



B U S I N E S S P L A N · I N D I A

Carbon & Climate Advisory

*GHG accounting, BRSR reporting with assurance readiness, net-zero roadmaps and
CBAM compliance*

ENVIRONMENT

AISEVAK.IN · BY ABL DIGITAL TECHNOLOGIES

August 2026

This document is confidential and prepared for planning purposes. All financial figures are illustrative model assumptions for an Indian MSME context and must be validated against live quotes, local rates and professional advice before any investment or borrowing decision.

1. Executive Summary

This plan sets out a **Carbon & Climate Advisory** business in India — **greenhouse-gas accounting and carbon footprinting (Scope 1, 2 and 3), BRSR and sustainability reporting with assurance readiness, net-zero roadmaps and decarbonisation planning, CBAM and export-compliance emissions reporting, and offset/credit advisory** for listed companies, exporters, manufacturers and their supply chains.

This closes the environment vertical, and it sits furthest from matter of any business in it. The waste and water plans handled physical flows; [EPR compliance](#) handled a regulatory obligation without touching material. **This one handles neither — the deliverable is a number and its defensibility.**

That abstraction is precisely where the risk lives, and it produces the sharpest integrity exposure in the vertical. Three things follow from selling a number rather than a thing. **First, a footprint figure that cannot survive assurance is worse than no figure at all** — it becomes a disclosed inaccuracy the client must retract, which is a governance problem rather than a technical one. **Second, Scope 3 — usually the majority of a company's footprint — is largely estimated rather than measured**, so the headline number is dominated by modelling, and an adviser who does not say so plainly is misrepresenting the confidence of their own work. **Third, and most exposed: offset quality and additionality are genuinely contested**, and when a "carbon neutral" claim is publicly attacked, **the damage lands on the client's brand, not the adviser's**. This plan therefore treats offsets as a small, deliberately shrinking line — 9.0% of revenue falling to 6.6% — and counsels reduction before offsetting, because an offset-led strategy is the one most likely to be discredited.

Demand is not sentiment-driven. **BRSR Core assurance for listed companies, CBAM at the EU border for exporters of steel, aluminium, cement and fertiliser, and India's own carbon market moving from voluntary toward compliance** mean that an exporter who cannot report embedded emissions faces a cost regardless of their opinion about climate policy.

This plan models a business requiring **₹65 lakh** (₹35 lakh promoter + ₹8 lakh term loan + ₹22 lakh working-capital finance), moving from **₹2.45 crore revenue and a ₹4 lakh loss** in Year 1 to **₹6.1 crore revenue and ₹76 lakh profit** by Year 3, across roughly 120 clients. (*Knowledge services; people-cost-dominated, assurance-driven & reputationally exposed — figures illustrative.*)

2. Business Description, Vision & Legal Structure

Concept: A carbon and climate advisory practice — GHG inventory and footprinting across Scopes 1, 2 and 3; BRSR, CDP and sustainability reporting with assurance readiness; net-zero and decarbonisation roadmaps; CBAM and export emissions reporting; and screened offset/credit advisory — for listed companies, exporters, manufacturers and supply-chain suppliers.

Vision: To produce numbers that hold up — under an assurance provider, a regulator, a customer's supply-chain audit and a journalist.

Mission: Measure what can be measured, disclose the basis of what must be estimated, counsel reduction before offsetting, and never help a client make a claim we would not defend in public.

Legal structure options

- **Private Limited** — suits listed-company and multinational engagements, professional-indemnity cover, and the credibility an assurance-adjacent practice needs.
- **LLP** — a natural fit for a partner-led consulting practice.
- **Proprietorship** — only for a single-consultant footprinting practice; will not win listed-company work.

Regulatory anchor: SEBI's BRSR and BRSR Core apply to specified listed entities, with reasonable assurance on Core indicators and value-chain disclosure phased in — which converts sustainability reporting from a communications exercise into an audited one. **The EU's CBAM** requires importers to report embedded emissions in covered goods, with a financial obligation following the transitional phase, **placing a direct reporting burden on Indian exporters in steel, aluminium, cement and fertiliser**. Domestically, the **Carbon Credit Trading Scheme under the Energy Conservation Act** establishes an Indian carbon market with compliance and offset mechanisms, alongside the existing PAT/energy-efficiency framework. Methodologically, work follows the **GHG Protocol and ISO 14064**, and independent verification follows ISO 14065-accredited bodies. **An advisory firm cannot verify what it has prepared** — independence between preparer and assurer must be respected, and confusing the two roles destroys the value of both.

3. Promoter & Ownership

Led by a promoter with sustainability, energy-efficiency, environmental engineering or assurance background. Two competences decide outcomes: *methodological rigour* — boundary setting, emission-factor selection, data-quality hierarchy and the discipline to document every assumption — and *sector engineering knowledge*, because a decarbonisation roadmap for a cement plant, a textile dyeing unit and an IT campus are three different technical problems, and generic roadmaps are the visible failure of this industry. The team combines GHG accountants, reporting and assurance specialists, sector decarbonisation engineers and CBAM specialists. Methodological defensibility, sector depth, assurance track record & refusal to enable weak claims are the differentiators. Ownership, equity & any partner are editable inputs.

4. Market Analysis — India

Demand is driven by BRSR Core assurance obligations, CBAM reporting for exporters, customer supply-chain emissions requests cascading down to suppliers, India's emerging carbon market, lender and investor ESG requirements, and corporate net-zero commitments. Demand is **regulation-anchored rather than sentiment-driven**, which is what makes it durable. The market rewards methodological defensibility, sector depth & assurance readiness; it is **people-cost-dominated, credibility-sensitive and crowded at the low end**.

Demand drivers

- **BRSR Core with assurance** — moving disclosure from marketing copy to audited data for listed entities.
- **CBAM** — Indian exporters in covered sectors must report embedded emissions or bear a cost at the EU border.
- **Supply-chain cascade** — large buyers requiring emissions data from suppliers, which pulls unlisted MSMEs into scope without any regulation applying to them directly.
- **India's carbon market (CCTS)** moving from voluntary toward compliance obligations.
- **Lender and investor requirements**, green finance conditions, and corporate net-zero commitments requiring credible roadmaps.

Market sizing (illustrative framing)

Layer	Definition	Indicative scale
TAM	Indian carbon accounting, reporting & decarbonisation advisory	Large, expanding
SAM	Listed entities, CBAM-exposed exporters & their cascaded suppliers	Well-defined by regulation
SOM (Yr 1–3)	Retained clients & annual reporting cycles served	Scaling with recurring base

Target segments

- **Listed companies** under BRSR/BRSR Core — the anchor recurring segment.
- **CBAM-exposed exporters** in steel, aluminium, cement and fertiliser — urgent, deadline-driven and commercially motivated.
- **Suppliers to large buyers** facing customer emissions requests — high volume, smaller tickets, strong cascade potential.
- **Energy-intensive manufacturers** needing decarbonisation roadmaps with real engineering content.
- **Financial institutions and funds** requiring portfolio emissions and green-finance assessment.

5. Competition & Competitive Advantage

Landscape: Big Four and large consultancies at the top of the market, boutique sustainability consultancies, engineering firms adding decarbonisation to energy-audit practice, software vendors selling carbon-accounting platforms with thin advisory, and **a large tail of low-cost report-writers producing footprint numbers that would not survive scrutiny**. The tail is a genuine hazard to the industry's credibility and should be competed against on defensibility, never on price.

The business's edge

- **Assurance-ready by construction** — inventories built with documented boundaries, emission-factor sources and data-quality ratings, so an assurance provider can follow the work rather than rebuild it.
- **Honest treatment of Scope 3** — estimation basis and uncertainty disclosed rather than buried, which is what makes the number usable.
- **Sector engineering depth** — roadmaps with costed, plant-specific interventions instead of generic pathway charts.
- **CBAM specialism** — a defined, deadline-driven, technically demanding need where Indian capacity is genuinely short.
- **Claim discipline** — declining to support neutrality claims that rest on weak offsets, which protects the client and, over time, wins the clients who understand why.

6. Services & Revenue Model

Service	Model	Basis
GHG accounting & carbon footprint (Scope 1/2/3)	Project fee, annually repeating	Core (~32%)
BRSR/CDP reporting & assurance readiness	Annual retainer	Recurring ~26%
Net-zero roadmaps & decarbonisation planning	Project fee	High-value, engineering-led
CBAM & export-compliance reporting	Project + periodic filing	Fastest growth
Offset/credit advisory & procurement support	Advisory fee	Capped & shrinking by design

The revenue mix carries a deliberate decision that a promoter should not quietly reverse. Offset advisory is the easiest revenue in this market to scale — it is transactional, repeatable and margin-rich — and it is held at **9.0% of revenue falling to 6.6%** anyway. The reason is that offsets are the one line where the adviser's commercial interest and the client's reputational interest can diverge: **an adviser earning on offset volume has a structural incentive to recommend offsetting over reduction**, which is the recommendation most likely to be attacked later. Holding the line small keeps the practice's advice honest, and the annual reporting and assurance-readiness base at ~26% of revenue is the recurring

income that makes that restraint financially affordable.

7. Go-to-Market — Regulation-Anchored, Cascade-Driven

This practice does not need to persuade anyone that climate matters. It needs to reach the people who already have a deadline.

Entry routes

- **CBAM urgency** — exporters in covered sectors face a defined reporting obligation with a commercial consequence; this is the sharpest, least argued sale in the market.
- **BRSR assurance readiness** — listed companies discovering that data prepared for a narrative report will not withstand reasonable assurance.
- **Supply-chain cascade** — winning a large buyer, then serving its suppliers, who face a customer requirement without in-house capability.
- **Technical thought leadership** — sector-specific methodology content, which in this market is the credential that gets a first meeting.

Sales approach

Lead with the deadline-driven work (CBAM, BRSR cycle) → convert the first footprint into an annual retainer, since the inventory repeats every year and the second year is far cheaper to deliver → attach assurance-readiness support ahead of the client's assurance engagement → extend into decarbonisation roadmaps once the baseline is trusted → cascade from anchor clients into their supplier bases. **The commercial discipline that defines the practice is refusing the work it should refuse:** footprints on data the client cannot substantiate, neutrality claims resting on unscreened offsets, and roadmaps written to a target the client has no intention of funding. Each is available revenue, and each is a future public correction with the practice's name attached to it.

8. Operations Plan (inventory, report, roadmap, assure)

- **Boundary setting:** organisational and operational boundaries, consolidation approach, and base year — decisions that determine everything downstream and must be documented before data collection begins.
- **Data collection:** Scope 1 from fuel and process records, Scope 2 from meters and bills, **Scope 3 from procurement spend, logistics records and supplier data of highly variable quality.**
- **Emission-factor selection:** source, vintage and applicability documented for each factor — a routine assurance query and a routine cause of restatement.
- **Calculation & data-quality rating:** per-category uncertainty and estimation basis recorded, not hidden behind a single headline figure.
- **Reporting:** BRSR, CDP and sustainability report preparation aligned to the applicable framework.
- **Assurance support:** preparing the evidence file, responding to the assurance provider's queries, and closing findings — **as preparer, never as verifier.**
- **Roadmap:** costed, plant-specific abatement options with marginal abatement cost, sequencing and capital requirement.

Engagement cycle

Stage	Activity	Metric
-------	----------	--------

Scope	Boundary, base year & consolidation	Documented before data
Collect	Activity data across Scopes 1/2/3	Data-quality rating by category
Calculate	Factor selection & computation	Factor sources documented
Report	BRSR/CDP/disclosure preparation	Filing timeliness
Assure	Evidence file & query closure	Assurance findings raised
Reduce	Costed abatement roadmap	Roadmap items actually funded

Scope 3 is where the work and the doubt both live, and the plan is explicit about it rather than glossing it. Scopes 1 and 2 are measurable from fuel records and electricity meters — tedious but tractable. **Scope 3 typically dominates the total and is largely derived from spend-based emission factors**, meaning a company's headline footprint is mostly a modelled figure carrying wide uncertainty, sensitive to procurement categorisation choices. **Two consequences follow, and both are commercial as much as ethical.** An adviser who presents a Scope 3 estimate with the same confidence as a metered Scope 2 figure has misrepresented their own work, and it will be exposed the first time an assurance provider tests the data hierarchy. And **a client who reduces a Scope 3 number by changing suppliers' emission factors rather than changing anything physical has reduced nothing** — a distinction the adviser must draw plainly, because the client's stakeholders eventually will. The practice's answer is a documented data-quality rating per category, so the number is usable precisely because its limits are stated.

9. Regulatory, Frameworks & Professional Conduct (India)

- **SEBI BRSR / BRSR Core:** applicable listed entities, **reasonable assurance on Core indicators**, and phased value-chain disclosure.
- **CBAM:** embedded-emissions reporting for covered goods entering the EU, with financial obligation following the transitional phase — a direct burden on Indian exporters in steel, aluminium, cement and fertiliser.
- **Carbon Credit Trading Scheme** under the Energy Conservation Act, alongside PAT and existing energy-efficiency obligations.
- **Methodology:** GHG Protocol and ISO 14064; verification by ISO 14065-accredited bodies.
- **Independence:** **a firm cannot verify inventories it has prepared** — preparer and assurer roles must stay separate, and any adjacent conflict must be disclosed to the client in writing.
- **Claim substantiation:** "carbon neutral", "net zero" and similar claims attract consumer-protection and advertising scrutiny in India and abroad; **advising on a claim the evidence does not support exposes the client to regulatory and reputational action.**
- Professional indemnity, data confidentiality (client emissions data is commercially sensitive), and documented working papers retained for the assurance and audit trail.

10. Organisation & Manpower Plan

Role	Yr 1	Yr 2	Yr 3
Promoter / managing partner	1	1	2
GHG accounting consultants & analysts	12	21	32
Sustainability reporting & assurance specialists	8	14	22

Sector decarbonisation engineers	5	9	14
CBAM & export-compliance specialists	3	6	10
Client servicing & business development	4	7	10
Admin, accounts & knowledge management	3	5	7
Total	36	63	97

The **sector decarbonisation engineers** are the line that separates this practice from a report-writing shop. Anyone can produce a pathway chart sloping to zero; only an engineer who understands a kiln, a boiler or a dyeing process can say what the abatement actually costs per tonne and in what order it should be done. That capability is also what makes roadmap work defensible when the client's board asks for the capital.

11. Implementation Timeline & Milestones

Phase	Timeline	Milestone
Setup	Month 0-3	Entity, core team, methodology & working-paper system, platform, certifications
Ramp	Month 3-12	~42 clients; ₹2.45cr revenue, ₹4L loss; first assurance cycles supported
Grow	Year 2	Retainer base & CBAM practice; ₹4.1cr, ₹26L profit
Scale	Year 3	₹6.1cr revenue, ₹76L profit; ~120 clients, ~26% recurring

12. Financial Plan (Illustrative)

All figures are illustrative model assumptions for an Indian context, shown so the promoter can replace them with live rates. They are not projections of guaranteed results. Consultant utilisation, realisation per consultant, retainer conversion, repeat-cycle mix & salary cost are the levers.

12.1 Project cost & means of finance

Capital requirement	₹
Working capital (salaries & receivables funding)	28,00,000
Technology (carbon accounting platform, data & factor licences)	14,00,000
Office, IT & setup	10,00,000
Team certification, training & accreditation	6,00,000
Legal, registration & launch	4,00,000
Brand, thought-leadership content & business development	3,00,000
Total project cost	65,00,000
Promoter contribution	35,00,000
Working-capital finance	22,00,000
Term loan	8,00,000

12.2 Projected Profit & Loss (3 years)

Particulars	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3
GHG accounting & carbon footprint (Scope 1/2/3)	78,00,000	1,25,00,000	1,80,00,000
BRSR/CDP reporting & assurance readiness	62,00,000	1,05,00,000	1,60,00,000
Net-zero roadmaps & decarbonisation planning	45,00,000	78,00,000	1,15,00,000
CBAM & export-compliance emissions reporting	38,00,000	72,00,000	1,15,00,000
Offset/credit advisory & procurement support	22,00,000	30,00,000	40,00,000
Total revenue	2,45,00,000	4,10,00,000	6,10,00,000
Salaries (consultants, analysts, engineers, admin)	1,42,00,000	2,28,00,000	3,22,00,000
Subcontracted specialist & technical support	26,00,000	41,00,000	58,00,000
Office, overheads, insurance & selling	24,00,000	34,00,000	46,00,000
Technology, platform, data & emission-factor licences	22,00,000	32,00,000	44,00,000
Business development & travel	14,00,000	20,00,000	27,00,000
Training, certification & knowledge development	12,00,000	18,00,000	24,00,000
Depreciation, interest & other	9,00,000	11,00,000	13,00,000
Total expenses	2,49,00,000	3,84,00,000	5,34,00,000
Net profit / (loss) before tax	(4,00,000)	26,00,000	76,00,000
Net margin	(1.6%)	6.3%	12.5%
Salaries as % of revenue	58.0%	55.6%	52.8%
Recurring (reporting & assurance) share	25.3%	25.6%	26.2%
Offset advisory share — capped by design	9.0%	7.3%	6.6%
Clients served	~42	~78	~120

12.3 Unit economics & break-even

- **Salary is the cost structure:** at 58% of revenue falling to 52.8%, this is a people business, and margin comes from utilisation and realisation per consultant — not from scale in any other sense.
- **The second year of a client is far more profitable than the first:** the inventory boundary, data sources and factor set are already established, so the annual repeat costs a fraction of the initial build. **Client retention, not client acquisition, is what produces the Year 3 margin.**
- **Roadmap and CBAM work carries the best realisation** — both are technically demanding and neither can be delivered by a report-writer.
- **Offset advisory is capped deliberately** despite being the easiest line to scale, for the reasons set out in §6 and §14.
- **Break-even:** reached in Year 2 as the retainer base and repeat cycles build. Margins expand with recurring share and utilisation, not with headcount growth alone.

12.4 Projected Cash Flow — Year 1 (quarterly, illustrative)

Particulars (₹)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Opening balance	28,00,000	18,00,000	11,00,000	7,00,000
Collections	52,00,000	56,00,000	60,00,000	62,00,000
Salaries, subcontract, technology & overheads	62,00,000	63,00,000	64,00,000	60,00,000
Closing balance	18,00,000	11,00,000	7,00,000	9,00,000

Cash in a knowledge practice is simpler than in the contracting businesses of this vertical, but it is unforgiving in one specific way: salaries are paid monthly and are 58% of revenue, while corporate clients pay at 60-90 days against milestones. There is no inventory to liquidate and no asset to slow down against — the payroll runs whether or not the client's finance department has processed the invoice. Two disciplines follow. **Bill against defined deliverables — boundary report, draft inventory, final inventory, assurance support — rather than on completion**, so cash arrives through the engagement rather than at the end of it. And **convert footprint projects into annual retainers billed quarterly in advance**, which is the single most effective cash improvement available: it smooths the year, funds the payroll, and is easy for the client to accept because the reporting obligation genuinely does repeat every year.

12.5 Key Performance Indicators to Monitor

- **Quality: assurance findings raised per engagement;** restatements required; data-quality rating by Scope 3 category; factor documentation completeness.
- **Practice:** consultant utilisation; realisation per consultant; repeat-cycle clients as % of base; retainer conversion from first footprint.
- **Mix:** recurring share; CBAM and roadmap share; **offset advisory share held below cap.**
- **Integrity: engagements declined on claim-substantiation grounds;** conflicts disclosed; independence maintained between preparer and assurer roles.

13. Funding Requirement & Bankability

Total ask: **₹22 lakh working-capital finance plus ₹8 lakh term loan** against ₹35 lakh promoter contribution — the smallest capital requirement in this vertical, because the business owns almost nothing. A lender should read the *retainer base, client retention and team credentials* as the substance, since there is no security worth speaking of; MSME and CGTMSE routes suit the ticket. Three points deserve stating candidly. **The demand is regulation-anchored rather than sentiment-driven** — BRSR assurance and CBAM are obligations with deadlines and financial consequences, which makes this materially more durable than a business selling voluntary sustainability services. **Working capital funds a payroll-versus-receivables gap** and nothing else, so the facility should be sized to about two months of salary cost. And **the principal risk is reputational rather than financial:** a practice associated with a discredited neutrality claim or a restated inventory loses its client base quickly, which is why the offset cap and the claim-discipline policy in §14 are commercial safeguards, not statements of values.

13.1 Funding utilisation

Use of funds	₹	% of total
Working capital (salaries & receivables funding)	28,00,000	43.1%

Technology (platform, data & emission-factor licences)	14,00,000	21.5%
Office, IT & setup	10,00,000	15.4%
Team certification, training & accreditation	6,00,000	9.2%
Legal, registration & launch	4,00,000	6.2%
Brand, thought-leadership content & business development	3,00,000	4.6%
Total	65,00,000	100%

14. Risk Analysis & Mitigation

Risk	Mitigation
Client's neutrality claim publicly discredited over offset quality	Counsel reduction before offsetting ; screen additionality, permanence and over-crediting risk; prefer removals over avoidance where the claim is public; cap offset advisory at ~7% of revenue so the practice has no incentive to push it ; document the advice given — the damage lands on the client's brand, so the client must understand the exposure before claiming
Inventory fails assurance / requires restatement	Build assurance-ready from the start: documented boundaries, factor sources, data-quality ratings and working papers; internal review before submission; a number that cannot survive assurance is worse than no number
Scope 3 presented with false confidence	Per-category data-quality rating and disclosed estimation basis; distinguish measured from modelled in every deliverable; tell the client plainly when a reduction is a factor change rather than a physical change
Policy change — CBAM scope, BRSR phasing or CCTS design	Diversify across BRSR, CBAM, roadmaps and supply-chain work; maintain regulatory tracking; recurring reporting base is needed under any framework
Independence conflict between preparer and assurer roles	Never verify own work ; written conflict policy; disclose adjacent relationships to the client
Salary-versus-receivable cash gap	Deliverable-linked billing; retainers billed quarterly in advance; WC facility sized to ~2 months payroll
Consultant attrition taking client relationships	Documented methodology and working papers so knowledge is institutional; multi-person client teams; retention through progression and technical development budget
Commoditisation by low-cost report-writers	Compete on assurance record and sector depth, never on price; publish methodology; target clients with real assurance exposure
Client data confidentiality breach	Emissions and production data is commercially sensitive — access control, NDAs, segregated client files, cyber insurance
Roadmaps never funded, so advice produces nothing	Cost every intervention with marginal abatement cost and payback; sequence by capital availability; track roadmap items actually funded as a practice KPI — advisory can measure and plan but cannot itself decarbonise anything

15. SWOT Analysis

Strengths Smallest capital requirement in the vertical; regulation-anchored demand with deadlines and financial consequences; ~26% recurring revenue from annually repeating obligations; second-year clients far more profitable than first; sector engineering depth and CBAM specialism are genuinely scarce in India.	Weaknesses Deliverable is a number, so credibility is the entire asset and it is fragile; salary at 52-58% of revenue with no operating leverage; nothing to hypothecate; consultant attrition takes relationships; Scope 3 uncertainty limits how confidently any headline figure can be stated.
Opportunities BRSR Core assurance and value-chain phasing widening scope; CBAM extending to further sectors; supply-chain cascade pulling unlisted MSMEs into scope; India's carbon market moving to compliance; green-finance and lender ESG requirements; restatement-driven remediation work.	Threats An offset scandal touching a client; regulatory dilution or deferral reducing urgency; Big Four price and brand competition; low-cost report-writers commoditising footprinting; carbon-accounting software vendors disintermediating basic inventory work; a broad political turn against climate disclosure.

16. Recommended AiSevak Tools for This Business

This carbon advisory practice would use AiSevak's Environment vertical tools in operations:

- **Inventory:** GHG boundary and base-year register, activity-data collection templates by scope, emission-factor source log, **data-quality rating by Scope 3 category.**
- **Assurance:** working-paper and evidence-file structure, assurance-query tracker, **findings-and-restatement register.**
- **Integrity: offset-share cap monitoring,** claim-substantiation checklist, declined-engagement log, conflict and independence register.
- **Practice:** consultant utilisation and realisation tracking, retainer conversion and renewal, roadmap-items-funded KPI.
- **Finance:** deliverable-linked billing, receivable-vs-payroll gap planning, Business Plan and break-even tools (with Finance vertical).

17. Key Assumptions & Notes

- Carbon & climate advisory: GHG accounting/footprinting (Scope 1/2/3), BRSR & CDP reporting with assurance readiness, net-zero and decarbonisation roadmaps, CBAM & export-compliance emissions reporting, screened offset/credit advisory; listed companies, exporters, manufacturers and cascaded suppliers.
- **CLOSES THE ENVIRONMENT VERTICAL AND SITS FURTHEST FROM MATTER OF ANY PLAN IN IT.** The waste and water plans handled physical flows; epr-compliance-services handled a regulatory obligation without touching material; **this one handles neither — THE DELIVERABLE IS A NUMBER AND ITS DEFENSIBILITY.**
- **THAT ABSTRACTION PRODUCES THE SHARPEST INTEGRITY EXPOSURE IN THE VERTICAL, in three parts:** (1) **a footprint figure that cannot survive assurance is WORSE than no figure** — it becomes a disclosed inaccuracy requiring retraction, a governance problem not a technical one; (2) **Scope 3 usually DOMINATES the total and is largely ESTIMATED from spend-based factors,** so the headline number is mostly modelled, and an adviser presenting it with the same confidence as a metered Scope 2 figure has misrepresented their own work — exposed the first time an assurance provider tests the data hierarchy; and **a client who "reduces" Scope 3 by changing supplier emission factors rather than anything physical has reduced NOTHING,** a distinction the adviser must draw because the client's stakeholders eventually will; (3) **offset quality and additionality are genuinely CONTESTED,**

and when a "carbon neutral" claim is attacked **THE DAMAGE LANDS ON THE CLIENT'S BRAND, NOT THE ADVISER'S.**

- **THE OFFSET LINE IS CAPPED AND SHRINKING BY DESIGN — 9.0% → 6.6% of revenue — AND A PROMOTER SHOULD NOT QUIETLY REVERSE THIS.** It is the easiest revenue in the market to scale (transactional, repeatable, margin-rich), and it is held small anyway because **an adviser earning on offset VOLUME has a structural incentive to recommend offsetting over REDUCTION** — the recommendation most likely to be attacked later. **Counsel reduction before offsetting; prefer removals over avoidance where the claim is public.** The ~26% recurring reporting/assurance base is what makes that restraint financially affordable.
- **DEMAND IS REGULATION-ANCHORED, NOT SENTIMENT-DRIVEN — this practice does not need to persuade anyone that climate matters, only to reach people who already have a DEADLINE:** SEBI **BRSR Core with reasonable assurance** (turns disclosure from marketing copy into audited data), **CBAM** (Indian exporters of steel/aluminium/cement/fertiliser must report embedded emissions or bear a cost at the EU border **regardless of their opinion about climate policy** — the sharpest, least-argued sale in the market), the **CCTS carbon market** moving voluntary→compliance, and the **SUPPLY-CHAIN CASCADE** pulling unlisted MSMEs into scope through customer requirements with no regulation applying to them directly.
- **A FIRM CANNOT VERIFY WHAT IT HAS PREPARED** — preparer and assurer roles stay separate and confusing them destroys the value of both; conflicts disclosed in writing. Claim substantiation attracts consumer-protection/advertising scrutiny: **advising on a claim the evidence does not support exposes the CLIENT to regulatory and reputational action.**
- Economics: **salary IS the cost structure** (58%→52.8% of revenue, no operating leverage), and **the SECOND year of a client is far more profitable than the first** since boundary/data-sources/factor-set are already built — **RETENTION, not acquisition, produces the Year 3 margin**; sector decarbonisation engineers are the line separating this from a report-writing shop (anyone can draw a pathway chart sloping to zero; only an engineer who understands a kiln or dyeing process can cost abatement per tonne and sequence it); **the honest limit of the model — ADVISORY CAN MEASURE AND PLAN BUT CANNOT ITSELF DECARBONISE ANYTHING**, so track roadmap items actually FUNDED.
- **Cash is simple but unforgiving: payroll runs monthly at 58% of revenue whether or not the client's finance department has processed the invoice**, with no inventory to liquidate → bill against defined deliverables (boundary report, draft inventory, final inventory, assurance support) rather than on completion, and **convert footprints into annual retainers billed QUARTERLY IN ADVANCE — the single most effective cash improvement available, and easy for the client to accept because the obligation genuinely repeats every year.** Refuse the work that should be refused (unsubstantiable data, unscreened neutrality claims, roadmaps to targets the client won't fund) — each is available revenue and each is a future public correction with the practice's name on it. ₹65L, ~42→120 clients, rev 2.45→6.1cr, Y1 →₹4L → Y3 ₹76L. Figures illustrative, exclude GST.

► **Use these tools now — free on AiSevak**

Every tool referenced in this plan is available on aisevak.in. Open the [Environment toolkit](https://aisevak.in/verticals/environment) to browse and use them all at <https://aisevak.in/verticals/environment> — or go straight to any specific tool at <https://aisevak.in/service/<tool-name>>. No signup needed to explore; each tool guides you step by step.

Prepared by AiSevak.in — a product of ABL Digital Technologies. AI-powered business services for India. This is AI-assisted guidance; figures are illustrative and not a guarantee of results. Verify all numbers, licences and regulatory requirements with a qualified professional before relying on this plan for binding decisions. © 2026 ABL Digital Technologies Private Limited.