% to 9% here — because **in a business with thin margins and monthly payroll, the loss of one large client is a solvency event rather than a disappointing quarter.**

12.5 Key Performance Indicators to Monitor

- **Economics: billable utilisation**; **unbilled scope creep**; revenue per billable head; project margin against estimate.
- **Retention: average client tenure**; retainer renewal rate; reasons for departure recorded; client concentration.
- **Measurement integrity: incrementality tests per client**; **reduction recommendations made**; share of reporting based on tested rather than platform-attributed results.
- **Promise integrity: senior hours delivered vs pitched**; **claims escalated for substantiation**; platform policy incidents; account and data ownership held by client.

13. Funding Requirement & Bankability

Total ask: **₹14 lakh investor funding plus ₹14 lakh working-capital finance** against ₹34 lakh promoter contribution. Four points. **First, there is essentially no security** — the assets are a team and a client list, neither of which can be hypothecated — so lending rests on receivables and covenant. **Second, the working-capital facility is the operative one**, funding monthly payroll against 45–60 day client settlement. **Third, the metric to underwrite is client tenure rather than revenue. An agency growing revenue with nine-month average tenure is running to stand still, replacing a third of its book annually, and its growth will stop the moment business development slows. Fourth, and specific to this sector, ask about media exposure. An agency that fronts client media spend is carrying credit risk that can be several times its own revenue, and a single default can exceed a year's profit** — an exposure that does not appear in a profit-and-loss statement until it becomes a bad debt.

13.1 Funding utilisation

Use of funds	₹	% of total
Working capital (salaries against client credit periods)	24,00,000	38.7%
Team recruitment, training & platform certification	10,00,000	16.1%

Technology stack (analytics, testing, reporting, project management)	9,00,000	14.5%
Office setup & deposits	8,00,000	12.9%
Business development & pitch capability	6,00,000	9.7%
Measurement & incrementality infrastructure	3,00,000	4.8%
Legal, compliance & registrations	2,00,000	3.3%
Total	**62,00,000**	**100%**

14. Risk Analysis & Mitigation

Risk	Mitigation
The agency grades its own homework	**Marketing is the only category in this library where effectiveness is systematically hard to establish AND the seller controls the scoreboard.** Nearly every commercial pathology in the industry descends from that asymmetry. Answer: **incrementality measurement, with reduction recommendations (4 → 18) as the only credible evidence that the numbers are not self-serving**
Attribution error with a direction	**Last-click and platform attribution systematically OVER-credit channels that harvest existing demand (brand search, retargeting) and UNDER-credit those that create it** — so an agency optimising to those numbers **shifts budget into retargeting, reports improving ROAS every quarter, and charges the client to buy back its own existing customers. The numbers improve; the business does not.** Holdouts, geo experiments, matched markets
Percentage-of-spend pricing	**The agency earns more when the client spends more, regardless of whether spending more is right — and has no financial reason ever to say "this channel is saturated, stop."** Clients know this, which is why budget advice from percentage-fee agencies is **quietly discounted by every experienced marketer.** Flat retainer + performance component; **% of spend: NIL — the only structure under which "spend less" is a credible sentence**
Short client tenure	Agencies are rarely fired in the first quarter — **they are fired between month nine and eighteen, when results plateau and the agency keeps charging for activity**, and the client cannot distinguish "the channel is saturated" from "the agency has run out of ideas." **Extending tenure 9 → 19 months is worth more than any business development**, and the mechanism is uncomfortable: **saying so before the client works it out, and proposing something that reduces the agency's own billings**
Pitching seniors, delivering juniors	**The single most frequent client complaint about agencies — and not a service failure but a promise made in the pitch and quietly broken in month two.** Measured directly: senior hours delivered vs pitched, variance −34% → −4%. Costs margin, **and removes the reason clients most often give for leaving**
Scope creep	The industry's silent margin killer, and the edu-content-agency problem repeated — absorbed hours 22% → 8% through defined deliverables, review rounds and variations priced rather than swallowed
Media credit exposure	**An agency fronting client media spend extends credit that can DWARF its own revenue — one billing ₹2.6cr may front several crores, and a SINGLE CLIENT DEFAULT CAN EXCEED A FULL YEAR'S PROFIT.** Media on the client's own card or line; exposure capped and secured where unavoidable
Client concentration	**In a business with thin margins and monthly payroll, the loss of one large client is a solvency event rather than a disappointing quarter** — largest client 18% → 9%

In-housing	**For many clients it is the right answer** — a stable brand on two channels will eventually be better served internally, and **an agency that pretends otherwise is arguing against the client's interest and will lose slowly.** Offer enablement as a service: **an agency that fights in-housing loses the client; one that helps builds a smaller, longer relationship**
Claim and platform exposure	ASCI/CCPA liability sits with the advertiser, **but the agency wrote the claim** — substantiation escalated before publication (38 → 118); platform policy compliance; **client owns its accounts, pixels and data, since an agency holding them hostage is creating a dispute it will lose**

15. SWOT Analysis

Strengths **In-house incrementality measurement, uncommon at this scale**; **pricing independent of media spend, making budget advice credible**; cross-channel allocation that specialists structurally cannot offer; senior delivery matching the pitch; enablement converting the in-housing threat into revenue; very low capital intensity.	**Weaknesses** **Revenue does not scale automatically with client budgets**; entirely people-on-time with margin in utilisation and scope; **no security and no compounding asset**; short tenure at launch; media credit exposure; competing against a price floor set by operators with no measurement capability.
Opportunities Digital's growing share of Indian advertising; measurement confusion after signal loss making analytical capability more valuable; D2C and SME demand without internal capability; **in-house enablement as a service rather than a threat**; category specialisation; performance-linked pricing as trust builds.	**Threats** **In-housing by the largest clients**; freelancers and small agencies on price; **platform algorithm and policy changes invalidating expertise overnight**; AI repricing production work as it did for the content agency; talent poaching; client budget cyclicality.

16. Recommended AiSevak Tools for This Business

This digital marketing agency would use AiSevak's Marketing and Consulting vertical tools in operations:

- **Economics: billable utilisation by role**, **scope-creep and absorbed-hours register**, project margin vs estimate, revenue per billable head.
- **Measurement integrity: incrementality test log with holdout design and results**, **reduction-recommendation register**, tested-vs-attributed reporting split.
- **Promise integrity: senior-hours pitched vs delivered tracker**, named-team allocation records, staffing change alerts.
- **Retention:** client tenure cohorts, renewal pipeline, departure-reason capture, concentration monitoring.
- **Compliance: claim substantiation escalation log**, platform policy incident register, DPDP processing records, client account and data ownership register.

17. Key Assumptions & Notes

- Digital marketing agency: integrated retainers across search, social, performance media and content, plus project campaigns, creative production, measurement and incrementality consulting, and in-house team enablement.
- **⚠ OPENS THE MARKETING VERTICAL (1/11) — THE ANCHOR PLAN. GOVERNING CONVENTION FOR THE WHOLE VERTICAL, SET HERE: (a) THE CLIENT CANNOT EASILY TELL WHETHER THE WORK CAUSED THE**

RESULT, AND THE AGENCY BOTH PERFORMS THE WORK AND REPORTS ON IT — MARKETING IS THE ONLY CATEGORY IN THIS LIBRARY WHERE EFFECTIVENESS IS SYSTEMATICALLY HARD TO ESTABLISH AND THE SELLER CONTROLS THE SCOREBOARD, AND NEARLY EVERY COMMERCIAL PATHOLOGY IN THE INDUSTRY DESCENDS FROM THAT ONE ASYMMETRY (contrast: the grooming client sees the dog, the physiotherapist's re-injury rate is measurable, the certification body is believed by employers or not); **(b) THE VERTICAL IS ALMOST ENTIRELY PEOPLE-ON-TIME, SO UTILISATION AND SCOPE CONTROL DECIDE MARGIN — the edu-content-agency conclusion now applying to eleven consecutive businesses; (c) THE INDUSTRY'S DEFAULT PRICING (PERCENTAGE OF AD SPEND) CREATES A DIRECT CONFLICT: THE AGENCY EARNS MORE WHEN THE CLIENT SPENDS MORE, REGARDLESS OF WHETHER SPENDING MORE IS RIGHT.**

- **THE TECHNICAL VERSION OF THE PROBLEM IS ATTRIBUTION, AND IT IS NOT A REPORTING PREFERENCE BUT A MEASUREMENT ERROR WITH A PREDICTABLE DIRECTION: LAST-CLICK AND PLATFORM-REPORTED ATTRIBUTION SYSTEMATICALLY OVER-CREDIT THE CHANNELS THAT HARVEST DEMAND WHICH ALREADY EXISTS (BRAND SEARCH, RETARGETING, REMARKETING AUDIENCES) AND UNDER-CREDIT THE CHANNELS THAT CREATE IT** → an agency optimising toward those numbers **WILL STEADILY SHIFT BUDGET INTO RETARGETING, REPORT IMPROVING ROAS EVERY QUARTER, AND BE CHARGING A CLIENT TO BUY BACK ITS OWN EXISTING CUSTOMERS: THE NUMBERS IMPROVE; THE BUSINESS DOES NOT** → **INCREMENTALITY AS THE MEASUREMENT STANDARD RATHER THAN ATTRIBUTION** (holdouts, geo experiments, matched markets), with the practical method described concretely because **"WE DO INCREMENTALITY" IS A CLAIM AS EASY TO MAKE AS ANY OTHER IN THIS INDUSTRY**: **a portion of the addressable audience or a set of matched geographies is DELIBERATELY EXCLUDED, and the difference between exposed and unexposed populations is the campaign's ACTUAL contribution** — unglamorous, costing some reach, and producing frequently unwelcome results, since **THE COMMONEST FINDING WHEN A RETARGETING CAMPAIGN IS TESTED PROPERLY IS THAT A LARGE SHARE OF THE CONVERSIONS IT CLAIMED WOULD HAVE HAPPENED ANYWAY**; and that is **PRECISELY WHY MOST AGENCIES DO NOT RUN THESE TESTS — THE AGENCY IS FUNDING AN EXPERIMENT WHOSE LIKELY RESULT IS A SMALLER BUDGET AND THEREFORE, UNDER CONVENTIONAL PRICING, A SMALLER FEE** → tests per client 0.4→1.2→2.1, and **CLIENTS ADVISED TO REDUCE A CHANNEL ON TEST EVIDENCE (4→11→18) AS THE ONLY CREDIBLE EVIDENCE AN AGENCY CAN OFFER THAT ITS NUMBERS ARE NOT SELF-SERVING.**
- **THE COMMERCIAL VERSION IS PRICING, AND THIS PLAN REFUSES THE INDUSTRY DEFAULT: REVENUE FROM PERCENTAGE-OF-AD-SPEND PRICING IS NIL** — under that model **EVERY RECOMMENDATION THE AGENCY MAKES ABOUT BUDGET IS CONTAMINATED (proposing an increase raises the fee, proposing a decrease cuts it), AND THE CLIENT KNOWS THIS, WHICH IS WHY BUDGET RECOMMENDATIONS FROM PERCENTAGE-FEE AGENCIES ARE QUIETLY DISCOUNTED BY EVERY EXPERIENCED MARKETER**; the alternative (flat retainer + performance component) **INVERTS THE INCENTIVE WITHOUT PRETENDING TO ELIMINATE IT**, at real cost — **REVENUE DOES NOT AUTOMATICALLY SCALE WITH A CLIENT'S GROWTH, AND PRICING CONVERSATIONS BECOME HARDER BECAUSE THE FEE IS VISIBLE RATHER THAN BURIED IN A MEDIA INVOICE** — with a singular compensating asset: **IT IS THE ONLY PRICING STRUCTURE UNDER WHICH "YOU SHOULD SPEND LESS ON THIS CHANNEL" IS A CREDIBLE SENTENCE.**
- **THE POSITIONING PROBLEM A FULL-SERVICE AGENCY MUST ANSWER: "WE DO EVERYTHING DIGITAL" MEANS COMPETING WITH A SPECIALIST IN EVERY LINE AND BEATING NONE OF THEM** → honest resolution: **THE GENERALIST'S VALUE IS NOT SUPERIOR EXECUTION IN ANY SINGLE CHANNEL BUT ORCHESTRATION AND BUDGET ALLOCATION ACROSS CHANNELS — PRECISELY WHAT SPECIALISTS CANNOT PROVIDE, BECAUSE EVERY SPECIALIST ARGUES FOR THEIR OWN CHANNEL; AN AGENCY THAT CANNOT ALLOCATE BUDGET BETTER THAN THE CLIENT COULD ALONE HAS NO REASON TO EXIST.** On in-housing: **FOR MANY CLIENTS IT IS THE RIGHT ANSWER** — a brand spending steadily on two channels with a stable product **WILL EVENTUALLY BE BETTER SERVED BY AN INTERNAL TEAM, AND AN AGENCY THAT PRETENDS OTHERWISE IS ARGUING AGAINST THE CLIENT'S INTEREST AND WILL LOSE THE ARGUMENT SLOWLY**; where an agency genuinely wins is

VARIETY AND DEPTH OF PATTERN — it has run the test the client is about to run, ON SOMEONE ELSE'S BUDGET, and knows the answer; it sees a channel's decay across twenty accounts before any single client can detect it in one; and it can staff a spike without hiring → **OFFER ENABLEMENT AS A SERVICE RATHER THAN RESIST IT: AN AGENCY THAT FIGHTS IN-HOUSING LOSES THE CLIENT; ONE THAT HELPS BUILDS A SMALLER, LONGER RELATIONSHIP.**

- **THE MARKET'S DEFINING FEATURE IS SHORT CLIENT TENURE, AND UNDERSTANDING WHY CLIENTS LEAVE MATTERS MORE THAN WHY THEY ARRIVE: AGENCIES ARE RARELY FIRED FOR INCOMPETENCE IN THE FIRST QUARTER — THEY ARE FIRED BETWEEN MONTH NINE AND EIGHTEEN, WHEN RESULTS PLATEAU AND THE AGENCY CONTINUES CHARGING FOR ACTIVITY**; the pattern is consistent — **early gains are REAL because most clients start from a low base and basic competence produces improvement, THEN THE EASY GAINS ARE EXHAUSTED, GROWTH FLATTENS, AND THE AGENCY RESPONDS BY PRODUCING MORE REPORTING RATHER THAN A DIFFERENT ANSWER**, while **THE CLIENT, UNABLE TO DISTINGUISH "THE CHANNEL IS SATURATED" FROM "THE AGENCY HAS RUN OUT OF IDEAS", ASSUMES THE LATTER AND MOVES TO A COMPETITOR WHO WILL PRODUCE THE SAME EARLY GAINS AGAIN BY RE-DOING BASIC WORK** → **EXTENDING TENURE FROM 9 TO 19 MONTHS IS WORTH MORE THAN ANY AMOUNT OF NEW BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT, AND THE MECHANISM IS UNCOMFORTABLE: TELLING A CLIENT THAT A CHANNEL IS SATURATED BEFORE THEY WORK IT OUT, AND PROPOSING SOMETHING THAT REDUCES THE AGENCY'S OWN BILLINGS.** Related: **THE PRACTICE THAT MUST BE ELIMINATED IS PITCHING WITH SENIORS AND DELIVERING WITH JUNIORS — THE SINGLE MOST FREQUENT CLIENT COMPLAINT ABOUT AGENCIES, AND NOT A SERVICE FAILURE BUT A PROMISE MADE IN THE PITCH AND QUIETLY BROKEN IN MONTH TWO** → measured directly as **SENIOR HOURS DELIVERED VS PITCHED (−34%→−16%→−4%)**, costing margin because senior time is expensive and the substitution temptation is structural, **and removing the reason clients most often give for leaving — a RETENTION investment rather than a cost.**
- Regulatory: **ASCI code and CCPA misleading-advertisement and dark-pattern guidelines apply to the work, and while LIABILITY SITS PRIMARILY WITH THE ADVERTISER, THE AGENCY WROTE THE CLAIM — making internal substantiation A PROFESSIONAL OBLIGATION RATHER THAN A CLIENT COURTESY** (escalations 38→72→118); **DPDP obligations, since an agency routinely processes client customer data, uploads audiences and deploys tracking — MAKING IT A PROCESSOR WITH DUTIES OF ITS OWN RATHER THAN A BYSTANDER**; platform policy compliance (suspensions harm the client and are the agency's fault); surrogate advertising and category restrictions; influencer disclosure; and **ACCOUNT AND DATA OWNERSHIP — THE CLIENT OWNS ITS AD ACCOUNTS, PIXELS, TAGS AND DATA, AND AN AGENCY THAT HOLDS THEM HOSTAGE IS CREATING A DISPUTE IT WILL LOSE, AND LOSE BADLY.** Cash: **a straightforward mismatch that becomes dangerous only when growth is fast — salaries monthly against 45-60 day client settlement, so A GROWING AGENCY IS ALWAYS FUNDING A MONTH OR TWO OF A TEAM IT HAS ALREADY HIRED FOR WORK IT HAS ALREADY DELIVERED**; plus one sector-specific exposure worth stating plainly — **WHERE AN AGENCY PAYS MEDIA PLATFORMS ON THE CLIENT'S BEHALF AND RECOVERS THE SPEND AFTERWARDS, IT IS EXTENDING CREDIT AT A SCALE THAT CAN DWARF ITS OWN REVENUE: AN AGENCY BILLING ₹2.6 CRORE MAY BE FRONTING MEDIA OF SEVERAL CRORES, AND A SINGLE CLIENT DEFAULT ON MEDIA SPEND CAN EXCEED A FULL YEAR'S PROFIT** → media on the client's own card or credit line wherever possible, exposure capped and secured where unavoidable, and **client concentration watched deliberately (18%→13%→9%), because IN A BUSINESS WITH THIN MARGINS AND MONTHLY PAYROLL, THE LOSS OF ONE LARGE CLIENT IS A SOLVENCY EVENT RATHER THAN A DISAPPOINTING QUARTER.** Lender note: **essentially no security (a team and a client list, neither hypothecable)**; the WC facility is the operative one; underwrite **CLIENT TENURE RATHER THAN REVENUE, since AN AGENCY GROWING REVENUE WITH NINE-MONTH AVERAGE TENURE IS RUNNING TO STAND STILL, REPLACING A THIRD OF ITS BOOK ANNUALLY, AND ITS GROWTH WILL STOP THE MOMENT BUSINESS DEVELOPMENT SLOWS**; and **ASK ABOUT MEDIA EXPOSURE — AN EXPOSURE THAT DOES NOT APPEAR IN A**

PROFIT-AND-LOSS STATEMENT UNTIL IT BECOMES A BAD DEBT. ₹62L, 24→56 retainer clients, 40→112 staff, rev 2.6→6.06cr, Y1 ₹14L → Y3 ₹1.01cr. Figures illustrative, exclude GST.

▶ Use these tools now — free on AiSevak

Every tool referenced in this plan is available on **aisevak.in**. Open the **Agencies & Marketing-Services Firms toolkit** to browse and use them all at **https://aisevak.in/verticals/marketing** — or go straight to any specific tool at **https://aisevak.in/service/<tool-name>**. No signup needed to explore; each tool guides you step by step.